

Jaroslav Ježek

On atoms in lattices of primitive classes

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 11 (1970), No. 3, 515--532

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105295>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1970

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON ATOMS IN LATTICES OF PRIMITIVE CLASSES

Jaroslav JEŽEK, Praha

This paper is a continuation of my papers [2] and [3] on lattices \mathcal{L}_Δ (of all primitive classes of algebras of type Δ). For the terminology see [3]. We shall be concerned with atoms in \mathcal{L}_Δ . It is well-known (see [1]) that every \mathcal{L}_Δ is atomic.

In § 1, Theorem 1, a complete answer to the following question (Grätzer's problem 33 in [1]) is given: find the number of atoms in \mathcal{L}_Δ , for all types Δ .

For any complete atomic lattice L we can define, in a natural way, an element of L : the supremum of the set of all atoms of L . If $L = \mathcal{L}_\Delta$, then every element of L determines a primitive class of algebras of type Δ and we may ask to describe the primitive class determined by the supremum of atoms. The description depends on whether Δ contains or does not contain at least binary operations. The description is found in Theorems 2 and 3.

For the terminology and notation see § 1 of [3].

As in [3], we fix an infinitely countable set X and for each type Δ an absolutely free algebra W_Δ of type Δ . If A is an algebra of type $\Delta = (m_i)_{i \in I}$

and $i \in I$, then the i -th fundamental operation of A is denoted by $f_i^{(A)}$; the i -th fundamental operation of W_Δ is denoted by f_i . If $n_i = 0$, then f_i is an element of W_Δ .

Elements of W_Δ are called Δ -terms. A Δ -term w is called constant if $X \cap S(w)$ is empty (the set $S(w)$ is the set of all subwords of w , defined in [3]). A Δ -term is evidently constant, if and only if it belongs to the subalgebra of W_Δ generated by the empty set.

A Δ -equation $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ is called constant if w_1 and w_2 are constant Δ -terms.

Let a type $\Delta = (n_i)_{i \in I}$ be given. Elements $i \in I$ such that $n_i = 1$ are called unary symbols (of Δ). A finite (not necessarily non-empty) sequence of unary symbols is called unary sequence. If A is an algebra of type Δ , $a \in A$ and $\rho = \rho_1, \dots, \rho_m$ is a unary sequence, then a^ρ is defined in this way: $a^\rho = a$ if ρ is empty; $a^{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m} = f_{\rho_m}^{(A)}(a^{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{m-1}})$. If $\rho = \rho_1, \dots, \rho_m$ and $t = t_1, \dots, t_m$ are two unary sequences, then ρt is the unary sequence $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m, t_1, \dots, t_m$.

If Δ is a type, then \mathcal{L}_Δ is the dual of the lattice of all FI-congruence relations of W_Δ . Let us denote the greatest element of \mathcal{L}_Δ by $1_{\mathcal{L}_\Delta}$ and the smallest by $0_{\mathcal{L}_\Delta}$.

A Δ -theory E is called consistent if

$Cn(E) \neq 0_{\mathcal{L}_\Delta}$, i.e. if E has a non-trivial model; "inconsistent" means "not consistent".

§ 1. The number of atoms in lattices \mathcal{L}_Δ

Given a type Δ , denote by $AT(\Delta)$ the cardinality of the set of all atoms in \mathcal{L}_Δ .

Lemma 1. Let $\Delta = (n_i)_{i \in I}$ where $I = \{i_1, i_2\}$, $i_1 \neq i_2$ and $n_{i_1} = n_{i_2} = 1$. Then $AT(\Delta) = 2^{K_0}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove $AT(\Delta) \geq 2^{K_0}$. Denote i_1 by $|$ and i_2 by $+$. If A is an algebra of type Δ and $a \in A$, then $a' = f_{i_1}^{(A)}(a)$ and $a^+ = f_{i_2}^{(A)}(a)$. Let x and y be two different elements of X . Denote by M the set of all infinite sequences $e = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots \rangle$ of numbers 0 and 1, so that M has 2^{K_0} elements. For each $e \in M$ define a Δ -theory E_e : it contains all equations $\langle x^{+|\bar{n}}, y^{+|\bar{n}} \rangle$ where n is such that $e_n = 0$ and all equations $\langle x, x^{+|\bar{n}} \rangle$ where n is such that $e_n = 1$. (Here \bar{n} denotes the sequence containing n symbols $+$.) If e_1 and e_2 are two different elements of M , then $E_{e_1} \cup E_{e_2}$ is evidently inconsistent; as \mathcal{L}_Δ is an atomic lattice, it is sufficient to prove that every E_e is consistent. Let $e \in M$.

