

Miroslav Hušek

Generalized proximity and uniform spaces. II

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 6 (1965), No. 1, 119--139

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105001>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1965

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

GENERALIZED PROXIMITY AND UNIFORM SPACES II.

M. HUŠEK, Praha

This paper is an immediate continuation of [4]. Therefore all symbols, terms and definitions introduced there are used without any references. In this last part II we investigate relations between proximity spaces and semi-uniform spaces (§ 4), proximity spaces and closure spaces (§ 5) and finally between semi-uniform spaces and closure spaces (§ 6). The most of our results, referring to preservation of projective or inductive properties (e.g. to be a subspace, a limit of a presheaf etc.) by competent functors, are consequences of more general propositions from [3] and [4], § 1. The last § 7 is devoted to the brief account of other relations (embedding of  $\mathcal{P}^U$  into  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  into  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}$ ).

4. Relations between proximity and semi-uniformity.

Definition 4.1. Let  $\rho \leftrightarrow \mu \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{U}_X \mid X \subset P\}$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{P}$ . We shall say that  $\mu$  is induced by  $\mathcal{U}$  (sign  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mu$ ) if one of the following equivalent conditions is fulfilled:

- 1)  $\mu = \{\langle X, Y \rangle \mid X \cup Y \subset P, \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}(X \times Y) \neq \emptyset\}$ ;
- 2)  $\mathcal{U}_X = \{U[X] \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  for all  $X \in \text{exp}'P$ ;
- 3)  $\{\langle \beta M, X \rangle \mid M \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}(X \times P)\}$  generates  $\rho$ .

Definition 4.2. Let  $\mu$  be a monotone proximity,  $\rho \leftrightarrow \mu \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{U}_X \mid X \subset P\}$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . We shall say that  $\mathcal{U}$  is induced by  $\mu$  (sign  $\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ ) if one of the following equi-

valent conditions is fulfilled:

- 1)  $\mathcal{C} = \{M | M \in \mathcal{C}(P \times P), \exists \alpha M' \rho \in \beta M' \text{ for any subnet } M' \text{ of } M\}$ ;
- 2)  $\mathcal{C} = \{M | M \in \mathcal{C}(P \times P), \langle \beta M', \exists \alpha M' \rangle \in \rho \text{ for any subnet } M' \text{ of } M\}$ ;
- 3)  $\{(X \times Y) \cup ((P-X) \times P) | X \subset P, Y \in \mathcal{U}_X\}$  is a subbase for  $\mathcal{U}$ .  
(Hence  $\{U\{X_i \times Y_i | i \in I\} | \{X_i | i \in I\}$  is a finite disjoint cover of  $P$  and  $Y_i \in \mathcal{U}_{X_i}$  for all  $i \in I\}$  is a base for  $\mathcal{U}$ ).

**Remark 4.1.** (a) The operations  $\rightarrow$  in the foregoing definitions determine covariant functors  $\Phi: \mathcal{P}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\psi: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_M$  (it is almost self-evident that each proximity induced by a semi-uniformity is monotone).

(b) The case of non-monotone proximity spaces is rather difficult. The following example shows that conditions (1), (2), (3) of definition 4.2 are not equivalent in this case and that there are no covariant functors  $\Phi^U: \mathcal{P}^U \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\Phi^L: \mathcal{P}^L \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\Phi^U \xi = \Phi^L \xi$  for every proximity space  $\xi$ ,  $\text{graph } \Phi^U f = \text{graph } f$  ( $\text{graph } \Phi^L f = \text{graph } f$ ) for each morphism  $f$  of  $\mathcal{P}^U(\mathcal{P}^L)$ ,  $\Phi^U/\mathcal{P}_M = \Phi^L/\mathcal{P}_M = \Phi$ . (But clearly we have covariant functors  $\Phi \circ I_1: \mathcal{P}^U \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\Phi \circ I_2: \mathcal{P}^L \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ , where  $I_1, I_2$  are covariant functors from  $\mathcal{P}^U, \mathcal{P}^L$  resp., in  $\mathcal{P}_M$  assigning to each object its modification - see theorem 2.5.)

**Example 4.1.** (a) We shall demonstrate that the conditions in definition 4.2 are not equivalent provided  $\rho$  is a non-monotone proximity. In every case  $\{(X \times Y) \cup ((P-X) \times P) | X \cup Y \subset U \mathcal{D}_\rho, X \text{ non } \rho(P-Y)\}$  is a subbase for the semi-uniformity induced by the coarsest monotone proximity finer than  $\rho$ .

Let  $P$  be the set of real numbers,  $\rho = \{< X, Y > | X \cup Y \subset \mathbb{P}$ ,

either  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  or card  $X \geq \alpha$ ,  $X \subset \{ \frac{1}{n+1} | n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ ,  $Y \ni \text{-min } X$   
or card  $X = 1$ ,  $X \subset ]1, \rightarrow [$ ,  $Y \neq \emptyset$  . Denote by  $\rho_1$  the  
coarsest monotone proximity finer than  $\rho$  and by  $\rho_2$  the fi-  
nest monotone proximity coarser than  $\rho$  ( $\rho_1$  is the finest prox-  
imity for  $P$  and  $\rho_2 \cap (]1, \rightarrow [ \times ]1, \rightarrow [$ ) is the coar-  
sest proximity for  $]1, \rightarrow [$ ). Let  $\rho_1 \rightarrow \rho_1$ ,  $\rho_2 \rightarrow \rho_2$ ,  
 $\mathcal{C}'$  be generated by  $\mathcal{C}' = \{ M | M \in \mathcal{C}(P \times P), \exists \alpha M' \rho \in \beta M' \text{ for any}$   
subset  $M'$  of  $M \}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}''$  be generated by  $\mathcal{C}'' = \{ M | M \in \mathcal{C}(P \times P),$   
 $\exists \alpha M' \rho \in \beta M''$  whenever  $M''$  is a subset of  $M'$  and  $M'$  is  
a subset of  $M \}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}' \leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ ,  $\mathcal{U}'' \leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}''$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}_1 \neq \mathcal{U}'' \neq$   
 $\neq \mathcal{U}' \neq \mathcal{U}_2$  (notice that the sequence  $\{ \langle n, \frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n+1} \rangle | n \in \mathbb{N} \} \in$   
 $\in \mathcal{C}' - \mathcal{C}''$ ) and  $\mathcal{C}' \neq \mathcal{C}'$ ,  $\mathcal{C}'' \neq \mathcal{C}''$ .

