

Jindřich Bečvář

K -essential subgroups of abelian groups. I

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 17 (1976), No. 3, 481--492

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105711>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

17,3 (1976)

K-ESSENTIAL SUBGROUPS OF ABELIAN GROUPS . I

Jindřich BEČVÁŘ, Praha

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to investigate K-essential subgroups of abelian groups and to generalize some known results about essential subgroups. In the section 1 there are presented some fundamental properties of the K-essential subgroups. In the section 2 there are given the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a (maximal) K-essential extension. The section 3 investigates sets of K-essential subgroups, where K runs through the subgroups of a group G.

Key words: K-essential, essential subgroups; (maximal) K-essential extensions; N-high, N-K-high subgroups.

AMS: 20K99, 20K35

Ref. Ž. 2.722.1

0. Introduction. All groups considered here are abelian. Concerning the terminology and notation, we refer to [1] and [2]. Otherwise, if G is a group then G_t and G_p are the torsion part and p-component of G, respectively.

We shall frequently use the following notation:

$\mathbb{Q}$ - group of rationals,

$\mathbb{Z}$ - group of integers, infinite cyclic group,

$\mathbb{Z}(n)$ - cyclic group of order n,

$N = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} ; n > 0\}$,

$\mathbb{P}$ - the set of all prime numbers,

(n,m) - the greatest common divisor of n and m,

$h_p^G(g)$ - the p-height of g in G.

Let $K \subset N$ be subgroups of a group G . Following Krivonos [3], a subgroup A of G is said to be N - K -high in G if A is maximal with respect to the property $A \cap N = K$.

1. K -essential subgroups

Definition 1.1. Let G be a group and K a subgroup of G . A subgroup N of G is said to be K -essential in G if for every $g \in G \setminus K$ there is $n \in N$ such that $ng \in N \setminus K$.

Remark 1.2. O -essential subgroups of a group G are exactly the essential subgroups of G and every subgroup of G is G -essential in G . If K is a proper subgroup of G then no subgroup of K is K -essential in G . The group G is K -essential in G for every subgroup K of G . If K is a proper subgroup of a mixed group G then no torsion subgroup of G is K -essential in G .

The proof of the following proposition is straightforward and hence omitted.

Proposition 1.3. Let N and K be subgroups of a group G . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) N is K -essential in G ;
- (ii) K is the unique $N - N \cap K$ -high subgroup of G ;
- (iii) if $\alpha : G \rightarrow A$ is a homomorphism and $\text{Ker}(\alpha|_N) \subset K$ then $\text{Ker} \alpha \subset K$;
- (iv) if X is a subgroup of G and $X \not\subset K$ then $X \cap N \not\subset K$;
- (v) if H is a subgroup of G then $N \cap H$ is $K \cap H$ -essential in H ;
- (vi) if $g \in G$ then $N \cap \langle g \rangle$ is $K \cap \langle g \rangle$ -essential in $\langle g \rangle$;
- (vii) if L is a subgroup of K then $N+L/L$ is K/L -essential in G/L .

If N is a K -essential subgroup of a group G and A is a subgroup of G with $A \cap K = 0$ then $A \cap N$ is essential in A by 1.3 (v).

If K and N are subgroups of a group G then K is $N - M \cap K$ -high in G iff $N + K$ is K -essential in G .

Proposition 1.4. The family of all K -essential subgroups of a group G is a filter.

Proof. If N is a K -essential subgroup of G and M a subgroup of G containing N then M is K -essential in G . If N and M are K -essential subgroups of G then $N \cap M$ is K -essential in G .

Proposition 1.5. Let G be a group. Then

- (i) If N is a K_i -essential subgroup of G for every $i \in I$ then N is $\bigcap K_i$ -essential in G .
- (ii) If N is a K_i -essential subgroup of G for every $i \in I$, where $\{K_i; i \in I\}$ is a chain of subgroups of G , then N is $\bigcup K_i$ -essential in G .
- (iii) If N is a K -essential subgroup of H , where H is a K -essential subgroup of G , then N is K -essential in G .