Denote by A the set of all ordered pairs $\langle l, \kappa \rangle$ where $\kappa \geq 1$ is a rational number and l

is either 0 or 1. Let us fix a one-to-one mapping φ of the set of all rational numbers $\kappa \geq 1$ onto the set of all rational numbers q such that $1 \leq q < 2$. Define an algebra A_e with the underlying set A in this way:

(i) $\langle 0, \kappa \rangle^+ = \langle 1, \varphi(\kappa) \rangle$;

(ii) $\langle 1, \kappa \rangle^+ = \langle 1, \kappa + 1 \rangle$;

(iii) $\langle 1, \kappa \rangle^! = \langle 0, \kappa \rangle$;

(iv) If $m \leq \kappa < m+1$ and $e_m = 0$, then $\langle 0, \kappa \rangle^! = \langle 0, m \rangle$;

(v) Let $m \leq \kappa < m+1$ and $e_m = 1$. If $\varphi^{-1}(\kappa - m + 1) < 2$, put $\langle 0, \kappa \rangle^! = \langle 0, \varphi^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(\kappa - m + 1)) \rangle$.

If $\varphi^{-1}(\kappa - m + 1) \geq 2$, put $\langle 0, \kappa \rangle^! = \langle 1, \varphi^{-1}(\kappa - m + 1) - 1 \rangle$.

We shall prove that A_e is a model of E_e . Let an integer $m \geq 1$ be given.

Let $e_m = 0$. Let $a \in A$. There exists an $\kappa < 2$ such that $a^{+!+} = \langle 1, \kappa \rangle$. We have $a^{+!+} = \langle 1, \kappa + m - 1 \rangle$ where $m \leq \kappa + m - 1 < m+1$, so that

$a^{+!+} = \langle 0, m \rangle$. Hence, $\langle x^{+!+}, y^{+!+} \rangle$ is valid in A_e .

Let $e_m = 1$. Let $a \in A$. If $a = \langle 0, \kappa \rangle$, then

$a^{+!+} = \langle 0, \varphi(\varphi(\kappa)) + m - 1 \rangle$; as $m \leq \varphi(\varphi(\kappa)) + m - 1 < m + 1$ and $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\varphi(\kappa)) + m - 1 - m + 1) = \varphi(\kappa) < 2$, we get $a^{+!+} = \langle 0, \varphi^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\varphi(\kappa)) + m - 1 + 1)) \rangle = \langle 0, \kappa \rangle = a$.

If $a = \langle 1, \kappa \rangle$, then $a^{+!+} = \langle 0, \varphi(\kappa + 1) + m - 1 \rangle$; as

$n \leq \varphi(n+1) + m - 1 < n + 1$ and $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(n+1) + m - 1 - m + 1) = n + 1 \geq 2$,
 we get $a^{+1+n} = \langle 1, \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(n+1) + m - 1 - m + 1) - 1 \rangle = \langle 1, n \rangle = a$.

Hence, $\langle x, x^{+1+n} \rangle$ is valid in A_e .

Lemma 2. Let $\Delta = (n_i)_{i \in I}$ where $n_i \leq 1$ for all $i \in I$. If α is a constant Δ -equation and A an atom in \mathcal{L}_Δ , then $\alpha \in A$.

Proof. Let C be the set of all $w \in W_\Delta$ such that $\langle w, \bar{w} \rangle \in A$ for some constant Δ -term \bar{w} . It is easy to prove that $A \cup (C \times C)$ is a FI-congruence relation of W_Δ and $A \cup (C \times C) \neq Q_{\mathcal{L}_\Delta}$. As A is an atom, we set $A = A \cup (C \times C)$, i.e. $C \times C \subseteq A$. Each constant Δ -equation belongs to $C \times C$.

