Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Jaroslav Haslinger; Ivan Hlaváček A mixed finite element method close to the equilibrium model (Preliminary communication)

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 15 (1974), No. 2, 345--350

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105558

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

15.2 (1974)

A MIXED FINITE ELEMENT METHOD CLOSE TO THE EQUILIBRIUM MODET.

(Preliminary communication)

J. HASLINGER, I. HLAVÁČEK, Praha

Abstract: A new type of mixed finite element method is derived which gives approximate solutions to the Dirichlet Boundary value problems for one elliptic equation and for the system of equations, governing the plane elasticity. The Gallerkin approximations are vector functions converging to the chosen components of the cogradient (or of the stress tensor) and to the solution itself.

Key words: finite elements.

AMS: 65N30

Ref. Z. 8.33

A. Variational formulation and a mixed finite element model Let us consider the following problem

(1.1)
$$-a_{ij}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = f \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$u = 0$$
 on Γ ,

where $f \in L_2(\Omega)$, $a_{ij} = const$ form a symmetric positive definite matrix mxm.

Let us introduce

$$\mathcal{H} = [W^{1,2}(\Omega)]^m$$
, $\|\lambda\|_{\mathcal{H}} = (\sum_{i=1}^n \|\lambda_i\|_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

and the bilinear form $B(A, \mu)$ on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ as follows:

(1.2)
$$B(\Lambda, \mu) = (b_{ij} \lambda_i, \mu_j) - \gamma^{-1}(\text{div} \Lambda - \alpha_j \lambda_j, \text{div} \mu - \alpha_j \mu_j)$$
,

where bis are entries of the matrix inverse to (ais),

 $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m)$ is a constant non-zero vector, $\gamma = a_{ij} \alpha_i \alpha_j$, $\dim \Lambda = \frac{\partial \Lambda_j}{\partial x_i}$.

We have shown in [1] the connection between the solution of (1.1) and the solution of the following problem: to find $\hat{\lambda} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

 $B(\widehat{\lambda}, \mu) = \gamma^{-1}(\underline{f}, \operatorname{div}_{\mu} - \alpha_{\underline{f}} \mu_{\underline{f}}) \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{R}.$

The connection is given by the

Theorem 1. Let the weak solution u of (1.1) belong to $W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ for any $f \in L_2(\Omega)$ and lulo < Clf1.

Then (1.3) has precisely one solution $\hat{\lambda}$ e \mathcal{H} holds

(1.4)
$$\hat{\lambda}_{i} = \alpha_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} + \alpha_{j} u \right), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m,$$

$$(1.4') u = \gamma^{-1}(\alpha_i \hat{\lambda}_i - \operatorname{div} \hat{\lambda} - f) ,$$

where c is a constant independent of f .

On the basis of (1.3) the Galerkin approximations can be defined. For simplicity let us restrict ourselves only to the following model problem:

 $-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \subset E_m ,$ $u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma .$ (1.6)

Let us set

(1.7)
$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_{m-1} = 0$$
,
 $\alpha_m = \alpha_0 h^{-1-\epsilon} (h \in (0,1), \alpha_0 > 0, \epsilon > 0)$.

Introducing

$$\bar{\lambda}_m = \alpha^{-1} \lambda_m$$
, $\bar{\mu}_m = -\alpha^{-1} \mu_m$

into $B(\lambda, \mu)$ we obtain another bilinear form

$$(1.8)\ \widetilde{\mathbb{B}}\,(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{m-1},\overline{\lambda}_n;\,\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_{m-1},\overline{\mu}_m)=\mathbb{B}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\alpha\overline{\lambda}_m;\,\mu_1,\ldots,-\alpha\overline{\mu}_m)\,.$$

It is readily seen that the problem to find $A \in \mathcal{X}$ such that

(1.9)
$$\widetilde{B}(\overline{\lambda}, \overline{\mu}) = \overline{\alpha}^2(f, \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \mu_{j,i} - \alpha_i \overline{\mu}_{m,m} + \alpha_i^2 \mu_m)$$
 $\forall \overline{\mu} \in \mathcal{H}$ is equivalent to (1.3).

In order to define Galerkin approximations, we introduce two families of finite-dimensional subspaces $V_{\mathcal{H}}$, $V_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{H}}}$ ($0 < h \le 1$, $0 < h_n \le 1$) which satisfy the following assumptions:

(i) (Conformity)
$$V_{h} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)$$
, $Y_{h_{m}} \subset W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$,

(ii) (Approximability) $\exists x \ge 2$, $\forall w \in W^{xe,2}(\Omega) \exists x \in V_{xe}$:

$$\exists \varkappa_m \geq 2$$
, $\forall v \in W^{\varkappa_m, 2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \exists \psi \in V_{n_m}$:

$$\|v-\psi\|_{\dot{z}} \leq C h^{\infty-\dot{z}} \|v\|_{2}, \quad (\dot{z}=0,1),$$

(iii) (Inverse inequality) A constant C exists, independent of χ and h such that for sufficiently small h and any $\chi \in V_h$

$$\|x\|_{\infty} \leq C h^{-1} \|x\|$$

Denote
$$Y(h, h_m) = (V_h)^{m-1} \times V_{h_m} \subset \mathcal{H}$$
.