Proof. (i) If $g \in G \setminus \bigcap K_i$ then there is an element $j \in I$ such that $g \in G \setminus K_j$. Now, there is $n \in N$ with $ng \in N \setminus K_j$ and hence $ng \in N \setminus \bigcap K_i$.

(ii) Let $g \in G \setminus \bigcup K_i$. For every $i \in I$ there is $n_i \in N$ such that $n_i g \in N \setminus K_i$. If $\langle g \rangle \cap N = \langle ng \rangle$ then obviously $ng \in N \setminus K_i$ for every $i \in I$.

(iii) If $g \in G \setminus K$ then there is $n \in N$ such that $ng \in H \setminus K$. Further, there is $m \in N$ with $mng \in N \setminus K$.

Remark 1.6. Let K_i and N_i be subgroups of a group G , $i \in I$.

If $\oplus N_i$ is $\oplus K_i$ -essential in $\oplus G_i$ then N_i is K_i -essential in G_i for every $i \in I$. Obviously, the same applies to direct products.

Proposition 1.7. Let $K_i \subset N_i$ be subgroups of a group G_i , $i \in I$. Then $\oplus N_i$ is $\oplus K_i$ -essential in $\oplus G_i$ iff N_i is K_i -essential in G_i for every $i \in I$.

Proof. Let $g \in \oplus G_i \setminus \oplus K_i$; i.e. $g = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{i_j}$, where $g_{i_j} \in G_{i_j}$ for every $j = 1, \dots, m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and there is an integer k , $1 \leq k \leq m$, such that $g_{i_k} \in G_{i_k} \setminus K_{i_k}$. Since N_{i_k} is K_{i_k} -essential in G_{i_k} , there is $n \in N_{i_k}$ such that $ng_{i_k} \in N_{i_k} \setminus K_{i_k}$. If $ng \in \oplus N_i$ then we are through, since obviously $ng \notin \oplus K_i$. If $ng \notin \oplus N_i$ then there is an element $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq r \leq m$ such that $ng_{i_r} \in G_{i_r} \setminus K_{i_r}$ and so on. The converse follows from 1.6.

If G is a group and K is a torsion subgroup of G , then the torsion parts of all the K -essential subgroups of G are K -essential in G_t by 1.3. The following proposition implies that all the K -essential subgroups in G_t can be obtained in this very way.

Proposition 1.8. If K is a torsion subgroup of a group G and L is a K -essential subgroup of G_t then every G_t - L -high subgroup of G is K -essential in G .

Proof. Let N be a G_t - L -high subgroup of G and $g \in G \setminus K \cup N$. If $g \in G_t$ then there is $n \in N$ such that $ng \in L \setminus K \subset N \setminus K$. If $g \notin G_t$ then $\langle g, N \rangle_t \not\subseteq L$; there are $k \in N$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in G_t \setminus L$ such that $kg + m = t$. Now, $\sigma(t)kg \in N \setminus K$.

Proposition 1.9. Let N be a K -essential subgroup of a

group G and A be a subgroup of G . Then A is N -high in G iff A is $N \cap K$ -high in K .

Proof. If A is N -high in G then A is a subgroup of K by 1.1.

Proposition 1.10. Let k, n be nonnegative integers. Then the subgroup $n\mathbb{Z}$ is $k\mathbb{Z}$ -essential in $\mathbb{Z}$ iff $h_p^{\mathbb{Z}}(k) \geq 1$ implies $h_p^{\mathbb{Z}}(n) < h_p^{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$.

Proof. The assertion is obviously for $k = 0$. Suppose $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $n\mathbb{Z}$ be $k\mathbb{Z}$ -essential in $\mathbb{Z}$ and $k = pr$, where $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously $r \notin k\mathbb{Z}$; consequently there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $mr \in n\mathbb{Z} \setminus k\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $n \mid mr$ and $(p, m) = 1$. If $p^i \mid n$ then $p^i \mid r$ and $p^{i+1} \mid k$.