Lemma 3. Let $\Delta = (n_i)_{i \in I}$ where $n_i \geq 1$ for all $i \in I$. If I is infinite, then $AT(\Delta) = 2^{\text{Card } I}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove $AT(\Delta) \geq 2^{\text{Card } I}$. Let x and y be two different elements of X . For each subset M of I define a Δ -theory E_M in this way: it contains all equations $\langle x, f_i(x, \dots, x) \rangle$ where $i \in M$ and all equations $\langle f_i(x, \dots, x), f_i(y, \dots, y) \rangle$ where $i \in I - M$. Evidently, each E_M is consistent, so that there exists an atom A_M in \mathcal{L}_Δ such that $A_M \vdash E_M$. If M_1 and M_2 are two different subsets of I , then $E_{M_1} \cup E_{M_2}$ is evidently inconsistent, so that $A_{M_1} \neq A_{M_2}$. There are $2^{\text{Card } I}$ different subsets of I .

Lemma 4. Let $\Delta = (n_i)_{i \in I}$; let there exist an $i_0 \in I$ such that $n_{i_0} = 1$ and $n_i = 0$ for all $i \in I - \{i_0\}$. Then $AT(\Delta) = 2$. If C is the set of all constant Δ -equations and x, y two different elements of X , then the two atoms of \mathcal{L}_Δ are just $C_m(C \cup \{\langle x, f_{i_0}(x) \rangle\})$ and $C_m(C \cup \{\langle f_{i_0}(x), f_{i_0}(y) \rangle\})$.

Proof is easy; for the complete description of \mathcal{L}_Δ in this case see [2].

Theorem 1. Let a type $\Delta = (n_i)_{i \in I}$ be given.

(i) Let $n_i \leq 1$ for all $i \in I$; put $m = \text{Card}\{i \in I; n_i = 1\}$. If $m = 0$, then $AT(\Delta) = 1$. If $m = 1$, then $AT(\Delta) = 2$. If $2 \leq m < \aleph_0$, then $AT(\Delta) = 2^{\aleph_0}$.

If m is infinite, then $AT(\Delta) = 2^m$.

(ii) Let there exist an $i_0 \in I$ such that $n_{i_0} \geq 2$. If I is finite, then $AT(\Delta) = 2^{\aleph_0}$. If I is infinite, then $AT(\Delta) = 2^{\text{Card } I}$.

Proof. Let $n_i \leq 1$ for all $i \in I$. If $m = 0$, the assertion is easy, and if $m = 1$, it follows from Lemma 4. Let $m \geq 2$. By Lemma 2, if $i, j \in I$ and $n_i = n_j = 0$, then $\langle f_i, f_j \rangle$ belongs to every atom of \mathcal{L}_Δ . Thus, the atoms in \mathcal{L}_Δ are in a one-to-one correspondence with some primitive classes of algebras with one nullary and m unary operations; we get $AT(\Delta) \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ if m is finite and $AT(\Delta) \leq 2^m$, if m is infinite. The converse inequality

ties follow from Lemmas 1 and 3.

Let there exist an $i_0 \in I$ such that $m_{i_0} \geq 2$. If I is finite, then the assertion follows from Kalicki [4]; see also Grätzer [1], Theorem 2 in § 27. Let I be infinite. It is sufficient to prove $AT(\Delta) \geq 2^{\text{Card } I}$. At least one of the two sets $\{i \in I; m_i \geq 1\}$ and $\{i \in I; m_i = 0\}$ has the same cardinality as I . If $\text{Card}\{i \in I; m_i \geq 1\} = \text{Card } I$, the assertion follows easily from Lemma 3. Let $\text{Card}\{i \in I; m_i = 0\} = \text{Card } I$. Let x and y be two different elements of X . For every subset M of $\{i \in I; m_i = 0\}$ define a Δ -theory E_M : it contains all equations $\langle x, f_{i_0}(x, f_j, \dots, f_j) \rangle$ where $j \in M$ and all equations $\langle f_{i_0}(x, f_j, \dots, f_j), f_{i_0}(y, f_j, \dots, f_j) \rangle$ where $j \in \{i \in I; m_i = 0\} - M$. The proof can be finished as in Lemma 3.

