

Stanislav Tomášek

Concerning the Banach-Stone theorem

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 10 (1969), No. 2, 307--314

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105234>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1969

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

CONCERNING THE BANACH-STONE THEOREM

Stanislav TOMÁŠEK, Liberec

In the sequel we consider compact Hausdorff spaces X , Y and corresponding B -algebras $C(X)$, $C(Y)$ of all complex-valued continuous functions.

Recently (cf.[5]), it was established that for any linear isometry u of the Banach algebra $C(Y)$ into the Banach algebra $C(X)$, X and Y being compact, there exists a closed subset $Q \subseteq X$ and a continuous mapping φ of Q onto Y with $\langle uf, x \rangle = \alpha(x) \cdot \langle f, \varphi(x) \rangle$ for all $x \in Q$ and for all $f \in C(Y)$, where $\alpha \in C(X)$ and $|\alpha(x)| = 1$ for any $x \in Q$.

With respect to this fact the following question arises: suppose that u is a linear isometry of $C(Y)$ into $C(X)$; to investigate under what conditions the mapping φ induced by u is a homeomorphism from Q onto Y . This question is completely solved in the statement (b) of the following Theorem *). Further we shall show a straightforward proof of the theorem of

*) The presented results were communicated as Appendix in [6] firstly.

Holsztyński and, finally, we shall state some closely related results concerning the Šilov boundary.

Let μ denote a linear isometry of $C(Y)$ into $C(X)$. Putting $E = \{\mu f; f \in C(Y)\}$ we mean by ${}^t\mu$ the corresponding adjoint mapping of the topological dual space E' onto $C'(X)$. The isometric image E of $C(Y)$ by μ is a Banach space with the topology induced by the norm-topology in $C(Y)$. Denote by $E(X)$ the family of all extremal points of the unit ball in E' ; similarly $E(Y)$ stands for the collection of all extremal points of the unit ball in $C'(Y)$. It is obvious that ${}^t\mu(E(X)) = E(Y)$. The canonical embedding $q: X \rightarrow E'$ is defined by $\langle q(x), f \rangle = f(x)$. Similarly q_Y means the canonical embedding of Y into $C'(Y)$. In the sequel we put

$$(1) \quad B = q(X) \cap E(X), \quad Q = q^{-1}(B).$$

For an arbitrary Banach space F the set of all extremal points in the unit ball of F' need not be, in general, weakly compact. In what follows we shall prove the weak compactness of $E(X)$; consequently and with regard to the weak continuity of q the subset Q defined by (1) is closed, hence compact, in X .

Theorem. Let X and Y be two compact Hausdorff spaces and let μ be a linear isometry from $C(Y)$ into $C(X)$. The subsets Q and B are defined by (1). Then it holds:

(a) There exists a continuous mapping φ from Q onto Y such that

$$\langle \mu f, x \rangle = \alpha(x) \cdot \langle f, \varphi(x) \rangle$$

for any $x \in Q$ and any $f \in C(Y)$, where $\alpha \in C(X)$, $\|\alpha\| = 1$ and $|\alpha(x)| = 1$ for all $x \in Q$.

(b) The mapping φ defined in (a) is a homeomorphism from Q onto Y if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

1° The collection $E = \mu(C(Y))$ separates the points of Q .

2° For any $q(x_1) \in B$, $q(x_2) \in B$, $q(x_1) \neq q(x_2)$, and for any complex number β , $|\beta| = 1$, it holds

$$q(x_1) \neq \beta q(x_2).$$

Proof. (a). The proof of the statement (a) is a modification of the proof of the Banach-Stone theorem (cf. [3]). First we recall that (cf. [3])

$$E(Y) = \bigcup_{|\alpha|=1} \alpha Y.$$

Hence, the subset $E(Y)$ is weakly compact in $C'(Y)$. From the weak continuity of t_μ and from $t_\mu(E(X)) = E(Y)$ we may conclude that $E(X)$ is weakly compact in E' , thus $Q = q^{-1}(B)$ is compact in X . For any $q(x) \in B$ there exists a unique element $y \in Y$ such that

$$(2) \quad t_\mu(q(x)) = \alpha(x) \cdot q_0(y),$$

where $|\alpha(x)| = 1$ for each $x \in Q$. We define now a mapping t of B onto Y by $t(q(x)) = y$, where

$q(x)$ and y satisfy the relation (2). It is easy to see that $t(B) = Y$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For any } x \in Q, \langle u(e), x \rangle &= \langle q(x), u(e) \rangle = \\ &= \langle \alpha(x) \cdot q_0(y), e \rangle = \alpha(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $e \in C(Y)$, $e(y) = 1$ for all $y \in Y$. This implies $u(e) = \alpha \in E$, hence t is continuous on B . The function $\varphi(x) = t(q(x))$, $x \in Q$, satisfies evidently the properties stated in (a). To verify the equality in (a), it suffices to note that $\langle u, x \rangle = \langle {}^t u(q(x)), f \rangle = \langle \alpha(x) \cdot q_0(y), f \rangle = \alpha(x) \cdot f(\varphi(x))$ for any $x \in Q$, $y = \varphi(x)$ and $f \in C(Y)$.

