

Kamil John

A remark on Schwartz spaces consistent with a duality

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 12 (1971), No. 3, 533--536

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105363>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A REMARK ON SCHWARTZ SPACES CONSISTENT WITH A DUALITY

Kamil JOHN, Praha

In [3] , a notion of (\mathcal{S}) -neighbourhood in a topological linear space E was introduced. We show that a more simple condition is sufficient for a neighbourhood to be (\mathcal{S}) -neighbourhood and use this result to obtain a simpler characterization of the finest Schwartz topology consistent with a duality.

Let U be a closed absolutely convex subset of E . Then E_U denotes the normed space obtained by taking U as closed unit ball in the vector space generated by U and passing to a factor space, if the topology is not separated. By $E(U, V)$ we mean the continuous map $E_U \rightarrow E_V$ induced from the identity transformation of E , if $U \subset V$. By a neighbourhood we always mean a closed absolutely convex neighbourhood of zero.

In this notation a neighbourhood U is called (\mathcal{S}) -neighbourhood in E , if there exists a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of neighbourhoods in E such that $U_0 = U$, $U_{n+1} \subset U_n$ and $E(U_{n+1}, U_n)$ is completely continuous map of $E_{U_{n+1}}$ into E_{U_n} for all n . The fol-

lowing proposition says that only the existence of one such neighbourhood $U_1 \subset U$ is sufficient.

1. Proposition. A neighbourhood U in the topological space E is (S) -neighbourhood if and only if there is a neighbourhood V in E , $V \subset U$, such that the operator $E(V, U): E_V \rightarrow E_U$ is completely continuous.

Proof. The restricted condition is obviously necessary for U to be a (S) -neighbourhood. To prove the converse we use the following proposition [4, Proposition 3]:

An operator $T: E \rightarrow F$ (E and F are normed spaces) is completely continuous and $|T| \leq \beta$ if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence $\{a_m\}$, $a_m \in E'$, $|a_m| \leq \beta + \epsilon$, $|a_m| \rightarrow 0$ such that $|T(x)| \leq \sup |a_m(x)|$ for every $x \in E$.

Now if we have a neighbourhood V such that $E(V, U): E_V \rightarrow E_U$ is completely continuous, then, using this proposition we obtain the existence of $a_m \in E_V'$, $|a_m| \leq 1$ and $\alpha_m > 0$, $\alpha_m \rightarrow 0$ such that $r_U(x) = |E(V, U)| \leq \sup \alpha_m |a_m(x)|$. We prove first that there is a neighbourhood W in E such that $V \subset W \subset U$ and the operators $E(V, W)$ and $E(W, U)$ are completely continuous. It is sufficient to put $W = \{x \in E \mid \sqrt{\alpha_m} |a_m(x)| \leq 1\}$ i.e. the polar set of the bounded set $\{b_m\} \subset E_V'$, where $b_m = \sqrt{\alpha_m} a_m$. W is obviously a neighbourhood in E and $r_W(x) = \sup |\sqrt{\alpha_m} a_m(x)|$. Using again the above

mentioned proposition, we obtain that $E(V, W): E_V \rightarrow E_W$ is completely continuous. To see that also $E(W, U): E_W \rightarrow E_U$ is completely continuous, we observe that

$$r_U(x) \leq \sup \alpha_m |a_m(x)| = \sup \sqrt{\alpha_m} |l_m(x)|$$

and $r_W(l_m) \leq 1$.

Now we put $U_1 = W$. The operator $E(V, U_1)$ being completely continuous, we may, by the same reason, find a neighbourhood U_2 in E , $V \subset U_2 \subset U_1$ such that $E(V, U_2)$ and $E(U_2, U_1)$ are completely continuous. Proceeding by induction we obtain a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of neighbourhoods in E , $V \subset U_{n+1} \subset U_n \subset U_0 = U$, such that $E(U_{n+1}, U_n)$ and $E(V, U_{n+1})$ are completely continuous. This proves our proposition.

2. Proposition. Let E, F be paired linear spaces. Denote by \mathcal{A} the set of all absolutely convex $\sigma(F, E)$ compact subsets of F . Then the finest topology of a Schwartz space on E consistent with the duality $\langle E, F \rangle$ is the topology of uniform convergence on all those $A \in \mathcal{A}$ for which there is $B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the topology of the normed space F_B and the topology $\sigma(F, E)$ coincide on A .

Proof. Let $\tau = \tau(E, F)$ be the Mackey topology on E consistent with the duality $\langle E, F \rangle$. In view of [3, prop. 3] it is sufficient to show that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, A^0 is τ - (S) -neighbourhood in E if and only if there is $B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the topology $\sigma(F, E)$ and the topology of the normed space F_B

coincide on A . This is again easily seen by Proposition 1 and by the observation that for the neighbourhoods V , U , where $V \subset U$, in a topological linear space E , the following is equivalent:

a) The operator $E(V, U): E_V \rightarrow E_U$ is completely continuous.

b) The topology $\sigma(E', E)$ and the topology of the normed space E_{V_0} coincide on U^0 .

This completes the proof.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] M.B. DOLLINGER: Nuclear topologies consistent with a duality, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.23(1969), 565-568.
- [2] A. GROTHENDIECK, Espaces vectoriels topologiques, Sao Paulo,1954,1958.
- [3] K. JOHN: Schwartz spaces consistent with a duality, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 12(1971), 15-17.
- [4] K. JOHN: Some remarks on compact maps in Banach spaces (submitted to Časop.Pěst.Mat.)

Matematický ústav ČSAV
Praha 1, Žitná 25
Československo

(Oblatum 12.3.1971)