§ 2. Supremum of the set of atoms in \mathcal{L}_Δ : the case $m_i \leq 1$ for all $i \in I$.

Let $\Delta = (m_i)_{i \in I}$ be a type such that $m_i \leq 1$ for all $i \in I$. We shall describe the supremum \mathcal{S} of the set of all atoms in \mathcal{L}_Δ .

Firstly, let $m_i = 0$ for all $i \in I$. As there is exactly one atom in \mathcal{L}_Δ , \mathcal{S} is just the atom, i.e., the set of all Δ -equations that are either constant or trivial.

Secondly, let $\{i \in I; m_i = 1\}$ have exactly one

element i_0 . \mathcal{L}_A has exactly two atoms; they are described in Lemma 4. It is easy to see that the supremum \mathcal{J} of these two atoms is just $C_m (C \cup \{ \langle f_{i_0}(x), f_{i_0}(f_{i_0}(x)) \rangle \})$ where x and C are as in Lemma 4.

It remains to consider the case $\text{Card} \{i \in I; n_i = 1\} \geq 2$.

Lemma 5. Let $n_i \leq 1$ for all $i \in I$ and $\text{Card} \{i \in I; n_i = 1\} \geq 2$. Let $x \in X$; let \bar{s} and \bar{t} be two different unary sequences (of Δ). Then there exists a consistent Δ -theory E such that $E \cup \{ \langle x^{\bar{s}}, x^{\bar{t}} \rangle \}$ is inconsistent.

Proof. Let us fix two different unary symbols $|$ and $+$ (of type Δ). We may suppose that if either $\bar{s} = \bar{s}t$ or $\bar{t} = \bar{t}t$ for some unary sequence t , then the first symbol in t is not $|$. (If this were not true, we could exchange the role of $|$ and $+$.) Denote by t_1 the longest common beginning of \bar{s} and \bar{t} ; we may write $\bar{s} = t_1 t_2$ for some unary sequence t_2 . Denote by c the length of \bar{s} , by d_1 the length of t_1 and by d_2 the length of t_2 .

If κ and $\bar{\kappa}$ are two rational numbers, then $[\kappa, \bar{\kappa}]$ denotes the set of all rational numbers q such that $\kappa < q < \bar{\kappa}$. Put $A = [0, 1]$. It is evidently possible to choose subsets A_0, \dots, A_e of A so that the following be true: A_0 is an infinite subset of $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and its complement in

$[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ is infinite, too; if $0 < k \leq c$ and if the k -th symbol in s is $+$, then $A_k = \{\frac{1}{2}k; k \in A_{k-1}\}$; if $0 < k \leq c$ and if the k -th symbol in s is different from $+$, then A_k is an infinite subset of $[\frac{1}{2}, 1] - (A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_{k-1})$ and its complement in $[\frac{1}{2}, 1] - (A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_{k-1})$ is infinite, too. It is evidently possible to choose sets $\bar{A}_0, \dots, \bar{A}_{d_2}$ so that the following be true: $\bar{A}_0 = A_{d_1}$; if $0 < k \leq d_2$ and if the k -th symbol in t_2 is $+$, then $\bar{A}_k = \{\frac{1}{2}k; k \in \bar{A}_{k-1}\}$; if $0 < k \leq d_2$ and if the k -th symbol in t_2 is different from $+$, then \bar{A}_k is an infinite subset of $[\frac{1}{2}, 1] - (A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_c \cup \bar{A}_0 \cup \dots \cup \bar{A}_{k-1})$ and its complement in $[\frac{1}{2}, 1] - (A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_c \cup \bar{A}_0 \cup \dots \cup \bar{A}_{k-1})$ is infinite, too.

Let us fix an integer $n \geq 1$ such that neither s nor \bar{s} contains $\bar{+}$ (the unary sequence, consisting of n symbols $+$) as a connected subsequence. The sets $[0, \frac{1}{2^n}]$, $A_0, \dots, A_c, \bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_{d_2}$ are evidently pairwise disjoint.

