Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Jindřich Nečas

Remark on the Fredholm alternative for nonlinear operators with application to nonlinear integral equations of generalized Hammerstein type

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 13 (1972), No. 1, 109--120

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105399

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

13,1 (1972)

REMARK ON THE FREDHOLM ALTERNATIVE FOR NONLINEAR OPERATORS: WITH APPLICATION TO NONLINEAR INTEGRAL EQUATIONS OF

GENERALIZED HAMMERSTEIN TYPN

Jindřich NEČAS, Praha

§ 1. Introduction. Let B be a reflexive Banach space and T a bounded, demicontinuous mapping from B to its dual B* . Define $T_t(u) = T(u) - tT(-u)$ and suppose that T_t satisfies for every $0 \le t \le 1$ the condition (S):

(1.1) If $u_m \longrightarrow u$ (weak convergence) and $(T_t u_m - T_t u, u_m - u) \rightarrow 0$, then $u_m \longrightarrow u$ (strong convergence, where (,) denotes the natural pairing between B* and B; if, for some f in B, we have also

(1.2) $T_{t} u - (1-t)f = 0$ for ||u|| = R > 0 and $0 \le t \le 1$, then there exists u in B such that ||u|| < R and Tu = f.

Suppose T is an odd mapping and ∞ -homogeneous $(T(t\mu) = t^{\infty}T(\mu), t > 0, \infty > 0)$ satisfying (1.1). The consequence of the above statement is the following alternative: if S is a completely continuous mapping from

AMS, Primary 58E05,49G99,47B15 Ref. Z. 7.962.5, Secondary 35D05,45G99 7.972.53

B to B* such that

$$\lim_{\|u\| \to \infty} \frac{\|Su\|}{\|u\|^{\theta k}} = 0$$

and if Tu = 0 implies u = 0, then $(T + S)(B) = B^{*}$. Furthermore, every solution of (T + S)u = f satisfies the inequality

$$(1.4) \| u \| \leq c (1 + \| f \|^{1/3\epsilon}) .$$

Conversely, if (1.4) is true for every solution of (T+S)u = f, then Tu = 0 implies u = 0.

The first statement is a generalization of a result of D.G. de Figueiredo, Ch.P. Gupta [4] and the alternative is a generalization of a result of S.I. Pochožajev [10] and F. E. Browder [2], and it is another version of the author's Fredholm alternative [9]; see also M. Kučera [7] and the forthcoming papers of S. Fučík [5] and M. Kučera [8]. For T linear, we obtain a generalization of a result of M.A. Krasnoselskij [6].

Application: Let M be a measurable set in R_m with $mes(M) < \infty$ and ℓ an odd positive integer. For $i=1,2,\ldots,m$, let $K_i(x,y)$ be kernels on $M\times M_\ell$, with $M_\ell=M\times M\times\ldots\times M$ ℓ -times, such that

Let $f_i(w, u)$ be functions defined on $M_\ell \times R_\ell$,

satisfying the Caratheodory condition and the growth condition

(1.6)
$$|f_i(y,u)| \leq c|u|^{\ell} + d_i(y)$$
 where $d_i \in L_{1+1/\ell}(M_{\ell})$.

The generalized Hammerstein's type integral equation is:

(1.7)
$$u^{\ell}(x) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{M_{\epsilon}} K_{i}(x, y) f_{i}(y, u(y)) dy = g(x)$$
,

where $u(y) = (u(y_1), u(y_2), ..., u(y_\ell))$ and the solution is supposed to be in $L_{\ell+1}(M)$. If the asymptotic condition: for $t \longrightarrow \infty$

$$(1.8) |t^{-\ell} f_{i}(y,t\mu) - \sum_{|\alpha|=\ell} a_{\alpha}^{i}(y) \mu^{\alpha}| \leq c_{i}(t) |\mu|^{\ell} + d_{i}(y,t)$$

with $c_i(t) \longrightarrow 0$ and $d_i(t) \longrightarrow 0$ in $L_{1+1/\ell}(M_\ell)$ for $t \longrightarrow \infty$, where $u^{\alpha} = u_1^{\alpha_1} \dots u_\ell^{\alpha_\ell}$ and $c_{\alpha}^i \in L_{\infty}(M_\ell)$, then the equation (1.7) has a solution if λ is not an eigenvalue for the asymptotic homogeneous equation