We say that an element $\overline{\lambda}^{h} \in V(h, h_{m})$ is a Galerkin

approximation to the solution $\overline{\widehat{\lambda}}=(\widehat{\lambda}_1,...,\overline{\widehat{\lambda}}_m)$ of the problem (1.9) if

$$(1.10) \widetilde{B}(\overline{\lambda}^h, \overline{\mu}) = \alpha^{-2}(\mathfrak{L}, \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mu_{j,j}}^{n-1} - \alpha \overline{\mu}_{n,m} + \alpha^2 \overline{\mu}_m) \ \forall \overline{\mu} \in V(h, h_m).$$

The following rate of convergence is proved for the Galerkin approximations

Theorem 2. Let the solution M of the problem (1.6) belong to $W^{2,2}(\Omega)$ where $q \ge max(x+1,x_m)$ and to $W^{2,2}(\Omega)$ for any $f \in L_2(\Omega)$.

Then for sufficiently small & the Galerkin approximations are defined uniquely by (1.10) and it holds

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\frac{1}{2}=1}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{\frac{1}{2}}} - \lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^{h} \right\| + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\lambda}_{n}^{h}}{\partial x_{n}} \right\| + \left\| u - \overline{\lambda}_{n}^{h} \right\| \leq \\ & \leq C \left[h^{\infty-1} + h^{\varepsilon} + h^{-1} h_{n}^{\infty} + h_{n}^{\infty-1} \right] \left\| u \right\|_{q}. \end{split}$$

2. A mixed finite element method for plane elasticity

As a model problem of elliptic systems the Dirichlet problem for linear plane elasticity was chosen [2], i.e.

(2.1)
$$(\lambda_0 + \mu_0) \mu_{\dot{a},\dot{a}\dot{a}} + \mu_0 \mu_{\dot{a},\dot{a}\dot{a}} + F_{\dot{a}} = 0$$
 in $\Omega \subset E_2$,

$$u_i = 0$$
 on Γ , $(i = 1, 2)$,

where $w_{ij} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_{ij}}$, $\lambda_0 > 0$ and $\mu_0 > 0$ are Lame's constants and F_i the body force components. A repeared index implies summation over 1,2.

 Ω is a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary Γ . Applying the same idea as in Section 1, we define:

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) ,$$

and transforming λ_1 , λ_2 into $\overline{\lambda}_1$, $\overline{\lambda}_2$ properly, the Galerkin approximations $\overline{\lambda}^{h}$ can be defined on the basis of a new variational formulation of (2.1) similarly to those of Section 1 and an error estimate analogous to that of Theorem 2 holds. As a result, λ_3^h converge to the shear stress in L_2 (Ω), whereas $\overline{\lambda}_i^h$ and $\overline{\lambda}_{j,j}^h$ converge in L_2 (Ω) to u_j and $u_{j,j}$, respectively.

3. Application of curved elements

In [3] the application of two types of curved elements is shown, namely (i) of elements which describe the boundary arcs exactly, analyzed by Zlámal [5] and (ii) of elements, interpolating the boundary arcs, the theory of which was given e.g. by Ciarlet and Raviart [6].

We studied the convergence of Galerkin approximations to the solution of the model problem (1.6) in E_2 with $\Gamma \in C^\infty$.

Using (ii) for the case that the boundary is approximated piecewise by parabolas and the basic space of functions on the reference element consists of quadratic polynomials we obtain the rate of convergence of the Galerkin approximations as follows in

Theorem 4. Let the solution u of (3.1) belong to $\mathbb{W}^{n,2}(\Omega)$, $p \geq 3$. Then $\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} - \chi_1^h\|_{\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}} + \|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial \overline{\chi}_2^h}{\partial x_2}\|_{\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}} + \|u - \overline{\chi}_2^h\|_{\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}} \leq C \|\mathbf{h}^{\sigma}\|_{1} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{1,\Omega} + \mathbf{h}^{2\sigma}(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{3,\Omega} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{2}) \mathbf{J},$

where $\delta = \min(1, \epsilon)$ for p = 3, $\delta = \min(2, \epsilon)$ for p = 4, $\gamma = \min(1+\epsilon, 2)$ and Ω_n is the region bounded by the parabolas.

References

- [1] J. HASLINGER, I. HLAVÁČEK: A mixed finite element method close to the equilibrium model.I. Dirichlet problem for one equation (to appear in Numerische Mathematik).
- [2] J. HASLINGER, I. HLAVAČEK: A mixed finite element method close to the equilibrium model. II. Plane elasticity (to appear in Numerische Mathematik).
- [3] J. HASLINGER, I. HLAVÁČEK: Curved elements in a mixed finite element method (to appear in Aplikace matematiky).
- [4] P.G. CIARLET, P.A. RAVIART: General Lagrange and Hermite interpolation in R_m with applications to finite element methods
- [5] M. ZLAMAL: Curved elements in the finite element method, SIAM J.Numer.Anal.10(1973),229-240.
- [6] P.G. CIARLET, P.A. RAVIART: Interpolation theory over curved element with applications to finite element methods, Comp.Math.Appl.Mech.Eng., 1(1972).

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta Karlova umiversita Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8 Matematický ústav ČSAV Opletalova 45 11000 Praha 1

(Oblatum 29.4.1974)