(b) Let us have covariant functors  $\Phi^U: \mathcal{P}^U \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ ,  
 $\Phi^L: \mathcal{P}^L \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  described in remark 4.1(b). Let  $P$  be at least  
a four-point set,  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3$  be subsets of  $P$  such that  
 $\emptyset \neq Q_i \neq P$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ), card  $(P - Q_i) \geq 2$ ,  $P \times P = (Q_1 \times Q_2) \cup$   
 $\cup (Q_2 \times Q_2) \cup (Q_3 \times Q_3)$ ,  $q_i \in \text{exp } Q_i \times \text{exp } Q_i$ ,  $\rho = \{ \langle X, Y \rangle | X \cup Y \neq P, \text{ either } X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$   
or  $X \in \text{exp } Q_i$  and  $Y \cap Q_i \neq \emptyset$  for some  $i \}$ . If  $\langle P, \rho \rangle =$   
 $= \Phi^U \langle P, \rho \rangle = \Phi^L \langle P, \rho \rangle$ , then  $\mathcal{U} = (P \times P)$  because the iden-  
tity mappings of  $\langle Q_i, q_i \rangle$  into  $\langle P, \rho \rangle$  are upper prox-  
imally continuous and  $q_i$  are monotone. But this leads to a  
contradiction because there is a lower proximally continuous  
mapping  $f$  of  $\langle P, \rho \rangle$  onto a monotone proximity space  
 $\langle R, \kappa \rangle$ , where  $\kappa$  does not induce  $(R \times R)$ . (Put  $R =$   
 $= \{ a, b \}$ ,  $\kappa = \{ \langle X, Y \rangle | X \cup Y = R, \text{ either } X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  or  $X = \{ b \},$   
 $Y = \{ a \} \}$ ,  $f = \{ \langle Q_i \cup \{ x \} \times \{ a \} \cup \langle P - (Q_i \cup \{ x \}) \rangle \times \{ b \} \}$  where  $x \in$   
 $\in P - Q_i$ .)

**Definition 4.2.** We shall say that a proximity is semi-uni-  
formizable, uniformizable, symmetric semi-uniformizable, symmet-  
ric uniformizable resp., if it is induced by a semi-uniformity,

uniformity etc. Similarly for proximity spaces. We shall denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_U$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_S$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{SU}$ , resp., the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{P}_M$  generated by the class of all semi-uniformizable proximity spaces, uniformizable proximity spaces etc.

**Theorem 4.1.** There is a coarsest semi-uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  in the set of all semi-uniformities inducing a given proximity  $\mu$ .  $\mathcal{U}$  is induced by  $\mu$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mu \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ . Evidently  $\mathcal{V} < \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mu = \mathcal{Q}$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** There is a finest monotone proximity  $\mu$  in the set of all monotone proximities inducing a given semi-uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ .  $\mu$  is induced by  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mu \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  evidently  $\mu < \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U}$ .

**Remark 4.2.**  $\psi[\mathcal{U}] = \mathcal{P}_{S-U}$ ,  $\psi[\mathcal{U}_S] = \mathcal{P}_S$ ,  $\psi[\mathcal{U}_U] = \mathcal{P}_U$ ,  $\psi[\mathcal{U}_{SU}] = \mathcal{P}_{SU}$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $\rho \leftrightarrow \mu \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{U}_X \mid X \subset P\}$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $\mu$  is semi-uniformizable;
- 2) if  $X_1 \cup X_2 \subset P$  then  $(X_1 \cup X_2) \mu Y$  if and only if either  $X_1 \mu Y$  or  $X_2 \mu Y$ ;
- 3) if  $X_1 \cup X_2 \subset P$  then  $\mathcal{U}_{X_1 \cup X_2} = \mathcal{U}_{X_1} \cap \mathcal{U}_{X_2}$ ;
- 4)  $\rho$  is generated by the class  $\rho' = \{ \langle M, X \rangle \mid M \in \mathcal{C}(P), X \subset P, \text{ there is } N \in \mathcal{C}(X \times P) \text{ such that } \beta N = M \text{ and } \langle \beta N', \varepsilon(\alpha N') \rangle \in \rho \text{ for each subnet } N' \text{ of } N \}$ .

Proof. Implications  $1 \leftrightarrow 2 \leftrightarrow 3$ ,  $1 \Rightarrow 4$  follow from definitions 4.1 and 4.2. From the remaining implications it is easy to prove  $4 \Rightarrow 2$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $\rho \leftrightarrow \mu \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{U}_X \mid X \subset P\}$ . Then the

following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $\mu$  is symmetric semi-uniformizable;
- 2)  $\mu$  is symmetric;
- 3)  $Y \in \mathcal{U}_X$  implies  $P-X \in \mathcal{U}_{P-Y}$  ;
- 4)  $\rho = \{ \langle M, X \rangle \mid X \subset P \text{ and } \rho \cap (\mathcal{L}(X) \times (EM')) \neq \emptyset$

for each subnet  $M'$  of  $M$  }.

Proof. It is obvious that  $1 \Rightarrow 2 \Leftrightarrow 3$ ,  $2 \Leftrightarrow 4$ . If  $W = (X \times Y) \cup (P-X) \times P$  then  $W^{-1} = (P-Y) \times (P-X) \cup (Y \times P)$ . It follows  $3 \Rightarrow 1$ .

