Ivo Babuška
A remark to the finite element method

A REMARK TO THE FINITE ELEMENT METHOD

Ivo BABUŠKA, College Park

I. Introduction. The finite element method, its different versions has become very important today in the theory and practice. See e.g. [1] - [17] and others.

One important form of the method is such that the approximate solution $u_h(X)$ on the domain Ω has the following form

$$(1.1) \qquad u_h(\underline{X}) = \Sigma\, c(h,\underline{k})\, \varphi\left(\frac{\underline{X}}{h} - \underline{k}\right)$$

where the function $\varphi(X)$ has a compact support and the sum is over all multiintegers $\underline{k} = (k_1,\ldots, k_n)$, ($k_i$ integers) such that

$$\mathrm{supp}\, \varphi\left(\frac{\underline{X}}{h} - \underline{k}\right) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset \ .$$

Many times the function $\varphi(x)$, $\underline{x} \in R_m$ is taken in a special form

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \varphi(x_i), \quad i = 1, 2,\ldots, m, X \equiv (x_1,\ldots, x_m).$$

For some domains e.g. a square, for $\varphi(X)$ smooth enough so called "inverse theorem" is valid for some sequences h_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h_i \to 0$.

$$(1.3) \quad \|u_{h_i}(\underline{x})\|_{W_2^\ell(\Omega)} \leq C(\ell)\, h_i^{-\ell}\, \|u_{h_i}\|_{W_2^0(\Omega)} \ .$$

----

where $W_2^l(\Omega)$ is the usual Sobolev space and $C(l)$ does not depend on i.

Many very important theorems of the theory of the finite elements method are based on the validity of the mentioned "inverse theorem". Under this assumption e.g. the computation of derivatives (see [14]) could be made much easier as in [14], simply by derivating the approximate solution. Without this "inverse theorem" proofs of many theorems will break down.

The purpose of this note is to show that for smooth domains the inverse theorem is not true for any choice of h_i .

To simplify the arguments we shall deal only with the two dimensional case   i.e. $\Omega \subset R_2$.

The inverse theorem is in fact equivalent with a geometric property of the domain Ω . Let us explain this property.

Let $\Omega \subset R_2$ be a bounded domain.

For every $h > 0$ let us define the mesh $P_h = = \{(hk, hl)\}$ with k, l integers.

Further let Q_h be the set of the all squares $S_{k,l}^h$

$$S_{k,l}^h \equiv \{ x = (x_1, x_2) ; \ hk < x_1 < h(k+1) ,$$
$$hl < x_2 < h(l+1) \}$$

and

$$Q_h^\Omega \equiv \{ \bigcup_{k,l} S_{k,l}^h ; \ S_{k,l}^h \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset \} ,$$
$$P_h^\Omega = \{ (k,l) ; \ S_{k,l}^h \in Q_h^\Omega \} .$$

Let us define now the function $\psi_h(k,l)$ on P_h by the following way :

(1.4)
$$\psi_h(k, \ell) = \frac{mes(S_{k,\ell}^h \cap \Omega)}{h^2}$$

where $(S_{k,\ell}^h \cap \Omega)$ means the measure of the set $S_{k,\ell}^h \cap \Omega$. Further let

(1.5)
$$\chi(h) = \min_{k,\ell} \psi_h(k,\ell), \quad (k,\ell) \in P_h^\Omega \quad.$$

The crucial question is whether we may choose a sequence $h_j \to 0$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ such that

(1.6)
$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \inf \chi(h_j) \geq \alpha \quad.$$

Certainly if Ω is a square then such a sequence h_j clearly exists.

In the next chapter we shall show that such a sequence does not exist in the case that the boundary has bounded curvature.

For the simplicity we shall prove the statement for a circular domain only. By the same idea it is obviously possible to prove the general statement for domains with bounded curvature in R_m.

II. **Theorem**. As we said we shall study the case of the circular domain Ω in R_2 only. Let

(2.1)
$$K(\kappa) \equiv \{(x, y); x^2 + y^2 < \kappa^2\}$$

be a circle with the radius κ.

Further let us denote $\varphi(x, y)$ the distance of the point (x, y) to the boundary of the circle $K(\kappa)$.

Let us prove the following theorem.

Theorem. Let us denote

(2.2)
$$\mathscr{E}(\kappa) = \min_{(k,l) \in K(\kappa)} \varrho(k,l) \quad,$$

k, l integers.

