Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Walter Petry

Correction to my paper: "Existence theorems for operator equations and nonlinear elliptic boundary-value problems" [Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 14 (1973), 27-46]

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 15 (1974), No. 2, 377--378

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105562

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-GZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

15,2 (1974)

CORRECTION TO MY PAPER "EXISTENCE THEOREMS FOR

OPERATOR EQUATIONS AND NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC

BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS", Comment.Math.

Univ.Carolinae 14(1973),27-46

Walter PETRY, Düsseldorf

Professor P. Hess has remarked that the first part of relation (3.5) (Theorem 2)

$$(*) \ B(0,u_0,u_0) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m-4} [B_{\beta}(\cdot,\xi_{m-4}(u_0)),D^{\beta}u_0]$$

is not proved.

We define $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{B})$ as in the paper but only for all $w \in \mathbb{W} := \mathbb{V} \cap \mathbb{W}_{m^*, n}$ and ask for a solution of (3.1) for all $w \in \mathbb{W}$.

We assume the following additional condition on $F(x, \xi_{m-1}, \xi_{m-1}', \xi_{m-1}')$ (see Assumption 5): To each $u \in V$ and K > 0 there exists a function $F_{u,K} \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$|F(x, \xi_{m-1}(u), \xi_{m-1}(v))| \leq F_{u,K}(x)$$

a.e. on Ω for all $w \in V$ with $|w(x)| \leq K$. Further let m = 1.

Under this additional assumptions relation (**) can be proved. Indeed, for each K>0 we define

$$\Omega_{K} := \{x \in \Omega : |u_{o}(x)| \leq X \}$$

and set

$$u_0^{\mathsf{K}}(x) := \begin{cases} u_0(x), & x \in \Omega_{\mathsf{K}} \\ 0, & x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\mathsf{K}} \end{cases}.$$

Then $u_0^K \in V$ and $u_0^K \longrightarrow u_0$ in V as $X \longrightarrow \infty$. Following the pattern of the proof of Hess (J.Math.Anal.Appl. 43 (1973),241-249, p.248) for each X there exists a sequence $\{u_V\} \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying $u_V \longrightarrow u_0^K$ in V, $|u_V(x)| \le X$ and $u_V(x) \longrightarrow u_0^K(x)$ a.e. on Ω . Hence $B(0, u_0, u_V) \longrightarrow B(0, u_0, u_0^K)$. From $B(0, u_0, u_V) = [B_0(\cdot, u_0), u_V]$ it follows by Assumption 5 (b) and the additional condition on Γ that the Theorem of Lebesgue is applicable. Hence

$$B(0, u_0, u_0^K) = [B_0(\cdot, u_0), u_0^K]$$
.

By definition of w_0^K and Assumption 5 (a) the Theorem of Lebesgue again can be applied and (*) with m = 1 follows as $K \to \infty$.

Relation (*) is unsolved for the case m > 1. The same remark holds for Theorem 2 in "Nonlinear eigenvalue problems, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 14(1973),113-126.

Mathematisches Institut
der Universität Düsseldorf
Moorenstr. 5, 4 Düsseldorf
BRD