Theorem 4.5. Let  $\rho \leftrightarrow \mu \leftrightarrow \{ \mathcal{U}_X \mid X \subset P \}$ ,  $\mu$  be semi-uniformizable. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $\mu$  is uniformizable;
- 2) if  $X \text{ non } \mu Y$  then  $X \text{ non } \mu (P-Z)$ ,  $Z \text{ non } \mu Y$  for some  $Z$  ;
- 3) if  $Z \mu Y$  for each  $Z \in \mathcal{U}_X$  then  $X \mu Y$  ;
- 4) if  $Y \in \mathcal{U}_X$  then  $Y \in \mathcal{U}_Z$  for some  $Z \in \mathcal{U}_X$  ;
- 5) if  $\langle M, Z \rangle \in \rho$  for each  $Z \in \mathcal{U}_X$  then  $\langle M, X \rangle \in \rho$  ;

6) let  $A$  be a right-directed set, for each  $\alpha \in A$  be  $\langle M_\alpha, X_\alpha \rangle \in \rho$  and for each net  $N$  with  $\mathcal{D}N = A$ ,  $N_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in A$  be  $\langle N, X \rangle \in \rho$  (i.e. for any  $Y \in \mathcal{U}_X$  there is  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $X_\beta \subset Y$  for each  $\beta \in A$ ,  $\beta > \alpha$ ), then  $\langle M, X \rangle \in \rho$  where  $\mathcal{D}M = A \times \Pi \{ \mathcal{D}M_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A \}$ ,  $M_{\langle \alpha, \{ a_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A \} \rangle} = (M_\alpha)_{a_\alpha}$ .

Proof. It is easy to verify that  $1 \Rightarrow 2 \Leftrightarrow 3 \Leftrightarrow 4 \Rightarrow 5 \Rightarrow 6 \Rightarrow 3$ . Suppose that 4 is true,  $\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mu$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is a uniformity. Indeed, for any  $W = (X \times Y) \cup (P-X) \times P \in \mathcal{U}$  there is a  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $V \cdot V \subset W$  (Put  $V = (X \times Z) \cup ((Z-X) \times Y) \cup ((P-Z) \times P)$  where  $Z \in \mathcal{U}_X, Y \in \mathcal{U}_Z$ .) Hence  $4 \Rightarrow 1$ .

**Corollary.** Let  $\rho \leftrightarrow \mu \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{U}_x \mid x \in P\}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $\mu$  is symmetric uniformizable;
- 2) if  $X \text{ non } \mu Y$  then  $X \text{ non } \mu (P-Z), Y \text{ non } \mu Z$  for some  $Z$ ;
- 3) if  $X \text{ non } \mu Y$  then  $Z_1 \cap Z_2 = \emptyset$  for some  $Z_1 \in \mathcal{U}_X, Z_2 \in \mathcal{U}_Y$ ;
- 4) if  $Y \in \mathcal{U}_X$  then  $P-Z \in \mathcal{U}_{P-Y}$  for some  $Z \in \mathcal{U}_X$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $\mathcal{U} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}, P = \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{U}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $\mathcal{U}$  is induced by a monotone proximity;
- 2)  $\{\mathcal{U} \mid \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}, \{U[x] \mid x \in P\} \text{ is a finite set}\}$  is a base for  $\mathcal{U}$ ;
- 3)  $\mathcal{C} = \{M \mid M \in \mathcal{C}(P \times P), \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}(E(\alpha M') \times E(\beta M')) \neq \emptyset \text{ for each subnet } M' \text{ of } M\}$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ . If  $\mu$  is uniformizable, symmetric, symmetric uniformizable resp., then  $\mathcal{U}$  is a uniformity, symmetric semi-uniformity, symmetric uniformity resp.

Proof follows from the proofs of theorems 4.4, 4.5.

**Remark 4.3.** If a symmetric semi-uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  is induced by a monotone proximity then  $\{V \mid V \in \mathcal{U}, V = U\{X_i \times X_i \mid i \in I\}, \text{card } I < \kappa_0\}$  is a base for  $\mathcal{U}$ . (If  $U = U\{X_i \times X_i \mid i \in I\}$  where  $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$  is a disjoint family and if  $U = U^{-1}$  then  $U = U\{(X_i \cup X_j) \times (X_i \cup X_j) \mid \langle i, j \rangle \in I \times I, U \cap (X_i \times X_j) \neq \emptyset\}$ .)

**Definition 4.4.** A semi-uniformity is called proximally coarse if it is induced by a proximity. We denote by  $\mathcal{U}^c, \mathcal{U}_s^c, \mathcal{U}_U^c, \mathcal{U}_{SU}^c$  resp., the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{U}$  determined by the proximally coarse semi-uniformities, etc.

**Theorem 4.8.** The categories  $\mathcal{P}_{s-U}$  and  $\mathcal{U}^c, \mathcal{P}_s$  and

$\mathcal{U}_S^c, \mathcal{P}_U$  and  $\mathcal{U}_U^c, \mathcal{P}_{SU}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{SU}^c$  resp., are isomorphic.

The competent isomorphism is

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_{S-U}} = (\psi/\eta_c)^{-1}, \Phi_{\mathcal{P}_S} = (\psi/\eta_c)^{-1}, \Phi_{\mathcal{P}_U} = (\psi/\eta_c)^{-1}, \Phi_{\mathcal{P}_{SU}} = (\psi/\eta_{SU}^c)^{-1} \text{ resp.}$$

**Theorem 4.9.** Each object of  $\mathcal{P}_M$  has its lower modification in  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}$  and each object of  $\mathcal{U}$  has its upper modification in  $\mathcal{U}^c$ . Moreover, the upper modification in  $\mathcal{U}^c$  of an object of  $\mathcal{U}_S, \mathcal{U}_U, \mathcal{U}_{SU}$  resp., is an object of  $\mathcal{U}_S^c, \mathcal{U}_U^c, \mathcal{U}_{SU}^c$  resp. Hence each object of  $\mathcal{U}^c$  has its upper modifications in  $\mathcal{U}_S^c, \mathcal{U}_U^c, \mathcal{U}_{SU}^c$  and each object of  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}$  has its upper modifications in  $\mathcal{P}_S, \mathcal{P}_U, \mathcal{P}_{SU}$ . Each object of  $\mathcal{U}^c, \mathcal{U}_U^c$  resp., has its lower modification in  $\mathcal{U}_S^c, \mathcal{U}_{SU}^c$  resp. and so each object of  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}, \mathcal{P}_U$  resp., has its lower modification in  $\mathcal{P}_S, \mathcal{P}_{SU}$  resp.