(1.9)
$$u^{\ell}(x) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{|\alpha|=\ell} \int_{M_{\theta}} K_{i}(x, y) a_{\alpha}^{i}(y) . u^{\alpha}(y) dy = 0.$$

Every solution of (1.7) satisfies

$$(1.10) \| u \|_{L_{1,1}(M)} \leq c \left(1 + \| g \|_{L_{1,1}(M)}^{1/2} \right)$$

and, conversely, if (1.10) is satisfied for every solution, then Λ is not an eigenvalue of (1.9).

§ 2. Abstract Theorems

Theorem 1. Let B be a real, reflexive Banach space and T a mapping from B to B*, bounded and demicontinuous $(u_m \longrightarrow u \implies Tu_m \longrightarrow Tu)$. Let T satisfy the condition (1.1) and (1.2). Then there exists a solution u, $\|u\| < R$ of Tu = f.

<u>Proof:</u> Let F be a subspace of B and let ψ_F be the injection of F to B and ψ_F^* its duality mapping. Let $T_F = \psi_F^* T \psi_F$.

(i) There exists F with $\dim F < \infty$ such that T_F , $(\omega) - t T_F$, $(-\omega) - (1-t) \psi_F^* f \neq 0$ for $\| \omega \| = R$, $\omega \in F' \supset F$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $\dim F' < \infty$, we prove a little more: there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that $\| T_F$, $(\omega) - t T_F$, $(-\omega) - (1-t) \psi_F^* f \| \geq \sigma$ for the ω in question. Of course, for $w \in F^*$, $\| w \| = \sup_{\omega \neq 0} \frac{(w, \omega)}{\| \omega \|}$.

Let us prove first this statement for t fixed. Let us suppose the contrary. Then, for every F with dim F < < ∞ , there exists a sequence F_m , $F \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \ldots$... dim $F_m < \infty$ and $u_m \in F_m$, $\|u_m\| = \mathbb{R}$, such that $\lim_{m \to \infty} \|T_{F_m}(u_m) - tT_{F_m}(-u_m) - (1-t)\psi_{F_m}^* f\| \ge o_{t_0}^*$. Suppose $u_m \longrightarrow u$, $u \in \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty F_m \in \mathbb{B}_F$. We have $\lim_{m \to \infty} (T(u_m) - T_t(u), u_m - u) = \lim_{m \to \infty} (T_t(u_m) - T_t(u), u_m - u) = 0$, $u_m - v_m = 0$,

where $v_n \longrightarrow u$, $v_m \in F_m$. Hence $u_m \longrightarrow u$ and for every $v \in B_F$: $(T_t(u) - (1-t)f, v) = 0$, hence $\|T_t(u) - (1-t)f\|_{B_F^*} = 0$. In this way, we constructed for every $F \subset B$, with $\dim F < \infty$, a separable subspace $B_F \supset F$ such that there exists $u \in B_F$, $\|u\| = R$ for which $\|T_t(u) - (1-t)f\|_{B_F^*} = 0$.