Conversely, let $x \notin k\mathbb{Z}$. Now, there are $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h_p^{\mathbb{Z}}(k) = i$ and $h_p^{\mathbb{Z}}(x) < i$. We can write $x = ya$, $n = yb$, where $(a, b) = 1$. Obviously $bx = an \in n\mathbb{Z}$. If $bx \notin k\mathbb{Z}$ then $p^i \nmid bx$. Hence $p \nmid b$ and $(p, a) = 1$. Consequently, $p^i \mid n$ and $p^{i+1} \nmid k$, a contradiction. Hence $bx \in n\mathbb{Z} \setminus k\mathbb{Z}$.

2. Maximal K -essential extensions

Definition 2.1. Let N and K be subgroups of a group G . The group G is said to be a K -essential extension of N , if N is K -essential in G . The group G is said to be a maximal K -essential extension of N , if N is K -essential in G and is not K -essential in a group H , whenever G is a proper subgroup of H .

Let G be a maximal K -essential extension of a group N . Then G is a maximal K -essential extension of a subgroup M of N iff M is $K \cap N$ -essential in N .

Theorem 2.2. Let N and K be subgroups of a group A .

Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) There exists a K -essential extension of N ;
- (ii) There exists a maximal K -essential extension of N ;
- (iii) N is K -essential in $N + K$;
- (iv) Either $K/K \cap N$ is a torsion group and $(K/K \cap N)_p \neq 0$ implies $(N/K \cap N)_p = 0$ or $N \subset K$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). If G is a K -essential extension of the group N then N is K -essential in $N + K$ by 1.3 (v).

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let D be a divisible group containing A and $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of all K -essential extensions of N , that are contained in the group D . It is $N + K \in \mathcal{M}$ by (iii), $\mathcal{M}$ is partially ordered and inductive. By Zorn's lemma, there is a maximal element G in $\mathcal{M}$. Suppose N is K -essential in a group H , where $G \subset H$. Now, there exists a homomorphism $f: H \rightarrow D$ extending the natural inclusion G into D . Consequently, $\text{Ker}(f|_N) = 0 \subset K$ and by 1.3 (iii), $\text{Ker } f \subset K$; hence f is a monomorphism. If $g \in f(H) \setminus K$ then $f^{-1}(g) \in H \setminus K$ and there is $n \in N$ such that $nf^{-1}(g) \in N \setminus K$, i.e. $ng \in N \setminus K$. Consequently, the group $f(H)$ is a K -essential extension of N and $G \subset f(H) \subset D$. Hence $G = H$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Trivial.

(iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv). If $m \in N$, $k \in K$ and $n \in N$ then $m + k \in N + K \setminus K$ iff $m \notin K \cap N$

and

$nm + nk \in N \setminus K$ iff $nm \notin K \cap N$ and $nk \in K \cap N$.

Moreover, if $m \in N \setminus K \cap N$ and $k \in K \cap N$ then $m + k \in N \setminus K$ (in this case $n = 1$). Hence, the assertion (iii) is equivalent

lent to the assertion

(v) if $m \in N \setminus K \cap N$ and $k \in K \setminus K \cap N$ then there is $n \in N$ such that $nm \notin K \cap N$ and $nk \in K \cap N$.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v). Suppose $m \in N \setminus K \cap N$ and $k \in K \setminus K \cap N$. Let n be the least nonzero natural number such that $nk \in K \cap N$. Such number exists, since $K/K \cap N$ is a torsion group by (iv). If $n = pr$, where $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then $rk + K \cap N$ is a nonzero element of the group $(K/K \cap N)_p$. For each prime p with $p \mid n$ $(N/K \cap N)_p = 0$ by (iv). Hence $nm \notin K \cap N$.