**Corollary 1.**  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}, \mathcal{P}_S, \mathcal{P}_U, \mathcal{P}_{SU}, \mathcal{U}^c, \mathcal{U}_S^c, \mathcal{U}_U^c, \mathcal{U}_{SU}^c$  are  $S$ -categories over  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to the forgetful functors.

**Corollary 2.**  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}$  is inductive in  $\mathcal{P}_M, \mathcal{P}_S$  is projective and inductive in  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}, \mathcal{P}_U$  is projective in  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}, \mathcal{P}_{SU}$  is projective in  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}, \mathcal{P}_S, \mathcal{P}_U$  and inductive in  $\mathcal{P}_U$ . (It is easy to prove that  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}$  is hereditary in  $\mathcal{P}_M, \mathcal{P}_U$  is coproductive in  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}$  and hence that  $\mathcal{P}_{SU}$  is coproductive in  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}, \mathcal{P}_S$ .)  $\mathcal{U}^c$  is projective and cohereditary in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Other assertions about  $\mathcal{U}_i^c$  follow from theorem 4.8 and from the first part of this corollary.

**Remark 4.4.** It is almost self-evident that  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}$  is not productive in  $\mathcal{P}_M$  and that  $\mathcal{U}_{SU}^c$  is not coproductive in  $\mathcal{U}_{SU}$ . The fact that  $\mathcal{U}_{SU}^c$  is not cohereditary in  $\mathcal{U}_S^c$  (and hence that  $\mathcal{P}_{SU}$  is not cohereditary in  $\mathcal{P}_S$ ) follows from example 3.2.

**Theorem 4.10.** Let  $\langle P, \mathcal{V} \rangle$  be the upper modification in  $\mathcal{U}^c$  of a semi-uniform space  $\langle P, \mathcal{U} \rangle$ . If  $\mathcal{U} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{V} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}$



then

- 1)  $\{U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}, \{U[x] \mid x \in P\} \text{ is a finite set}\}$  is a base for  $\mathcal{V}$ ;
- 2)  $\mathcal{D} = \{M \mid M \in \mathcal{L}(P \times P), \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{L}(E \times M' \times E \beta M') \neq \emptyset \text{ for each subnet } M' \text{ of } M\}$ .

**Theorem 4.11.** Assume that  $\langle P, \rho_0 \rangle$  is a monotone proximity space,  $\langle P, \rho_1 \rangle$  the lower modification of  $\langle P, \rho_0 \rangle$  in  $\mathcal{P}_S - \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\langle P, \rho_2 \rangle$  the upper modification of  $\langle P, \rho_1 \rangle$  in  $\mathcal{P}_S$ ,  $\langle P, \rho_3 \rangle$  the lower modification of  $\langle P, \rho_1 \rangle$  in  $\mathcal{P}_S$ ,  $\rho_i \leftrightarrow \rho_j \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{U}_X^i \mid X \subset P\}$ . Then

- 1)  $\rho_0^{-1}$  generates  $\rho_1^{-1}$ ;
- 2)  $\mathcal{U}_X^1 = \mathcal{U} \{ \cap \{ \mathcal{U}_Z^0 \mid Z \in \mathcal{A} \} \mid \mathcal{A} \text{ is a finite cover of } X \}$  for all  $X \subset P$ ;
- 3)  $\{ \langle M, X \rangle \mid M \in \mathcal{L}(P), X \subset P, \text{ there is an } N \in \mathcal{L}(X) \text{ such that } \mathcal{D}N = \mathcal{D}M \text{ and } \langle M', EN' \rangle \in \rho_0 \text{ for any subnet } M', N' \text{ of } M, N \text{ resp., with } \mathcal{D}M' = \mathcal{D}N' \}$  generates  $\rho_1$ ;
- 4)  $\rho_2 = \rho_1 \cup \rho_1^{-1}$ ;
- 5)  $\mathcal{U}_X^2 = \{ Y \mid Y \in \mathcal{U}_X^1 \text{ and } P - X \in \mathcal{U}_{P-Y}^1 \}$  for all  $X \subset P$ ;
- 6)  $\rho_1 \cup \{ \langle M, X \rangle \mid M \in \mathcal{L}(P), X \subset P, \rho_1 \cap (\mathcal{L}(X) \times (E M')) \neq \emptyset \text{ for any subnet } M' \text{ of } M \}$  generates  $\rho_2$ ;
- 7)  $\rho_3$  is generated by  $\rho_1^{-1}$  where  $\rho_1$  is generated by  $\rho_1 \cap \rho_1^{-1}$ ;
- 8)  $\{ X \mid Y \subset P, \text{ there is a finite cover } \mathcal{A} \text{ of } X \text{ such that for any } Z \in \mathcal{A} \text{ either } Y \in \mathcal{U}_Z^1 \text{ or } P - Z \in \mathcal{U}_{P-Y}^1 \}$  is a subbase for  $\mathcal{U}_X^3$  for all  $X \subset P$ ;
- 9)  $\rho_3 = \{ \langle M, X \rangle \mid M \in \mathcal{L}(P), X \subset P, \text{ there is an } N \in \mathcal{L}(X) \text{ such that } \langle M', EN' \rangle \in \rho_1, \langle N', EM' \rangle \in \rho_1 \text{ for any subnets } M', N' \text{ of } M, N \text{ resp., with } \mathcal{D}M' = \mathcal{D}N' \}$ .

**Remark 4.5.** The upper modification in  $\mathcal{P}_U$  of an object  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$  of  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}$  may be constructed by transfinite induction:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 &= \mu \\ \mu_\xi &= \sup P \times \exp P - \{ \langle X, Y \rangle \mid \langle X, P-Z \rangle, \langle Z, Y \rangle \} \cap \mu_{\xi'} = \emptyset \text{ for some} \\ & Z \subset P \} \text{ if } \xi = \xi' + 1 \\ \mu_\xi &= U \{ \mu_{\xi'} \mid \xi' < \xi \} \quad \text{in the remaining case.} \end{aligned}$$

All  $\mu_\xi$  are semi-uniformizable proximities for  $P$  and  $\mu_{\xi'} < \mu_\xi$  provided  $\xi' < \xi$ . Hence there is an ordinal number  $\xi^*$  such that  $\mu_{\xi^*} = \mu_{\xi^*+1}$ . The proximity space  $\langle P, \mu_{\xi^*} \rangle$  is the upper modification of  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$  in  $\mathcal{P}_U$ .