We shall make A algebra of type Δ . For all $a \in A$ put $a^+ = \frac{1}{2}a$; for all $a \in [0, \frac{1}{2^n}]$ put $a' = \varphi(a)$ where φ is a fixed one-to-one mapping of $[0, \frac{1}{2^n}]$ onto A_0 ; if $0 < k \leq c$ and if the k -th symbol in s is $i \neq +$, then for all

$a \in A_{k-1}$ put $f_i^{(A)}(a) = g_{k_0}(a)$ where g_{k_0} is a fixed one-to-one mapping of A_{k-1} onto A_{k_0} ; if $0 < k \leq d_2$ and if k -th symbol in t_2 is $i \neq +$, then for all $a \in \bar{A}_{k-1}$ put $f_i^{(A)}(a) = \psi_{k_0}(a)$ where ψ_{k_0} is a fixed one-to-one mapping of \bar{A}_{k-1} onto \bar{A}_{k_0} . The definition of the algebra A is not yet completed, but realize this: $a^{\bar{t}_1}$ is already defined for all $a \in A$ and $a \rightarrow a^{\bar{t}_1}$ is a one-to-one mapping of A onto A_c ; similarly,

$a^{\bar{t}_2}$ is already defined for all $a \in A$ and $a \rightarrow a^{\bar{t}_2}$ is a one-to-one mapping of A onto \bar{A}_{d_2} ; by the assumption stated at the beginning of this proof, b' is not yet defined for any $b \in A_c$ and for any $b \in \bar{A}_{d_2}$. Let us fix an element $\alpha \in A$. We can complete the definition of the algebra A in this way: if $b \in A_c$, then b' is the uniquely determined $a \in A$ such that $a^{\bar{t}_1} = b$; if $b \in \bar{A}_{d_2}$, then $b' = \alpha$; in all other cases the operations are defined arbitrarily.

In this algebra A , the equations $\langle x, x^{\bar{t}_1} \rangle$ and $\langle x^{\bar{t}_2}, y^{\bar{t}_2} \rangle$ ($y \in X$ being different from x) are valid and thus the theory $E = \{ \langle x, x^{\bar{t}_1} \rangle, \langle x^{\bar{t}_2}, y^{\bar{t}_2} \rangle \}$ is consistent; $E \cup \{ \langle x^{\wedge}, x^{\bar{t}_2} \rangle \}$ is evidently inconsistent.

Theorem 2. Let $\Delta = (m_i)_{i \in I}$ where $m_i \leq 1$ for all $i \in I$ and $\text{Card} \{ i \in I; m_i = 1 \} \geq 2$. The supremum of the set of all atoms in \mathcal{L}_Δ is just the

set of all Δ -equations that are either constant or trivial.

Proof. Denote the supremum by \mathcal{Y} and the set of all Δ -equations that are either constant or trivial by C . By Lemma 2, we have $C \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$. Let $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \notin C$. Then $w_1 \neq w_2$ and either w_1 or w_2 is not a constant Δ -term, so that it is equal to x^ρ for some $x \in X$ and some unary sequence ρ . There exists evidently a unary sequence $\bar{\rho} \neq \rho$ such that $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \vdash \langle x^\rho, x^{\bar{\rho}} \rangle$. By Lemma 5 there exists a consistent theory and hence an atom E in \mathcal{L}_Δ such that $E \cup \{ \langle x^\rho, x^{\bar{\rho}} \rangle \}$ is inconsistent. As $\langle x^\rho, x^{\bar{\rho}} \rangle \notin E$, we have $\langle x^\rho, x^{\bar{\rho}} \rangle \notin \mathcal{Y}$ and consequently, $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \notin \mathcal{Y}$. We get $\mathcal{Y} = C$.

§ 3. Supremum of the set of atoms in \mathcal{L}_Δ : the case $m_{i_0} \geq 2$ for some $i_0 \in I$

Let $\Delta = (m_i)_{i \in I}$ be a type such that there exists an $i_0 \in I$ satisfying $m_{i_0} \geq 2$; let us fix such an i_0 .