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). If N is not a subgroup of K , i.e. $N \not\subseteq K \cap N$, then $K/K \cap N$ is a torsion group by (v). If $(K/K \cap N)_p \neq 0$ and $(N/K \cap N)_p \neq 0$ for some prime p then there are elements $k \in K \setminus K \cap N$ and $m \in N \setminus K \cap N$ such that $pk, pm \in K \cap N$. By (v), there is $n \in N$ such that $nm \notin K \cap N$ and $nk \in K \cap N$. Now, $(p, n) = 1$ and there are integers u, v such that $up + vn = 1$. Hence $k = upk + vnk \in K \cap N$, a contradiction.

Proposition 2.3. Let N and K be subgroups of a group G and there exists a K -essential extension of the group N . Then

- (i) There exists a subgroup of G that is maximal with respect to the property of being a K -essential extension of N in G ;
- (ii) The group G is a K -essential extension of N iff G/K is an essential extension of the group $N+K/K$;
- (iii) The group G is a maximal K -essential extension of N iff G/K is a divisible hull of the group $N+K/K$;
- (iv) If G/K is a divisible group then G contains a maximal K -essential extension of the group N .

Proof. (i) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of all K -essential extensions of the group N that are contained in G . By 2.2, the group $N + K$ is an element of $\mathcal{M}$. The set $\mathcal{M}$ is partially ordered and inductive. By Zorn's lemma there is a maximal element in $\mathcal{M}$.

(ii) Suppose that the group G/K is an essential extension of the group $N+K/K$. If $g \in G \setminus K$ then there is $n \in N$ such that $ng = m + k$, where $m \in N \setminus K$ and $k \in K$. Let r be the order of the element $k + K \in N+K/K$. By 2.2, r is finite and $rm \notin K$. Hence $rng \in N \setminus K$. The converse follows from 1.3 (vii).

(iii) It follows immediately from (ii).

(iv) The group G/K contains a divisible hull D/K of the group $N+K/K$ and hence D is a maximal K -essential extension of N by (iii).

If G is a maximal K -essential extension of N then G is an extension of K by a divisible hull of the group $N+K/K$.

Corollary 2.4. Let K and N be subgroups of a group G . Then

(i) If $N \subset K$ then K is the unique maximal K -essential extension of N ;

(ii) If $K \subset N$ then there is a maximal K -essential extension of N . The group G is a maximal K -essential extension of N iff G/K is a divisible hull of N/K ;

(iii) If $K \cap N = 0$ and N is nonzero then there exists a maximal K -essential extension of N iff K is torsion and $K_p \neq 0$ implies $N_p = 0$. If it holds then G is a maximal K -essential extension of N iff G/K is a divisible hull of $N \oplus K/K$.

Remark 2.5. The existence-assumption of K-essential extension of N in 2.3 cannot be omitted as it is seen from the following example: Suppose $G = N \oplus K$, where $N \cong \mathbb{Q}$ and $K \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Then the group $N+K/K$ is essential in G/K and G/K is divisible. But N is not a K-essential subgroup of G.

Remark 2.6. In [4], T. Szele investigates the algebraic elements with respect to a given subgroup and problem of algebraic extensions of groups. It is closely related to the problem of K-essential subgroups as it follows.

Let N and K be subgroups of a group G. An element g of G is said to be K-algebraic over N if $g \in K$ or $\langle g \rangle \cap N \cap K \neq \langle g \rangle \cap N$. If every $g \in G$ is K-algebraic over N, we call G K-algebraic over N. In this case the group G is also said to be K-algebraic extension of N. Now, it is easy to see that G is K-algebraic over N iff N is K-essential in G. Hence, K-essential extensions of N and K-algebraic extensions of N are the same.

3. Comparing K-essential subgroups

Lemma 3.1. Let G be a group and N a subgroup of G such that G/N is a torsion group. Let K be a subgroup of G such that if $(G/N)_p \neq 0$ then $G_p \subset K$ and K is p-pure in G. Then N is K-essential in G.

