

Luděk Kučera

On bindability of products and joins of categories

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 12 (1971), No. 2, 337--344

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105349>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON BINDABILITY OF PRODUCTS AND JOINS OF CATEGORIES

Luděk KUČERA, Praha

A category is called binding if it is concrete and every concrete category can be fully embedded into it.

(A full embedding $F : K \rightarrow L$ is a faithful functor ¹⁾ which maps K onto a full subcategory of L .)

The existence of a binding category is proved in [1].

We investigate in this paper products and joins of categories from the point of view of the property "to be a binding category".

The product $K \times L$ of categories K, L is defined as follows:

objects of $K \times L$ are all couples (X, Y) where X (Y respectively) is an object of K (L respectively),

morphisms of $K \times L$ from (X, Y) into (U, V) are all couples (f, g) , where $f : X \rightarrow U$ ($g : Y \rightarrow V$ resp.) is a morphism of K (L resp.),

$$(f, g)(h, j) = (fh, gj) .$$

1) F must not be one-to-one mapping of a class of objects of K into a class of objects of L .

AMS, Primary 18B15
Secondary -

Ref.Ž. 2.726.3

The join $K \vee L$ of the categories K, L is defined as follows:

objects of $K \vee L$ are all couples (X, i) , where either X is an object of K and $i = 0$ or X is an object of L and $i = 1$, morphisms of $K \vee L$ from (X, i) into (Y, j) are all couples (f, k) , where either $i = j = k = 0$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of K or $i = j = k = 1$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of L , $(f, 0)(g, 0) = (fg, 0)$, $(f, 1)(g, 1) = (fg, 1)$.

We shall prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1. $K \vee L$ is binding if and only if either K or L is binding.

Theorem 2. If $K \times L$ is binding then both K and L have a rigid object (i.e. an object, only endomorphism of which is the identity).

Theorem 3. If K is binding and a concrete category L has a rigid object then $K \times L$ is binding.

Theorem 4. If $K \times L$ is binding and L is a thin category (i.e. there is at most one morphism from X into Y for every two objects X, Y of L) then K is a binding category.

The general problem whether the bindability of $K \times L$ implies the bindability of either K or L is, as far as we know, unsolved.

This paper is divided into three paragraphs: in § 1 we shall prove Theorems 1,2,3. The proof of the theorem 4 (§ 3) is based upon a theorem on EO-embeddings and maximal cate-

gories which are defined and investigated in § 2.

§ 1. First we give three obvious lemmas:

Lemma 1. $K \times L$ is concrete if and only if both K and L are concrete.

Lemma 2. $K \vee L$ is concrete if and only if both K and L are concrete.

Lemma 3. If $F: K \rightarrow L$ is a full embedding, K is binding and L is a concrete category then L is binding.

Proof of Theorem 1. The functors $F: K \rightarrow K \vee L$ and $G: L \rightarrow K \vee L$ defined by

$$F(X) = (X, 0) , \quad F(f) = (f, 0) ,$$

$$G(X) = (X, 1) , \quad G(g) = (g, 1)$$

are full embeddings. Therefore if either K or L is binding then $K \vee L$ is binding in view of Lemmas 2,3.

Let $K \vee L$ be a binding category. Let the category M be obtained from $K \vee L$ by a formal addition of an initial object 0 . It follows that M is binding from Lemma 3.

Because $K \vee L$ is binding, there is a full embedding $F: M \rightarrow K \vee L$. If $F(0) \in K^0 \times \{0\}$ then it is evident that F maps M^0 into $K^0 \times \{0\}$. Therefore $G: M \rightarrow K$ defined by

$G(X) = Y$ if and only if $F(X) = (Y, 0)$ is a full embedding.

This implies that K is binding by Lemma 3.

Similarly, if $F(0) \in L^0 \times \{1\}$ then there is a full embedding from M into L , which implies that L is

binding.

Proof of Theorem 2. It is evident that a binding category has a rigid object. If (X, Y) is a rigid object of $K \times L$ then X (Y resp.) is a rigid object of K (L resp.).

Proof of Theorem 3. Let Y be a rigid object of L . Then $F: K \rightarrow K \times L$ defined by

$$F(X) = (X, Y), \quad F(f) = (f, \text{id}_Y)$$

is a full embedding. Therefore $K \times L$ is binding by Lemma 3.

§ 2. In this paragraph we deal with EO-embeddings and maximal categories:

Definition. A functor $F: K \rightarrow L$ is called an EO-embedding if F is a one-to-one mapping of $M_K(X, Y)$ onto $M_L(F(X), F(Y))$ for every two objects X, Y of K with $M_K(X, Y) \neq \emptyset$.

Next two lemmas are obvious:

Lemma 4. A composition of EO-embeddings is an EO-embedding.

Lemma 5. A full embedding is an EO-embedding.

Definition. A category K is called maximal if every EO-embedding $F: K \rightarrow L$ is a full embedding.

The main result of this paper is

Theorem 5. Every concrete category is a full subcategory of a maximal concrete category.