For all $w \in W_\Delta$ and $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ define $w^{(n)}$ in this way: $w^{(1)} = w$; $w^{(n+1)} = f_{i_0}(w^{(n)}, \dots, w^{(n)})$.

Lemma 6. Let w_1 and w_2 be two different elements of W_Δ and x, y two different elements of $X \cap (S(w_1) \cup S(w_2))$. Then there exist two different elements $\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2 \in W_\Delta$ such that

$\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \vdash \langle \bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2 \rangle$ and $X \cap (S(\bar{w}_1) \cup S(\bar{w}_2)) \subseteq (X - \{y\}) \cap (S(w_1) \cup S(w_2))$.

Proof. For each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ let η_n be the endomorphism of W_Δ defined by $\eta_n(y) = x^{\#}$ and $\eta_n(z) = z$ for all $z \in X - \{y\}$. Evidently, we have $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \vdash \langle \eta_n(w_1), \eta_n(w_2) \rangle$ and $X \cap (S(\eta_n(w_1)) \cup S(\eta_n(w_2))) \subseteq (X - \{y\}) \cap (S(w_1) \cup S(w_2))$.

There exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $x^{\#} \notin S(w_1)$ and $x^{\#} \notin S(w_2)$. It is sufficient to prove the following assertion for all $t_1, t_2 \in W_\Delta$: whenever $n \geq 1$ is an integer such that $x^{\#} \notin S(t_1)$, $x^{\#} \notin S(t_2)$ and $\eta_n(t_1) = \eta_n(t_2)$, then $t_1 = t_2$. We shall prove by the induction on t_1 that the assertion holds for this t_1 and for all $t_2 \in W_\Delta$.

Let $t_1 \in X$. If $t_1 \in X - \{y\}$, then $\eta_n(t_1) = t_1$, so that (if $\eta_n(t_1) = \eta_n(t_2)$) $\eta_n(t_2) \in X$, so that evidently, $\eta_n(t_2) = t_2$ and consequently, $t_1 = t_2$. If $t_1 = y$, then $\eta_n(t_1) = x^{\#}$, so that (if $\eta_n(t_1) = \eta_n(t_2)$) $\eta_n(t_2) = x^{\#}$ and thus either $t_2 = x^{\#}$ or $t_2 = y$; in the first case we would get a contradiction with $x^{\#} \notin S(t_2)$, so that $t_2 = y = t_1$.

Let $t_1 = t_i(t_1^{(1)}, \dots, t_1^{(m_i)})$ and let the assertion hold for $t_1^{(1)}, \dots, t_1^{(m_i)}$. If $t_2 \in X$, then the proof is similar to the proof in the case $t_1 \in X$.

Let $t_2 \notin X$, so that $t_2 = f_j(t_2^{(1)}, \dots, t_2^{(m_j)})$ for some $j \in I$ and $t_2^{(1)}, \dots, t_2^{(m_j)} \in W_A$. If $\eta_m(t_1) = \eta_m(t_2)$, then

$$f_i(\eta_m(t_1^{(1)}), \dots, \eta_m(t_1^{(m_i)})) = \eta_m(f_j(t_2^{(1)}, \dots, t_2^{(m_j)})) = \eta_m(t_2) = \eta_m(t_2) = f_j(\eta_m(t_2^{(1)}), \dots, \eta_m(t_2^{(m_j)})),$$

so that $i = j$ and $\eta_m(t_1^{(1)}) = \eta_m(t_2^{(1)}), \dots, \eta_m(t_1^{(m_i)}) = \eta_m(t_2^{(m_i)})$.

By the induction assumption (as $x^{\#} \notin S(t_1) \cup S(t_2)$ evidently implies $x^{\#} \notin S(t_1^{(1)}) \cup S(t_2^{(1)}), \dots$), we get $t_1^{(1)} = t_2^{(1)}, \dots, t_1^{(m_i)} = t_2^{(m_i)}$, so that $t_1 = t_2$.