Then for every sequence $\kappa_i \to \infty$ we have

(2.3)
$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \inf \mathscr{E}(\kappa_i) = 0 \quad.$$

This theorem is obviously equivalent with the statement which we introduced in the first chapter in the case that Ω is a circle.

Proof. 1) Let us denote by $\mathcal{U}(\kappa)$ the number of all (k,l) in $K(\kappa)$,

(2.4)
$$\mathcal{U}(\kappa) = \sum_{(k,l) \in K(\kappa)} 1 \quad.$$

Obviously $\mathcal{U}(\kappa)$ is a not decreasing step function. Let ξ_i, $\xi_{i+1} > \xi_i$ be the sequence of all points of the discontinuity of the function $\mathcal{U}(\kappa)$.
Denote further

(2.5)
$$\lambda(\kappa) = \sup_{\xi_i \geq \kappa} |\xi_i - \xi_{i-1}| \quad.$$

Our theorem will be proved if we shall show that

(2.6)
$$\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} \lambda(\kappa) = 0 \quad.$$

In fact

(2.7)
$$\mathscr{E}(\kappa_i) \leq \lambda(\kappa_i) \quad.$$

2) Let us assume on the contrary that there exists a sequence κ_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \kappa_{i+1} > \kappa_i$, $\kappa_i \to \infty$ such that

(2.8)
$$\liminf_{i \to \infty} \varkappa(\varkappa_i) \geq 3\Delta > 0 .$$

Then for all $\varkappa_i$, $i \geq N$ we have

(2.9)
$$\lambda(\varkappa_i) \geq 2\Delta .$$

So we may construct the subsequence $\{i_j\}$, such that

(2.10)
$$\xi_{i_j} - \xi_{i_j-1} \geq \Delta$$

and

$$\xi_{i_j} \geq \varkappa_j .$$

Let us denote

(2.11)
$$\omega_j = [\xi_{i_j-1}]$$

where $[x]$ means integral part of x .

We have

(2.12)
$$\omega_j \leq \xi_{i_j-1} \leq \omega_j + 1 .$$

Because ω_j and $\omega_j + 1$ is certainly the point of the discontinuity of the function $\mathcal{U}(\varkappa)$ we have

(2.12)
$$\omega_j \leq \xi_{i_j-1} \leq \xi_{i_j-1} + \Delta \leq \xi_{i_j} \leq \omega_j + 1$$

and

(2.13)
$$\xi_{i_j-1} = \omega_j + \sigma_j \quad \text{where} \quad 0 \leq \sigma_j \leq 1 - \Delta .$$

Let us define now the numbers α_j resp. β_j such that

(2.14)
$$\omega_j^2 + \alpha_j^2 = \xi_{i_j-1}^2 = (\omega_j + \sigma_j)^2 ,$$

(2.15)
$$\omega_j^2 + \beta_j^2 = \xi_{i_j}^2 \geq (\omega_j + \sigma_j + \Delta)^2 .$$

Therefore

(2.16) $\quad \alpha_j = \sqrt{(\omega_j + \sigma_j)^2 - \omega_j^2} = \omega_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_j^{\frac{1}{2}} (2 + \sigma_j \omega_j^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

(2.17) $\quad \beta_j \geq \omega_j^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sigma_j + \Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2 + (\sigma_j + \Delta) \omega_j^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

But

$$\omega_j \geq n_j - 1, \quad 0 \leq \sigma_j < 1.$$

Therefore

(2.18) $\quad \alpha_j \leq \omega_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_j^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + \dfrac{1}{(n_j - 1)2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$

and hence

(2.19) $\quad \beta_j - \alpha_j \geq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega_j^{\frac{1}{2}} [(\sigma_j + \Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \sigma_j^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + \dfrac{1}{(n_j - 1)2})^{\frac{1}{2}}]$.

But

(2.20) $\quad \underset{0 \leq x \leq 1-\Delta}{min} [(x + \Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} - x^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + \dfrac{1}{(n_j - 1)2})^{\frac{1}{2}}] =$

$$= 1 - (1 - \Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + \dfrac{1}{(n_j - 1)2})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore for n_j big enough i.e. $j > N_1$ we have

(2.21) $\quad \beta_j - \alpha_j \geq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} (n_j - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} C \quad$ with $C > 0$

and hence for $j . N_2 > N_1$ we have $\beta_j - \alpha_j \geq 2$

and therefore there exists an integer k_j such that

$\beta_j > k_j > \alpha_j$ for all $j > N_2$

and hence $\zeta_j = (\omega_j^2 + k_j^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ is a point of discontinuity of the function $U(n)$.

