

Vlastimil Pták

Mappings into spaces of operators

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 9 (1968), No. 1, 161--164

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105166>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1968

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

MAPPINGS INTO SPACES OF OPERATORS
Vlastimil PTÁK, Praha

In a recent paper [1] B.E. Johnson proved the fact that every strictly irreducible representation of a Banach algebra is continuous. It is the purpose of this note to show that a similar argument may be used to prove a more general result which is concerned with algebraic homomorphisms of Banach spaces into spaces of linear operators. In this preliminary report we only give the proof of this main result; it has a number of consequences which will be published in the full version of the note.

Theorem. Let (Y, q) and (X, ω) be two normed spaces. Let (A, p) be a Banach space and T an algebraic homomorphism of A into $L((Y, q), (X, \omega))$. Suppose that the following two conditions are satisfied.

1° Given $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ such that the y_i are linearly independent then there exists an $a \in A$ such that $T_a y_i = x_i$;

2° for each $y \in Y$ the set $N(y) = \{a \in A; T_a y = 0\}$ is closed in (A, p) .

Then either Y is finite-dimensional or the mapping T is continuous.

Proof. The proof will be divided into four steps.

For the sake of brevity, we shall write ay for $T_a y$.

I. Let us prove first the following assertion.

(F) There exists a finite sequence $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m \in Y$ such that every $y \in Y$ is continuous on $N(\psi_1) \cap \dots \cap N(\psi_m)$. Suppose that (F) is not true. Take a discontinuous $y_1 \in Y$ with $q(y_1) = 1$. Since y_1 is discontinuous on (A, p) there exists an $a_1 \in A$ with $p(a_1) \leq 1$ and $\omega(a_1, \psi_1) \geq 2$.

Since (F) is not true there exists a $y_2 \in Y$ which is discontinuous on $N(y_1)$ and $q(y_2) = 1$. Hence there exists an $a_2 \in N(y_1)$ for which $p(a_2) \leq 1$ and $\omega(a_2, \psi_2) \geq 2^2(2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega(a_1, \psi_2))$. Since there exist discontinuous y 's on $N(y_1) \cap N(y_2)$ there exists a $y_3 \in Y$, $q(y_3) = 1$ and an $a_3 \in N(\psi_1) \cap N(\psi_2)$ with $p(a_3) \leq 1$ and $\omega(a_3, \psi_3) \geq 2^3(3 + \frac{1}{2}\omega(a_1, \psi_3) + (\frac{1}{2})^2\omega(a_2, \psi_3))$.

Proceeding by induction, we construct two sequences $a_i \in A$, $y_i \in Y$ such that $p(a_i) \leq 1$, $q(y_i) \leq 1$ and

$$a_i \in N(\psi_1) \cap \dots \cap N(\psi_{i-1}) ,$$

$$\omega(a_m, \psi_m) \geq 2^m(m + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (\frac{1}{2})^j \omega(a_j, \psi_m)) .$$

Define now $a \in A$ as

$$a = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{2})^j a_j \quad \text{so that } p(a) \leq 1 . \text{ Given a natural number } n , \text{ we have}$$

$$a \psi_m = \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{2})^j a_j \psi_m + v \psi_m$$

where $v = \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{2})^j a_j$. For $j \geq n+1$ we have

$a_j \in N(\psi_m)$ so that, $N(\psi_m)$ being closed, the vector v belongs to $N(\psi_m)$ as well. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(a\psi_m) &= \omega\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^m a_m \psi_m + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j a_j \psi_m\right) \geq \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^m \omega(a_m \psi_m) - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \omega(a_j \psi_m) \geq n \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the continuity of a in the second variable. The proof of (F) is thus complete.

II. Let us show now that every $y \in Y$ which does not belong to the subspace generated by ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n is already continuous. Take an arbitrary $y \in Y$ which is linearly independent of ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n . We may clearly assume that

ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n are linearly independent. We begin by proving that $A = N(\psi_1) \cap \dots \cap N(\psi_n) + N(y)$.

Indeed, let $a \in A$ be given. Since the $n+1$ elements

ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n, y are linearly independent, there exists, by assumption 1° , a $c \in A$ with $c\psi_1 = \dots = c\psi_n = 0$ and $cy = ay$. If we write $a = c + (a - c)$, we have $c \in N(\psi_1) \cap \dots \cap N(\psi_n)$ and $(a - c) \in N(y)$.

Since (A, ρ) is complete and both $N(\psi_1) \cap \dots \cap N(\psi_n)$ and $N(y)$ are closed, there exists a $\sigma > 0$ such that every $a \in A$ may be written in the form $a = u + v$, $u \in N(\psi_1) \cap \dots \cap N(\psi_n)$, $v \in N(y)$ and $\rho(u) + \rho(v) \leq \sigma \rho(a)$.

Now y is continuous on $N(\psi_1) \cap \dots \cap N(\psi_n)$ so that there exists a $\beta > 0$ such that $x \in N(\psi_1) \cap \dots \cap N(\psi_n)$ implies $\omega(xy) \leq \beta \rho(x)$.

If $a \in A$, we have

$$\omega(ay) = \omega(uy + vy) = \omega(uy) \leq \beta \rho(u) \leq \beta \sigma \rho(a)$$

and the proof is complete.

III. We have shown that there exists a finite-dimensional subspace of Y which contains all those $y \in Y$ which are not continuous on (A, p) . Denote by Y_0 the smallest subspace of this property and let us show that either $Y_0 = Y$ or $Y_0 = 0$. Indeed, consider the case $Y_0 \neq Y$. Choose a $y^* \in Y$ outside Y_0 . We intend to show that every $y \in Y$ is continuous. According to the preceding part of the proof, this is immediate if y lies outside Y_0 .

If $y \in Y_0$ then both $y + y^*$ and $y - y^*$ belong to the complement of Y_0 . Hence both $y + y^*$ and $y - y^*$ are continuous and so is $y = \frac{1}{2}(y + y^*) + \frac{1}{2}(y - y^*)$. It follows that $Y_0 = 0$.

IV. The proof is concluded by a standard category argument. Denote by B the unit ball of (Y, q) . If Y is infinite-dimensional the space Y_0 has to be the zero space so that Y may be considered as a subspace of $L((A, r), (X, \omega))$. Let us show now that the set $B \subset L((A, r), (X, \omega))$ is pointwise bounded on A . This, however, is a consequence of the fact that T is a mapping into $L((Y, q), (X, \omega))$. Indeed, if $a \in A$ is fixed and if $y \in B$, we have

$$\omega(a y) \leq |T_a| q(y) \leq |T_a|.$$

It follows that the set B is bounded in $L((A, p), (X, \omega))$; hence there exists a $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$\omega(a y) \leq \sigma r(a) q(y)$$

which proves the theorem.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] B.E. JOHNSON: The uniqueness of the complete norm topology, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73(1967), 537-539.

(Received January 5, 1968)