If  $\mu_{\xi^*} \leftrightarrow \{ \mathcal{U}_X^\xi \mid X \subset P \}$  then  $\mathcal{U}_X^\xi = \{ U \mid$  there is a monotonely densely ordered set  $A$  with the first element  $\alpha_0$ , with the last element  $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_0$ , and there is  $\{ U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A \}$  such that  $U_{\alpha_0} = U$ ,  $U_{\alpha_1} = X$  and  $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_{U_\alpha}^0$ , if  $\alpha < \alpha'$  (take for  $A$  dyadic rational numbers in  $[0, 1]$ ).

By remark 3.4 the upper modification in  $\mathcal{P}_U$  of an upper modification of  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$  in  $\mathcal{P}_S$  is the upper modification of  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$  in  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}$ . We cannot commute  $\mathcal{P}_S$  and  $\mathcal{P}_U$  in this method as it is shown in example 3.1 (put  $P$  finite).

It follows from example 3.1 that a symmetric proximity space need not have the lower modification in  $\mathcal{P}_U$  or in  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}$ .

**Example 4.1.** We shall show that an object of  $\mathcal{P}_M$  need not have the upper modifications in  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_U$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_S$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}$ . Let  $\{ X_\alpha^i \mid \alpha \in A_i \}$ , ( $i = 1, 2$ ), be two disjoint covers of a set  $P$  (i.e.  $R_i = U \{ X_\alpha^i \times X_\alpha^i \mid \alpha \in A_i \}$  are equivalences on  $P$ ). Hence

$\tau_i = \{ \langle X, Y \rangle \mid X \cup Y \subset P, Y \cap U \{ X_{\alpha_i}^i \mid \alpha \in A_i, X \cap X_{\alpha_i}^i \neq \emptyset \} \neq \emptyset \}$   
 are symmetric uniformizable proximities for  $P$ . If  $R_1 - R_2 \neq \emptyset$ ,  
 $R_2 - R_1 \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2$  is not a proximity;  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2$   
 generates

$\tau = \{ \langle X, Y \rangle \mid X \cap X_{\alpha_i}^i \neq \emptyset, i \in (1, 2), Y \cap X_{\alpha_1}^1 \cap X_{\alpha_2}^2 \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } \alpha_i \in A_i \}$ .  
 Let there exist  $\alpha_1 \in A_1, \alpha_2 \in A_2$  such that  $X_{\alpha_1}^1 - X_{\alpha_2}^2 \neq \emptyset$ ,  
 $X_{\alpha_2}^2 - X_{\alpha_1}^1 \neq \emptyset$  and that  $X_{\alpha_1}^1 \cap X_{\alpha_2}^2 \neq \emptyset$ . Then  
 $(X_{\alpha_1}^1 \div X_{\alpha_2}^2) \tau (X_{\alpha_1}^1 \cap X_{\alpha_2}^2)$ ,  $(X_{\alpha_1}^1 - X_{\alpha_2}^2) \text{ non } \tau (X_{\alpha_1}^1 \cap X_{\alpha_2}^2)$ ,  
 $(X_{\alpha_2}^2 - X_{\alpha_1}^1) \text{ non } \tau (X_{\alpha_1}^1 \cap X_{\alpha_2}^2)$  and consequently  $\tau$  is a monotone  
 non semi-uniformizable proximity. Hence  $\langle P, \tau \rangle$  has no upper  
 modifications in  $\mathcal{P}_{S-U}, \mathcal{P}_S, \mathcal{P}_U, \mathcal{P}_{SU}$ .

Theorem 4.12. Suppose that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a given proximally coarse  
 semi-uniformity for a set  $P$ ,  $q = \sup \{ \tau \mid \tau \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \}$ . If  $q \leftrightarrow$   
 $\leftrightarrow \{ \mathcal{U}_X \mid X \subset P \}$  then  $\mathcal{U}_{(X)} = \{ U \mid [X] \mid U \in \mathcal{U} \}$  for all  $X \in P$   
 and  $\mathcal{U}_X = (P)$  provided  $\text{card } X > 1$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\text{card } P > 1$ . Then  $q = \sup \{ \tau_{\langle a, b \rangle} \mid$   
 $\langle a, b \rangle \in P \times P - \Delta_P \}$  where  $\tau_{\langle a, b \rangle} \leftrightarrow \{ \mathcal{V}_X \mid X \subset P \}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_X =$   
 $= \{ U \mid [X] \mid U \in \mathcal{U} \}$  if  $\langle a, b \rangle - X \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_X = (P)$  otherwise.

Lemma 4.1. (a) Let  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in A \neq \emptyset$ ) be semi-uniformities  
 for a set  $P$ . If  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \tau_\alpha$  and if all  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  except one are pro-  
 ximally coarse then  $\inf \mathcal{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \inf_{\mathcal{P}_{S-U}} \tau_\alpha$ .

(b) Let  $\tau_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in A \neq \emptyset$ ) be monotone proximities for a  
 set  $P$ . If  $\tau_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\alpha$  and if all  $\tau_\alpha$  except finite number are  
 semi-uniformizable then  $\sup \tau_\alpha \rightarrow \sup_{q \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to suppose that  $A = (1, 2)$ .

(a) Let  $\mathcal{U}_1$  be proximally coarse,  $\inf (\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2) \rightarrow \tau'$ ,  
 $\inf_{\mathcal{P}_{S-U}} (\tau_1, \tau_2) = \tau$ . Evidently  $\tau' < \tau$ . If  $U_1 = U \{ X_i \times Y_i \mid$   
 $i \in I \} \in \mathcal{U}_1, \{ X_i \mid i \in I \}$  is a finite disjoint family,  $U_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2$   
 then

$$(U_1 \cap U_2) [X] = U \{ (U_1 \cap U_2) [X \cap X_i] \mid i \in I \} = U \{ U_2 [X \cap X_i] \cap Y_i \mid i \in I \}.$$

Hence each neighborhood of  $X$  in  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$  is a neighborhood of  $X$  in  $\langle P, \mu' \rangle$ . Consequently  $\mu < \mu'$ .