But obviously

$$\xi_{i_{j}-1} < \delta_{j} < \xi_{i_{j}-1} + \Delta$$

and this is a contradiction and the theorem is proved.

A closer analysis of the proof shows that obviously by the same idea the general statement introduced in § 1 may be proved i.e. for m -dimensional domain with bounded curvature of the boundary.

R e f e r e n c e s

[1] G. FIX and G. STRANG: Fourier analysis of the finite element method in Ritz-Galerkin theory, Studies in Applied Mathematics 48(1969),265-273.

[2] G. STRANG and G. FIX: A Fourier analysis of the finite element variational method. To appear.

[3] J.P. AUBIN: Behavior of the error of the approximate solution of boundary value problems for linear elliptic operators by Galerkin's and finite differences methods, Annali della Scuola Normale di Pisa 21(1967),599-637.

[4] J.P. AUBIN: Some variational methods for non-homogeneous boundary value problems, Calcolo, 1969.

[5] J.H. BRAMBLE and A.H. SCHATZ: Raleigh, Ritz-Galerkin methods for Dirichlet's problem using subspaces without boundary conditions, Comm.Pure Appl.Math. (to appear).

[6] J. NITSCHE: Über ein Variationsprinzip für Lösung von Dirichlet Problemen bei Verwendung von Teilräumen, die keinen Randbedingungen unterworfen sind (to appear).

[7] J.H. BRAMBLE and A.H. SCHATZ: Least squares methods
         for 2nd order elliptic boundary value pro-
         blems. To appear.

[8] L.A. OGANESJAN, L.A. RUCHOVEC: A study of rates of
         convergence of some variational difference
         schemes for elliptic equations of second or-
         der in a two dimensional domain with smooth
         boundary (Russian). Ž.Vyčislit.Mat.i Mat.
         Fiz.9(1969),1102-1119.

[9] I. BABUŠKA: Error bounds for finite element method,
         Tech.Note BN-630(1969),University of Mary-
         land,Institute for Fluid Dynamics and Applied
         Mathematics. Numerische Mathematik 16, 322-
         333, 1971 .

[10] I. BABUŠKA: The rate of convergence for the finite
         element method, Tech.Note BN-646(1970), Uni-
         versity of Maryland,Institute for Fluid Dy-
         namics and Applied Mathematics. To appear in
         SIAM Journal,Num.Math.

[11] I. BABUŠKA: The finite element method for elliptic
         equations with discontinuous coefficients,
         Tech.Note BN-631(1969),University of Mary-
         land,Institute for Fluid Dynamics and Applied
         Mathematics, Computing 5, 207-213(1970).

[12] I. BABUŠKA: Finite element method for domains with
         corners, Techn.Note BN-636(1970),University
         of Maryland,Institute for Fluid Dynamics and
         Applied Mathematics, to appear in Computing.

[13] I. BABUŠKA: The finite element method for elliptic
          differential equations, Tech.Note BN-653,
          (1970),University of Maryland,Institute for
          Fluid Dynamics and Applied Mathematics.
          Numerical Solution of Partial Differential
          Equations II,SYNSPADE 1970.Edited by B.Hubbard,
          Academic Press 1971,New York-London,69-107.

[14] I. BABUŠKA: Computation of derivatives in the finite
          element method, Tech.Note BN-650(1970),Uni-
          versity of Maryland,Institute for Fluid Dy-
          namics and Applied Mathematics, Comment.
          Math.Univ.Carolinae 11,3(1970),543-557.

[15] I. BABUŠKA: Approximation by Hill functions, Tech.
          Note BN-648(1970),University of Maryland,
          Institute for Fluid Dynamics and Applied
          Mathematics, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae
          11,4(1970), 787-811 .

[16] I. BABUŠKA: The finite element method for infinite
          domains I, Tech.Note BN-670(1970),Universi-
          ty of Maryland, Inst.for Fluid Dynamics and
          Applied Mathematics.

[17] I. BABUŠKA and J. SEGETHOVÁ and K. SEGETH: Numerical
          experiments with finite element method I,
          Tech.Note BN-669(1970),University of Mary-
          land,Inst.for Fluid Dynamics and Applied
          Mathematics.

Institute for Fluid Dynamics and

Applied Mathematics

University of Maryland

College Park, Maryland

U.S.A.