Proof. Let $g \in G \setminus K$. If $n = \sigma(g + N)$ then $ng \in N$. Moreover, $ng \notin K$. For, if $ng \in K$ then there is $k \in K$ such that $ng = nk$. Now, $n(g - k) = 0$ and consequently $g - k \in K$. Hence $g \in K$ implies a contradiction.

Lemma 3.2. Let G be a group. If K is a proper subgroup and N is a K -essential subgroup of G then G/N is a torsion group.

Proof. Suppose $g + N \in G/N$. If $g \notin K$ then there exists $n \in N$ such that $ng \in N \setminus K$. If $g \in K$ then by 2.2 either $N \subseteq K$ (hence $K = G$, a contradiction) or $K/K \cap N$ is a torsion group. Consequently, there is $m \in N$ such that $mg \in N$.

Theorem 3.3. Let G be a group with subgroups K and N . Then

- (i) N is G_t -essential in G iff G/N is torsion;
- (ii) If K is proper and N is K -essential in G then N is G_t -essential in G ;
- (iii) If G is not torsion then the set of all K -essential subgroups of G and the set of all G_t -essential subgroups of G are identical iff K is proper pure containing G_t ;
- (iv) If K is proper then each K -essential subgroup of G is K_t -essential in G ;
- (v) If K is proper and torsion-free then each K -essential subgroup of G is essential in G .

Proof. (i) Let N be G_t -essential in G . If $G = G_t$, then G/N is torsion. If $G \neq G_t$ then G/N is torsion by 3.2. Conversely, if G/N is a torsion group then N is a G_t -essential subgroup of G by 3.1.

(ii) It follows immediately from 3.2 and (i).

(iii) Let K be a proper pure subgroup of G containing G_t . If N is G_t -essential in G then G/N is a torsion group by (i) and N is K -essential in G by 3.1. The converse follows from (ii).

Suppose that the set of all G_t -essential subgroups of G and the set of all K -essential subgroups of G are identical. If G_t is not a subgroup of K then each G_t -high subgroup of G is G_t -essential in G and not K -essential in G . Next, we suppose that K contains G_t and K is not pure in G . There exists $g \in G \setminus K$ such that $\langle g \rangle \cap K = \langle kg \rangle$, where $k \in N$. Let N be a subgroup maximal with respect to the properties: $N_t = 0$, $N \cap \langle g \rangle = \langle kg \rangle$. Obviously, N is not K -essential in G . If $x \in G \setminus G_t \cup N$ then either $\langle x, N \rangle_t \neq 0$ or $\langle x, N \rangle \cap \langle g \rangle \supsetneq \langle kg \rangle$. In the first case there are $n \in N$, $h \in N$ and $t \in G_t$ such that $nx + h = t$; hence $\sigma(t)nx \in N \setminus G_t$. In the second case there are $m, m' \in N$ and $h \in N$ such that $nx + h = mg$. Now, $kmg = knx + kh$, i.e. $kx \in N \setminus G_t$. Consequently N is G_t -essential in G , a contradiction. Hence K is a pure subgroup of G containing G_t , K is proper since G is not torsion.

(iv) If N is a K -essential subgroup of G then N is G_t -essential in G by (ii) and N is K_t -essential in G by 1.5.

(v) It follows from (iv).

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] FUCHS L.: Infinite abelian groups I, Acad. Press 1970.
- [2] FUCHS L.: Infinite abelian groups II, Acad. Press 1973.
- [3] KRIVONOS F.V.: Ob N -vysokich podgruppach abelevoj gruppy, Vest. Mosk. Univ. 1(1975), 58-64.
- [4] SZELE T.: Ein Analogon der Körpertheorie für abelsche Gruppen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 188(1950), 167-192.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta

Karlova universita

Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8

Československo

(Oblatum 6.4. 1976)