Let M_F be the set of such u corresponding to F. The set of M_F has clearly the finite intersection property. Let \overline{M}_F be the closure of M_F in the weak topology. There exists $\overline{u} \in \bigcap_F \overline{M}_F$. Let F with $\dim F < \infty$ be chosen such that $w \in F$, $\overline{u} \in F$. (Compare, for example, F.E. Browder [3].) There exists $u_m \in M_F$ such that $u_m \longrightarrow \overline{u}$, $\lim_{u \to \infty} (T_t(u_m) - T_t(\overline{u})$, $u_m - \overline{u}) = \lim_{m \to \infty} (T_t(u_m) - (1 - t)f$, $u_m - \overline{u}) = 0$, hence $u_m \longrightarrow \overline{u}$. This implies $(T_t(\overline{u}) - (1 - t)f$, $w_m = 0$ and $\|\overline{u}\| = R$ which is a contradiction to (1.2). It follows that there exists, for every t_0 from the interval <0, 4>, a set F_{t_0} with $\dim F_{t_0} < \infty$ and $\mathcal{I}_{t_0} > 0$, such that if $\|u\| = R$ and $u \in F'$, $\dim F_{t_0} < \infty$, $F' \supset F_{t_0}$, then $\|T_F, (u) - t_0 T_F, (-u) - (1 - t_0) \psi_F^*$, $f \| \ge \mathcal{I}_{t_0}$.

Because of the boundedness of T , the same is true

with F_{t_0} and $\sigma_{t_0}^{\prime}$ /2 for $|t-t_0| < \varepsilon_{t_0}$. Hence there exists t_i , i=1,2,...,m, ε_{t_i} , F_{t_i} , $\sigma_{t_i}^{\prime}$ such that $\lim_{t \to 1} \{|t-t_i| < \varepsilon_{t_i}\} \supset \langle 0,1 \rangle$.

If $\sigma' = \min\left(\frac{\sigma_{t_i}}{2}\right)$ and $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^m F_{t_i}$, then for $F' \supset F$, $\|u\| = R$, $u \in F' : \|T_{F^*}(u) - (1-t)\psi_{F^*}($

(ii) F chosen in (i), for F' \supset F, dim F' $< \infty$, and t = 1, by virtue of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem, the degree $(T_F, (\omega) - T_F, (-\omega)$, B(0, R), 0) is an odd integer. (Compare M.A. Krasnoselskij [6].) By homotopy, this is also true for t = 0; hence, there exists ω_F , \in F', $\|\omega_F$, $\|\cdot\| <$ R, such that T_F , $(\omega_F) - V_F^*$, $\|\cdot\| = 0$. Let M_F , $\|\cdot\| \leq 1$, $\|\cdot\|\| = 1$, $\|\cdot\|\| = 1$.

 M_F , has the finite intersection property, hence $\mu \in \bigcap_F \overline{M}_F$, where \overline{M}_F , is the closure in the weak topology.

Let $w \in B$, u, $w \in F'$. Then there exists $u_m \in M_F, \quad , u_m \longrightarrow u$. $\lim (Tu_m - Tu, u_m - u) = \lim_{m \to \infty} (Tu_m, u_m - u) = 0$.

Hence
$$u_m \longrightarrow u$$
 and $0 = (Tu_m - f, w) \longrightarrow$
 $\longrightarrow (Tu - f, w)$, q.e.d.

Theorem 2. Let S be a completely continuous mapping from B to B^* satisfying (1.3) and T an odd, bounded, demicontinuous and ee -homogeneous mapping from B to B^* . Let T satisfy the condition (1.1). Then there exists a solution of (T+S)u=1 and every solution satisfies the inequality (1.4) if and only if $Tu=0 \implies u=0$.

<u>Proof</u>: (i) Let (1.4) be true. Let us suppose there exists $u_0 + 0$ such that $Tu_0 = 0$. We have

$$\|u_0\| \le c \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \|S(tu_0)\|^{1/6}\right) \to 0 \text{ for } t \to \infty$$
,

which is impossible.

contradictory.