(b) Let  $\sup(\mu_1, \mu_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'$ ,  $\sup(\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2) = \mathcal{U}$ . Evidently  $\mathcal{U} < \mathcal{U}'$ . If  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  then  $U \supset U\{X_i^1 \times Y_i^1 \mid i \in I\}$ ,  $U \supset U\{X_j^2 \times Y_j^2 \mid j \in J\}$  where  $\{X_i^1 \mid i \in I\}$ ,  $\{X_j^2 \mid j \in J\}$  are finite disjoint covers of  $P$ ,

$X_i^1 \text{ non } \mu_1(P - Y_i^1)$  for all  $i \in I$ ,  $X_j^2 \text{ non } \mu_2(P - Y_j^2)$  for all  $j \in J$ .

So  $U$  contains  $U\{(X_i^1 \cap X_j^2) \times (Y_i^1 \cup Y_j^2) \mid (i, j) \in I \times J\}$  which is an element of  $\mathcal{U}'$ . Consequently  $\mathcal{U}' < \mathcal{U}$ .

**Theorem 4.13.** Let  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$  be semi-uniformities,  $\mu, \rho$  be monotone proximities. (a) If  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mu$ ,  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \rho$ ,  $f: \langle P, \mu \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \rho \rangle$  is a proximally continuous mapping then there is a semi-uniformity  $\mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \rho$  such that  $f: \langle P, \mathcal{U} \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \mathcal{V}' \rangle$  is uniformly continuous; there is a semi-uniformity  $\mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \mu$  such that  $f: \langle P, \mathcal{U}' \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \mathcal{V} \rangle$  is uniformly continuous if and only if  $\mu$  is finer than  $\mu_1$  which is induced by  $\{U \mid P \times P \supset U \supset (f \times f)^{-1}[V] \text{ for some } V \in \mathcal{V}'\}$ .

(b) If  $\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ ,  $f: \langle P, \mathcal{U} \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \mathcal{V} \rangle$  is a uniformly continuous mapping then there is a proximity  $\mu' \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  such that  $f: \langle P, \mu' \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \rho \rangle$  is proximally continuous; there is a proximity  $\rho' \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  such that  $f: \langle P, \mu \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \rho' \rangle$  is proximally continuous if and only if  $\mathcal{V}$  is coarser than  $\mathcal{V}'$  which is induced by  $\{\langle X, Y \rangle \mid X \cup Y \in \rho, \text{ either } X \cap Y \neq \emptyset \text{ or } f^{-1}[X] \mu f^{-1}[Y]\}$ .

**Proof.** We shall prove only (a) because the proof of (b) is similar. The first assertion is obvious. Let  $\{(f \times f)^{-1}[V] \mid V \in \mathcal{V}'\}$  be a base for  $\mathcal{U}_1$ . There exists  $\mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \mu$  such that  $f: \langle P, \mathcal{U}' \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \mathcal{V} \rangle$  is uniformly continuous if and only if there exists  $\mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \mu$ ,  $\mathcal{U}' < \mathcal{U}_1$ . But this is equi-

valent with the condition in our theorem (put  $\mathcal{U}' = \text{int}(\mathcal{U}_p, \mathcal{U}_1)$  where  $\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_p$  and use lemma 4.1).

**Corollary.**  $\psi$  and  $\psi_1$ , where  $\psi_1: \mathcal{P}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^c$ ;  $\text{graph } \psi_1 = \text{graph } \psi$ , are projective and cohereditary functors.

$\Phi, \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3$  and  $\Phi_4$  are inductive and hereditary functors  
 $(\Phi_1: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{S-U}, \text{graph } \Phi_1 = \text{graph } \Phi; \Phi_2: \mathcal{U}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_S, \text{graph } \Phi_2 = \text{graph } \Phi/\mathcal{U}_S;$

$\Phi_3: \mathcal{U}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_U, \text{graph } \Phi_3 = \text{graph } \Phi/\mathcal{U}_U; \Phi_4: \mathcal{U}_{SU} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{SU}, \text{graph } \Phi_4 = \text{graph } \Phi/\mathcal{U}_{SU}.)$

Functors  $\Phi/\mathcal{U}_S, \Phi_1/\mathcal{U}_S, \Phi_2/\mathcal{U}_{SU}$  are inductive and hereditary.

Functors  $\Phi/\mathcal{U}_U, \Phi_1/\mathcal{U}_U, \Phi/\mathcal{U}_{SU}, \Phi_1/\mathcal{U}_{SU}, \Phi_2/\mathcal{U}_{SU}$  are coproductive and hereditary.

**Remark 4.6.** (a) The fact that functors  $\Phi_i/\mathcal{U}_U, \Phi_i/\mathcal{U}_{SU}$  ( $i \neq 3, 4$ ) are not cohereditary follows from that about imbedding  $\mathcal{P}_{SU} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_S$  (see remark 4.4). It is known that functors  $\Phi_i$  and  $\Phi_i/\mathcal{U}_i$  are not productive (see e.g. [2]).

(b) The assertion about inductivity of  $\Phi_1$  means that the operations taking the upper modification in  $\mathcal{U}^c$  of objects of  $\mathcal{U}$  and taking inductive limits commute. But we can turn put this assertion directly from the following general proposition which is a corollary of theorem 1.2:

Let  $\mathcal{K}_2$  be an  $S$ -category over  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to a covariant functor  $T_i$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_2$  a subcategory of  $\mathcal{K}_1$ ,  $F$  a covariant functor from  $\mathcal{K}_1$  in  $\mathcal{K}_2$  assigning to each object of  $\mathcal{K}_1$  its upper modification in  $\mathcal{K}_2$  such that  $T_1 = T_2 \circ F$ . Then  $F$  is inductive. (Dually for lower modifications.)

## 5. Relations between proximity and closure.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\rho \leftrightarrow \pi \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{U}_x \mid X \subset P\}$ . We say that a closure  $\mu$  is induced by  $\pi$  (sign  $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ ) if one of the following equivalent conditions is fulfilled ( $\lambda \leftrightarrow \mu \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{V}_x \mid X \subset P\}$ ):

- 1)  $\mu = \{\langle X, \{x \mid (x) \pi X\} \rangle \mid X \subset P\}$ ;
- 2)  $\mathcal{V}_x = \mathcal{U}_{(x)}$  for all  $x \in P$ ;
- 3)  $\lambda = \{\langle M, x \rangle \mid \langle M, (x) \rangle \in \rho\}$ .