(b) Suppose now that the properties 1^o and 2^o are satisfied. To establish that t , hence φ , is a homeomorphism, it suffices to prove that t is one-to-one. If for some $q(x_1) \in B$, $q(x_2) \in B$ we have $t(q(x_1)) = t(q(x_2)) = y$, then

$${}^t u(q(x_1)) = \alpha(x_1) \cdot q_0(y), \quad {}^t u(q(x_2)) = \alpha(x_2) \cdot q_0(y).$$

But ${}^t u$ is a linear isometry, consequently, $q(x_1) = \beta q(x_2)$ for $\beta = \alpha(x_1) \cdot (\alpha(x_2))^{-1}$. According to the property 2^o we conclude $q(x_1) = q(x_2)$.

On the other hand, if φ is a homeomorphism from Q onto Y , then obviously E separates the points of Q . Suppose that $q(x_1) = \beta \cdot q(x_2)$ for some $|\beta| = 1$. By the definition of t we obtain $t(q(x_1)) = t(q(x_2))$, hence $q(x_1) = q(x_2)$. This completes the proof of the statement (b).

Remark 1. The condition 2° of the statement (b) may be formulated in the following way

2° ' Let x_1 and x_2 be two points of \mathcal{Q} . If for some $f \in E$ $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$, then for any complex $\beta, |\beta| = 1$, there exists a function $f_{\beta} \in E$ with $f_{\beta}(x_1) \neq \beta \cdot f_{\beta}(x_2)$.

Especially, if the vector space E separates the points of \mathcal{Q} and if there exists a function in E with constant non-zero values on \mathcal{Q} , then the mapping \mathcal{G} from \mathcal{Q} onto \mathcal{Y} defined in the precedent Theorem is a homeomorphism. Indeed, we may suppose without loss of generality that $e \in E$, $e(x) = 1$ for any $x \in \mathcal{Q}$. From $q(x_1) = \beta \cdot q(x_2)$, $x_1 \in \mathcal{Q}$, $x_2 \in \mathcal{Q}$, we obtain

$$1 = \langle q(x_1), e \rangle = \beta \cdot \langle q(x_2), e \rangle = \beta.$$

Remark 2. The statements of Theorem hold also in the case that $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ represent the spaces of all continuous and real-valued functions on X and Y . Especially, if the image $\mu(e)$ of the unit element e of the algebra $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ is a positive function on \mathcal{Q} (e.g., if μ is an isotonic linear isometry), then evidently $\alpha(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathcal{Q}$. From $\alpha \in E$ it follows the property 2° . The last case has been investigated from another point of view in [4].

Now we are ready to apply the previous results to the abstract Dirichlet's problem (in the sense of Bauer, cf. [1]).

First we recall that if X is a topological space and E is a family of bounded and continuous functions on X , then a compact subset $C \subseteq X$ will be termed a Šilov boundary of E whenever the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) For any $f \in E$

$$\max_{x \in C} |\langle f, x \rangle| = \sup_{x \in X} |\langle f, x \rangle| .$$

(ii) For any compact subset $C' \subseteq C$, $C' \neq C$, there exists $f \in E$ such that

$$\max_{x \in C'} |\langle f, x \rangle| < \max_{x \in C} |\langle f, x \rangle| .$$

Now we complete the precedent Theorem by

Corollary. Suppose that all assumptions of Theorem are fulfilled and that, moreover, \mathcal{G} is a homeomorphism. Then the subset Q defined by (1) is a Šilov boundary of E .

Proof. To prove the property (i), we may assume that the mapping \mathcal{G} defined by Theorem is continuous. For any such \mathcal{G} and any $f \in C(Y)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in X} |\langle uf, x \rangle| &= \sup_{y \in Y} |\langle f, y \rangle| = \\ &= \sup_{z \in Q} |\langle f, \mathcal{G}(z) \rangle| = \max_{z \in Q} |\langle uf, z \rangle| . \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality implies (i).*)

*) It should be noticed that a subset $C \subseteq X$ satisfying only the property (i) is called by some authors the boundary of the family E . In any case the subset Q defined by (1) is the boundary of the family E .

Suppose now that φ is a homeomorphism and that Q_0 is a proper and closed subset of Q . For some $x_0 \in Q \setminus Q_0$ the subset $V = \varphi(Q \setminus Q_0)$ is a neighborhood of $y_0 = \varphi(x_0)$ in Y . We choose a function $f \in C(Y)$, $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $f(y_0) = 1$ and $f(y) = 0$ for all $y \notin V$. Since $uf \in E$ and $|\langle uf, x_0 \rangle| = |\langle f, \varphi(x_0) \rangle| = 1$, $|\langle uf, x \rangle| = |\langle f, \varphi(x) \rangle| = 0$ for all $x \in Q_0$, we obtain the property (ii).

Remark 3. In particular, if E separates the points of Q and if some constant non-zero function on Q is contained in E , then Q is the Šilov boundary of E .

This result is a complex modification of the Bauer's maximum principle (cf.[2]).

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] H. BAUER: Šilovscher Rand und Dirichletsches Problem, Ann.Inst.Fourier, Grenoble 11(1961), 89-136.
- [2] H. BAUER: Minimalstellen von Funktionen und Extrempunkte.II, Arch.der Math.11(1960),200-205.
- [3] N. DUNFORD, J. SCHWARTZ: Linear operators.I., New York, London, 1958.
- [4] K. GEBA, Z. SEMADENI: Spaces of continuous functions (V), Studia Math.XIX(1960),303-320.

- [5] W. HOLSZTYŃSKI: Continuous mappings induced by isometrics of spaces of continuous functions, *Studia Math.* XXVI(1966), 133-136.
- [6] S. TOMÁŠEK: Λ -structures and their applications (in Czech), Thesis, 1966.

(Received April 17, 1969)