(ii) If Tu = 0 = u = 0, then for every solution (1.4) is true. If not, then there exists

$$u_m \in B$$
, $u_m \to \infty$ such that $\frac{1}{m} + \frac{\|Su_m\|}{\|u_m\|} \ge$

$$\geq$$
 || T $\left(\frac{u_m}{\|u_m\|^{ss}}\right)$ || . Putting $w_m = \frac{u_m}{\|u_m\|}$, we can

suppose $v_m \longrightarrow v$. Because $Tv_m \longrightarrow 0$, we obtain from the condition (1.1) that $v_m \longrightarrow v$ and, therefore, Tv = 0 and ||v|| = 1 which is

(iii) $(T + S)_{t}$ satisfies clearly the condition (1.1).

(iv) Replace T by T + S in Theorem 1. For R large enough and for every fixed f, T + S satisfies the condition (1.2), q.e.d.

§ 3. Application to the integral equation (1.7)

We submit the functions $f_i(y, u)$ to the asymptotic condition (1.8). We have for $\|u\|_{L_{2,d}(M)} \to \infty$:

$$\lim \| u \|_{L_{2+1}(M)}^{-2} \| \int_{M_2} K_i(x,y) [f_i(y,u(y)) -$$

$$-\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{n} a_{\alpha}^{i}(y)u^{\alpha}(y)] dy \|_{L_{1+1/2}(M)} = 0.$$

Therefore, the condition (1.3) is fulfilled for

$$S(u) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \int_{M_{\underline{c}}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} K_{\underline{c}}(x, y) \left[f_{\underline{c}}(y, u(y)) - \sum_{|\alpha|=\ell} a_{\underline{c}}^{\underline{c}}(y) u^{\alpha}(y) \right] dy.$$

The complete continuity of S follows from the well-known fact that the operator f_i (y, u(y)) is a continuous operator from $L_{\ell+1}$ (M) to itself; compare, for example, M.M. Vajnberg [11], and from the fact that the linear operator $\int_{M_{\ell}} K_i(x, y) w(y) dy$ is completely continuous from $L_{1+1/\ell}(M)$ to itself. If we put

$$T(u)(x) = u^{\ell}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{M_{\ell}} K_{i}(x, \psi) \sum_{|\alpha|=\ell} \hat{a_{\alpha}}(\psi) u^{\alpha}(\psi) d\psi,$$
we obtain a bounded, continuous odd and ℓ -homogeneous

operator from $L_{l+1}(M) \longrightarrow L_{1+1/l}(M)$.

By virtue of the complete continuity of the mapping

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{M_{\mathbb{Z}}} K_{i}(x, y) \sum_{|\alpha|=L} \alpha_{\alpha}^{i}(y) u^{\alpha}(y) dy , \text{ it is enough to}$$

$$\text{verify the condition (S) for the duality mapping } u(x) \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow u(x)^{\ell} . \text{ But}$$

$$\int_{M} (u^{\ell}(x) - v^{\ell}(x)) (u(x) - v(x)) dx =$$

$$= \ell \int_{M} (\int_{0}^{1} (v(x) + t(u(x) - v(x)))^{\ell-1} dt) (u(x) - v(x))^{2} dx$$

$$\geq c \int_{M} (u(x) - v(x))^{\ell+1} dx , \text{ where we used the elementary fact that } \int_{0}^{1} |a + vv|^{6} dv \geq c |v|^{6} \text{ for }$$

$$6 \geq 0 \text{ Hence we can use Theorem 2, and we obtain the statement from § 1.}$$

§ 4. Hammerstein's equation

Using the result of the preceding paragraph, we obtain L_2 (M) theory. By virtue of the linearity of the asymptotic equation and because of the form I + A of the considered operator, where I is the identity and A the completely continuous operator, we can base our consideration on the well-known fact; compare, for example, M.A. Krasnoselskij [61:

Let B be a real Banach space and Tu - f = = (I+A)u - f where A is a completely continuous

mapping. Let, for $\|u\| = R : \|Tu - f\| > 0$. Then, if the degree $(Tu - f, B(0, R), 0) \neq 0$, there exists u, $\|u\| < R$ such that Tu = f. It is also known (see M.A. Krasnoselskij [61) for K a linear completely continuous operator, that the existence of $(I + K)^{-1}$ implies for $R > \|f\| \| (I + K)^{-1} \|$ that the degree $((I + K)u - f, B(R, 0)0) = \pm 1$. Hence, by the homotopy argument, the same is true for R large enough for the operator (I + K + S)u - f, where $\lim_{\|u\| \to \infty} \frac{\|Su\|}{\|u\|} = 0$.