**Remark 5.1.** We can define a covariant functor  $F: \mathcal{P}^U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  in the obvious way. Evidently,  $F[\mathcal{P}^U] = F[\mathcal{P}_M^U] = F[\mathcal{P}_{S-U}^U] = \mathcal{C}$  (put  $\mathcal{U}_x = \bigcap \{\mathcal{V}_x \mid x \in X\}$  for all  $X \in \text{exp } P$ ).

If  $f: \langle P, \pi \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \rho \rangle$  is lower proximally continuous, then  $f: F\langle P, \pi \rangle \rightarrow F\langle Q, \rho \rangle$  need not be continuous (see example 2.1).

**Definition 5.2.** We shall say that a closure is symmetric semi-uniformizable, uniformizable, symmetric uniformizable resp., if it is induced by a symmetric proximity, uniformizable proximity, symmetric uniformizable proximity resp. Similarly for closure spaces. We shall denote by  $\mathcal{C}_s, \mathcal{C}_U, \mathcal{C}_{sU}$  resp. the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  determined by the class of all symmetric semi-uniformizable closure spaces, etc.

**Theorem 5.1.** For every closure  $\mu$  ( $\lambda \leftrightarrow \mu \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{V}_x \mid X \subset P\}$ ) there is a finest proximity  $\pi_1$  and a coarsest proximity  $\pi_2$  inducing  $\mu$  ( $\rho_i \leftrightarrow \pi_i \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{U}_x^i \mid X \subset P\}$ ):

- 1)  $\pi_1 = \{\langle X, Y \rangle \mid X \cup Y \subset P, \text{ either } X \cap Y \neq \emptyset \text{ or } \text{card } X = 1, X \subset \mu Y\}$ ;
- 2)  $\mathcal{U}_x^1 \ni X$  if  $\text{card } X > 1, \mathcal{U}_{(x)}^1 = \mathcal{V}_x$  for  $x \in P$ ;
- 3)  $\rho_1 = \{\langle M, X \rangle \mid M \in \mathcal{L}(P), X \subset P, \text{ either } M \text{ is eventually in } X \text{ or } X = (x), \langle M, x \rangle \in \lambda\}$ ;
- 4)  $\pi_2 = \{\langle X, Y \rangle \mid X \cup Y \subset P, \text{ either } X \cap \mu Y \neq \emptyset \text{ or } \text{card } X > 1, Y \neq \emptyset\}$ ;

- 5)  $\mathcal{U}_X^2 = (P)$  if  $\text{card } X > 1$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_{(x)}^2 = \mathcal{V}_x$  for  $x \in P$ ;  
 6)  $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{ \langle M, X \rangle \mid M \in \mathcal{L}(P), X \subset P, \text{ either } \text{card } X > 1 \text{ or } X = (x), \langle M, x \rangle \in \mathcal{A} \}$ .

$\mu_2$  is a monotone proximity. The finest monotone proximity coarser than  $\mu_1$  is semi-uniformizable and induces  $\mu$ . (Hence for every closure  $\mu$  there exist a finest and a coarsest monotone proximities inducing  $\mu$ , a finest and a coarsest semi-uniformizable proximities inducing  $\mu$ .)

Corollary 1. Let  $\mu \rightarrow \nu, \rho \rightarrow \sigma, f: \langle P, \mu \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \nu \rangle$  be a continuous mapping. Then there exists  $\mu'$  such that  $\mu' \rightarrow \mu$  and  $f: \langle P, \mu' \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \nu \rangle$  is upper proximally continuous.  $f: \langle P, \mu \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \nu' \rangle$  is upper proximally continuous for some  $\nu'$  for which  $\nu' \rightarrow \nu$  if and only if  $f^{-1}[V] \in \mathcal{U}_x$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{V}_x$  provided  $f[Y] = (x)$ , where  $\nu \leftrightarrow \{ \mathcal{V}_x \mid x \in Q \}$ ,  $\mu \leftrightarrow \{ \mathcal{U}_y \mid Y \subset P \}$ .

Corollary 2.  $F, F/P_M, F/P_{S-U}$  are projective and coproductive functors.

Theorem 5.2. If a closure  $\mu$  is symmetric semi-uniformizable then there are a finest and a coarsest symmetric proximities inducing  $\mu$ . Let  $\mu_0$  be a closure. There exists a finest symmetric semi-uniformizable closure  $\mu_1$  coarser than  $\mu_0$  and a coarsest symmetric semi-uniformizable closure  $\mu_2$  finer than  $\mu_0$ ;  $\mu_1$  is induced by the upper modification in  $\mathcal{P}_S$  of the finest semi-uniformizable proximity inducing  $\mu_0$ ,  $\mu_2$  is induced by the lower modification in  $\mathcal{P}_S$  of the coarsest semi-uniformizable proximity inducing  $\mu_0$ . Each object of  $\mathcal{C}$  has its upper modification in  $\mathcal{C}_S$ .

The closures mentioned above may be described like this:  
 if  $\mu_i \leftrightarrow \{ \mathcal{U}_x^i \mid x \in P \}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , then  
 1)  $\mu_1 X = \mu_0 X \cup \{ x \mid X \cap \mu_0(x) \neq \emptyset \}$  for all  $X \subset P$ ;

- 2)  $\mathcal{U}_x^1 = \{U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_x^0, U \supset \mu_0(x)\}$  for all  $x \in P$ ;
- 3)  $\mathcal{U}_2 X = \{x \mid \text{if } X = U\{X_i \mid i \in I\}, I \text{ is a finite set, then there is } i \in I \text{ such that } x \in \mu_0 X_i \text{ and either } X_i \cap \mu_0(x) \neq \emptyset \text{ or } \text{card } X_i \geq \kappa_0\}$ ;
- 4)  $\mathcal{U}_x^2 = \{U - F \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_x^0, P \supset F, F \cap \mu_0(x) = \emptyset, \text{card } F < \kappa_0\}$  for all  $x \in P$ .