Lemma 7. Let w_1 and w_2 be two different elements of W_A . Then there exist two different elements $\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2 \in W_A$ and an $x \in X$ such that $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \vdash \langle \bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2 \rangle$ and $X \cap S(\bar{w}_1) = X \cap S(\bar{w}_2) = \{x\}$.

Proof. As every $S(w)$ is a finite set, the finite number of applications of Lemma 6 gives the existence of different elements $v_1, v_2 \in W_A$ satisfying $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \vdash \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ and $\text{Card}(X \cap (S(v_1) \cup S(v_2))) \leq 1$. If $X \cap (S(v_1) \cup S(v_2))$ is non-empty, let x be its (only) element; if it is empty, let x be an arbitrary element of X . It is sufficient to put $\bar{w}_1 = f_{i_0}(v_1, x, \dots, x)$ and $\bar{w}_2 = f_{j_0}(v_2, x, \dots, x)$.

Lemma 8. Let a non-trivial Δ -equation $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ and an element $x \in X$ be given; let $X \cap S(w_1) =$

$= X \cap S(w_2) = \{x\}$. Then there exists a consistent

Δ -theory E such that $E \cup \{\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle\}$ is inconsistent.

Proof. Put $B = S(w_1) \cup S(w_2)$. Let D be the set of all u^m where $u \in B$ and $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Let K be the set of all constant Δ -terms belonging to D ; put $V = D - K$. Let R be the set of all rational numbers. Put $A = (V \times R) \cup K$. We shall suppose that no element of K is an ordered pair; in the contrary case we would use (instead of W_Δ) some algebra isomorphic to W_Δ . If a is an ordered pair, denote by \vec{a} its first and by \overrightarrow{a} its second member. If a is not an ordered pair, we put $\vec{a} = a$ and we do not define \overrightarrow{a} . Let us fix a one-to-one mapping η of A onto R . Let us fix an integer $c \geq 1$ such that $u^c \notin B$ for all $u \in W_\Delta$; the existence of such a c is evident, and $c + 1$ has the same property. Let us fix an element $\alpha \in A$.

We shall make A algebra of type Δ . Let $i \in I$, $n_i = 0$. If $f_i \in K$, put $f_i^{(A)} = f_i$; if $f_i \notin K$, define $f_i^{(A)} \in A$ arbitrarily. Let $i \in I$, $n_i \neq 0$, and $a_1, \dots, a_{n_i} \in A$. Evidently, at most one of the following six cases can take place:

- (i) $f_i(\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_{n_i}) \in D$; there exists a j ($1 \leq j \leq n_i$) such that $a_j \in V \times R$; there exists an $\kappa \in R$ such that whenever $1 \leq j \leq n_i$ and $a_j \in V \times R$, then $\overrightarrow{a_j} = \kappa$;

(ii) $f_i(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_{m_i}) \in D$ and $a_1, \dots, a_{m_i} \in K$;

(iii) $i = i_0$; there exists a $v \in V$ and an $\kappa \in R$ such that $a_1 = \langle v, \kappa \rangle$ and $a_2 = \dots = a_{m_i} = \langle v^{\xi}, \kappa \rangle$;

(iv) $i = i_0$; $a_1 \in K$; $a_2 = \dots = a_{m_i} = a_1^{\xi}$;

(v) $i = i_0$; there exists an $\kappa \in R$ such that $a_1 = \langle w_1, \kappa \rangle$ and $a_2 = \dots = a_{m_i} = \langle w_1^{\xi+1}, \kappa \rangle$;

(vi) $i = i_0$; there exists an $\kappa \in R$ such that $a_1 = \langle w_2, \kappa \rangle$ and $a_2 = \dots = a_{m_i} = \langle w_2^{\xi+1}, \kappa \rangle$.

In these cases we define $f_i^{(A)}(a_1, \dots, a_{m_i})$, successively, in this way:

(i) $= \langle f_i(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_{m_i}), \kappa \rangle$;

(ii) $= f_i(a_1, \dots, a_{m_i})$;

(iii) $= \langle x, \eta(a_1) \rangle$;

(iv) $= \langle x, \eta(a_1) \rangle$;

(v) $= \eta^{-1}(\kappa)$;

(vi) $= x$.