Let us consider the equation

(4.1)
$$u(x) - \lambda \int_{M} K(x, y) f(y, u(y)) dy = g(x)$$

with $u \in L_{n}(M)$.

 $1 \le p \le \infty$ and with

mes (M) <
$$\infty$$
, $\iint_{M} |K(x,y)|^{max(n,\frac{1}{n-4})} dxdy < \infty$,

 $1 < \mu < \infty$, which for $\mu = 1$ or $\mu = \infty$ would be replaced by continuity on $M \times M$ of the kernel, M assumed to be a compact set.

For $t \longrightarrow \infty$, we suppose:

(4.2)
$$\left| \frac{1}{t} f(y, tu) - a(y)u \right| \le c(t)|u| + d(y, t)$$

with
$$d(t) \longrightarrow 0$$
 in $L_{p_1}(M)$ and $c(t) \longrightarrow 0$ for $t \longrightarrow \infty$.

We have the following result (very near to the corresponding Krasnoselskij's result; compare his book [6]):

The integral equation (4.1) has a solution for every $g \in L_n$ (M) and every solution satisfies the inequality

(4.3)
$$\|u\|_{L_{p_{1}}} \leq c(1+\|g\|_{L_{p_{1}}})$$
 if and only if

A is not an eigenvalue of the linear equation:

$$v(x) - \lambda \int_{M} K(x, y) a(y) v(y) dy = 0.$$

References

- [1] F.E. BROWDER: Existence and uniqueness theorems for solutions of non-linear boundary value problems, Proc.Symposia on Appl.Math.Amer. Math.Soc.17(1965).24-49.
- [2] F.E. BROWDER: Existence theorems for non-linear partial differential equations, Proc.Amer.

 Math.Soc.1968.Summer Institute in Global

 Analysis (to appear).
- [3] F.E. BROWDER: Non-linear operators and non-linear equations of evolution in Banach spaces,
 Proceedings of the Symposium on Non-linear
 Functional Analysis, Amer. Math. Soc. April,
 1968 in Chicago. To appear.
- [4] D.G. de FIGUEIREDO, Ch.P. GUPTA: "Borsuk type theorems for non-linear non-compact mappings in Banach Space", to appear.

- [5] S. FUČÍK: Note on the Fredholm alternative for nonlinear operators, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 12(1971),213-226.
- [6] M.A. KRASNOSELSKIJ: "Topological methods in the theory of non-linear integral equations", Pergamon Press.N.T.1964.
- [7] M. KUČERA: "Fredholm alternative for non-linear operators", thesis 1969, Charles University, Prague.
- [8] M. KUČERA: Fredholm alternative for nonlinear operators, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 11(1970), 337-363.
- [9] J. NEČAS: "Sur l'alternative de Fredholm pour les opérateurs non linéaires avec applications aux problèmes aux limites", Anneli Scuole Norm.Sup.Pisa,XXIII(1969),331-345.
- [10] S.I. POCHOŽAJEV: "On the solvability of non-linear equations involving odd operators", Functional Analysis and Appl. (Russian),1(1967), 66-73.
- [11] M.M. VAJNBERG: "Variational methods for the study of non-linear operators", Holden-Day, 1964.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta Karlova universita Praha 8, Sokolovaká 83

Československo

(Oblatum 4.10.1971)

Preliminary wersion of this paper appeared as preprint of Chicago University of Illinois, Chicago, May 1970.