Proof. Denote by $\text{Set}(0, 1)$ the following category: objects of $\text{Set}(0, 1)$ are all sets X such that $0, 1 \in X$,

morphisms of $\text{Set}(0, 1)$ from X into Y are all mappings $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1$, the composition of morphisms is the composition of mappings.

Let K be a concrete category. Since $\text{Set}(0, 1)$ is isomorphic to the category of all sets and all their mappings we can suppose, without loss of generality, that K is a subcategory of $\text{Set}(0, 1)$.


We shall construct a sequence K_0, K_1, K_2, \dots of subcategories of $\text{Set}(0, 1)$ as follows:

1) $K = K_0$.

2) If K_{i-1} is defined then

objects of K_i are all objects of K_{i-1} together with all sets $\{(X, Y), X, 0, 1\}$, where X, Y are objects of K_{i-1} ;

if M, N are objects of K_i then

$M_{K_i}(M, N)$:  $M_{K_{i-1}}(M, N)$ for $M, N \in K_{i-1}^0$,
 set of all one-to-one morphisms $f: M \rightarrow N$ of $\text{Set}(0, 1)$ for $M = N \notin K_{i-1}^0$,
 set of all morphisms $f: M \rightarrow N$ of $\text{Set}(0, 1)$ such that $f(M) \subset \{0, 1\}$ and $f((X, Y)) \neq f(X)$ for $M = \{(X, Y), X, 0, 1\}$, where $X, Y, N \in K_{i-1}^0$ and $M_{K_{i-1}}(X, N) = \emptyset$,
 set of all morphisms $f: M \rightarrow N$ for

$$M = \{(X, Y), X, 0, 1\}, \text{ where} \\ X, Y, N \in K_{i-1}^0 \text{ and} \\ M_{K_{i-1}}(X, N) \neq \emptyset, \\ \emptyset \text{ in the other cases.}$$

The composition of morphisms is the composition of mappings.

It is evident that all K_i are subcategories of $\text{Set}(0, 1)$ and K_{i-1} is a full subcategory of K_i for every natural i .

Denote the union of the categories K_0, K_1, \dots by L . L is a subcategory of $\text{Set}(0, 1)$ and K is a full subcategory of L .

We shall prove that L is a maximal category:

Let $F: L \rightarrow M$ be an EO-embedding. Let X, Y be objects of L such that $M_L(X, Y) = \emptyset \neq M_M(F(X), F(Y))$.

There is a natural m such that $X, Y \in K_m^0$.

Let f be a morphism of M from $F(X)$ into $F(Y)$.

A mapping $g: \{(X, Y), X, 0, 1\} \rightarrow X$ defined by $g((X, Y)) = g(X) = g(0) = 0, g(1) = 1$ is a morphism of K_{m+1} . Since there is a morphism of K_{m+1} from $\{(X, Y), X, 0, 1\}$ into Y there is a morphism $h: \{(X, Y), X, 0, 1\} \rightarrow Y$ of K_{m+1} such that $F(h) = f \circ F(g)$.

Let m, n be morphisms of K_{m+1} from $\{(X, Y), X, 0, 1\}$ into itself defined by

$$m((X, Y)) = m(X) = (X, Y), m(X) = m((X, Y)) = X.$$

Then it is $qm = gn$, and $hm \neq hn$ and the following inequality holds:

$$F(hm) \neq F(hn) = F(h)F(m) = f \circ F(g)F(m) =$$

$= fF(qn) = fF(qm) = fF(q)F(m) = F(h)F(m) = F(hm)$.
 This is a contradiction. Therefore F is a full embedding.

Thus we have proved that L is a maximal category.

As a corollary to the theorem 5, to Lemma 3 and to the existence of binding category we have

Theorem 6. There is a maximal binding category.

§ 3. The proof of Theorem 4 is based upon the next lemma:

Lemma 6. Let K be a category and L be a thin category. Then there is an EO-embedding from $K \times L$ into K .

Proof. A functor $F: K \times L \rightarrow K$ defined by $F((X, Y)) = X, F((f, g)) = f$ is an EO-embedding, because if $(X, Y), (U, V)$ are objects of $K \times L$ then either $M_L(Y, V) = \emptyset$ and $M_{K \times L}((X, Y), (U, V)) = \emptyset$ or $M_L(Y, V)$ is a one-point set and F is a one-to-one correspondence between $M_{K \times L}((X, Y), (U, V)) = M_K(X, U) \times M_L(Y, V)$ and $M_K(X, U)$.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let M be a maximal binding category. Since $K \times L$ is a binding category, there is a full embedding $F: M \rightarrow K \times L$. If $G: K \times L \rightarrow K$ is an EO-embedding then $GF: M \rightarrow K$ is an EO-embedding. Since M is maximal, GF is a full embedding. Therefore K is a binding category.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] V. TRNKOVÁ: Universal categories, Comment.Math.Univ. Carolinae 7(1966),143-206.

**Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta
Karlova universita
Sokolovská 83, Praha 8
Československo**

(Oblatum 29.10.1970)