In all other cases we define $f_i^{(A)}(a_1, \dots, a_{m_i})$ arbitrarily.

Let us define an endomorphism ν of W_A by $\nu(x) = f_{i_0}(x, x^{\xi}, \dots, x^{\xi})$ and $\nu(x) = x$ for all $x \in X - \{x\}$.

Let φ be an arbitrary homomorphism of W_d into A . Put $a = \varphi(x)$. Evidently, $\varphi(v(x)) = \langle x, \eta(a) \rangle$. For all $u \in K$ we have $\varphi(v(u)) = u$; by induction on v it is easy to prove for all $v \in D$ that if $X \cap S(v) = \{x\}$, then $\varphi(v(v)) = \langle v, \eta(a) \rangle$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(f_{i_0}(v(w_1), v(w_1^{k+1}), \dots, v(w_1^{k+1}))) = \\ & = f_{i_0}^{(A)}(\langle w_1, \eta(a) \rangle, \langle w_1^{k+1}, \eta(a) \rangle, \dots, \langle w_1^{k+1}, \eta(a) \rangle) = \\ & = \eta^{-1}(\eta(a)) = a = \varphi(x) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\varphi(f_{i_0}(v(w_2), v(w_2^{k+1}), \dots, v(w_2^{k+1}))) = a.$$

As this holds for all homomorphisms φ , A is a model of the theory E composed of $\langle x, f_{i_0}(v(w_1), v(w_1^{k+1}), \dots, v(w_1^{k+1}))) \rangle$ and $\langle f_{i_0}(v(w_2), v(w_2^{k+1}), \dots, v(w_2^{k+1}))) \rangle$, $f_{i_0}(v(\overline{w}_2), v(\overline{w}_2^{k+1}), \dots, v(\overline{w}_2^{k+1}))) \rangle$

where \overline{w}_2 arises from w_2 by exchanging x with some element of $X - \{x\}$. Thus, E is consistent, and $E \cup \{ \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \}$ is evidently inconsistent.

Theorem 3. Let $\Delta = (m_i)_{i \in I}$ where $m_{i_0} \geq 2$ for some $i_0 \in I$. The supremum of the set of all atoms in \mathcal{L}_Δ is just $1_{\mathcal{L}_\Delta}$, the greatest element of \mathcal{L}_Δ .

Proof. Let \mathcal{Y} be the supremum. Suppose $\mathcal{Y} \neq 1_{\mathcal{L}_\Delta}$, so that some non-trivial equation belongs to \mathcal{Y} . By Lemma 7, \mathcal{Y} contains a non-trivial equation $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 8; by Lemma 8 there

exists a consistent $E \in \mathcal{L}_2$ such that $E \cup \{\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle\}$ is inconsistent. As E is consistent, there exists an atom A in \mathcal{L}_2 such that $E \subseteq A$. We have $E \cup \{\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle\} \subseteq A \cup \mathcal{S} = A$, so that A is inconsistent - a contradiction.

Let us give a re-formulation of Theorem 3. A class \mathcal{U} of algebras of type Δ is called non-trivial if it contains at least two-element algebras, it is called non-extreme if it is non-trivial and does not contain all algebras of type Δ .

Theorem 4. Let $\Delta = (m_i)_{i \in I}$ where $m_{i_0} \geq 2$ for some $i_0 \in I$. For every non-extreme primitive class \mathcal{U} of algebras of type Δ there exists a non-extreme primitive class \mathcal{L} of algebras of type Δ such that $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{L}$ is trivial.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] G. GRÄTZER: Universal algebra. D. Van Nostrand, Princeton
- [2] J. JEŽEK: Primitive classes of algebras with unary and nullary operations. Colloquium Math. 20/2(1969), 159-179.
- [3] J. JEŽEK: Principal dual ideals in lattices of primitive classes. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 9(1968), 533-545.
- [4] J. KALICKI: The number of equationally complete classes of equations. Indagationes Math. 17(1955), 660-662.

**Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta
Karlova Universita
Praha 8 Karlín
Sokolovská 83, Czechoslovakia**

(Oblatum 12.12.1969)