Corollary 1. A closure  $\mu \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{U}_x \mid x \in P\}$  is symmetric semi-uniformizable if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions is fulfilled:

- (a) the relation  $\{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x \in \mu(y)\}$  is symmetric;
- (b)  $\mu(x) \subset \bigcap \mathcal{U}_x$  for all  $x \in P$ ;
- (c)  $\mu(x) = \bigcap \mathcal{U}_x$  for all  $x \in P$ ;
- (d) if  $\langle x, y \rangle \in P \times P$  and if  $y \notin U$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}_x$  then  $x \notin V$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{U}_y$ .

Corollary 2.  $\mathcal{C}_S$  is an  $S$ -category over  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to the forgetful functor.  $\mathcal{C}_S$  is projective and coproductive in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Remark 5.2. The class of all objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  having the lower modification in  $\mathcal{C}_S$  is precisely the class of objects of  $\mathcal{C}_S$ .

First we shall prove the following lemma:  
 Let  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$  be an object of  $\mathcal{C}_S$ ,  $\langle Q, \nu \rangle$  an object of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\nu'$  be the coarsest symmetric semi-uniformizable closure finer than  $\nu$ ,  $f: \langle P, \mu \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \nu \rangle$  be a continuous mapping. Then  $f: \langle P, \mu \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \nu' \rangle$  is a continuous mapping if and only if  $f^{-1}[V] \supset \mu f^{-1}[x]$  for all  $x \in Q$  and for all neighborhoods  $V$  of  $x$  in  $\langle Q, \nu' \rangle$ . (The proof follows from the fact that  $f: \langle P, \mu \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \nu' \rangle$  is a continuous mapping if and only if



$f: \langle P, \mu \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \nu \rangle$ , where  $\mu$  is the finest symmetric proximity inducing  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is the coarsest proximity inducing  $\nu$ , is upper proximally continuous.)

If  $\langle Q, \nu \rangle$  is not symmetric semi-uniformizable closure space, then there is  $x \in P$  and a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  in  $\langle Q, \nu \rangle$  such that  $\nu(x) - V \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$  be the space of real numbers,  $y \in \nu(x) - V$ ,  $f = \lambda \langle ] \leftarrow, 0 [ \times (x) \rangle \cup ( [ 0, \rightarrow [ \times (y) )$ . Then  $f$  is a continuous mapping  $\langle P, \mu \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \nu \rangle$  and by the foregoing lemma the mapping  $f: \langle P, \mu \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \nu' \rangle$  is not continuous. Hence by lemma 2.2  $\langle Q, \nu \rangle$  has no lower modification in  $\mathcal{C}_S$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** Functors  $F/\mathcal{P}_S$ ,  $F'$  are projective and coproductive ( $F': \mathcal{P}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_S$ ,  $\text{graph } F' = \text{graph } F/\mathcal{P}_S$ ).

**Theorem 5.4.** A closure space  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$  is uniformizable if and only if it is topological.

**Proof.** If  $\mu$  is topological then the finest monotone proximity inducing  $\mu$  is uniformizable. The converse is clear.

**Corollary 1.** Every uniformizable closure  $\mu$  has the finest uniformizable proximity  $\mu$  inducing  $\mu$  ( $\mu$  is the finest monotone proximity inducing  $\mu$ ). Every object of  $\mathcal{C}$  has its upper modification in  $\mathcal{C}_U$  and hence  $\mathcal{C}_U$  is an  $S$ -category over  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to the forgetful functor.

**Corollary 2.** Functors  $F/\mathcal{P}_U$ ,  $F''$  are projective ( $F'': \mathcal{P}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_U$ ,  $\text{graph } F'' = \text{graph } F/\mathcal{P}_U$ ).  $\mathcal{C}_U$  is projective in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Remark 5.3.** It is easy to prove that  $F/\mathcal{P}_U$ ,  $F''$  are coproductive functors and that  $\mathcal{C}_U$  is coproductive in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** Every symmetric uniformizable closure  $\mu$  has the finest symmetric uniformizable proximity  $\mu$  inducing  $\mu$ .

Each object of  $\mathcal{C}$  has its upper modification in  $\mathcal{C}_{SU}$  and hence  $\mathcal{C}_{SU}$  is an  $S$ -category over  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to the forgetful functor.

**Proof.**  $\mu$  is the finest symmetric uniformizable proximity coarser than the finest monotone proximity inducing  $\mu$ .

**Corollary.** Functors  $F/\mathcal{P}_{SU}$ ,  $F''$  ( $F'' : \mathcal{P}_{SU} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{SU}$ ,  $\text{graph } F'' = \text{graph } F/\mathcal{P}_{SU}$ ),  $F'/\mathcal{P}_{SU}$ ,  $F''/\mathcal{P}_{SU}$  are projective.  $\mathcal{C}_{SU}$  is projective in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_S$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_U$ .

**Theorem 5.6.** A closure space  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$  is symmetric uniformizable if and only if the following condition is fulfilled:

$U$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$  if and only if there is a monotonely densely ordered set  $A$  with the first element  $\alpha_0$ , with the last element  $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_0$  and a family  $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$  such that  $U = U_{\alpha_0}$ ,  $U_{\alpha_1} = \{x\}$  and  $U_\alpha \supset \mu U_{\alpha'}$ ,  $U_\alpha$  is a neighborhood of  $U_{\alpha'}$  in  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$  provided  $\alpha < \alpha'$ .

**Proof** follows from remark 4.5.

**Remark 5.4.** Now, it is easy to prove that  $F''$ ,  $F/\mathcal{P}_{SU}$ ,  $F'/\mathcal{P}_{SU}$ ,  $F''/\mathcal{P}_{SU}$  are coproductive functors and that  $\mathcal{C}_{SU}$  is coproductive in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_S$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_U$ .

**Theorem 5.7.** Every locally compact topological space  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$  (i.e. each  $x \in P$  has a base of compact neighborhoods) has the coarsest uniformizable proximity inducing  $\mu$ .

**Proof.** It is known that if a uniformizable proximity  $\mu \leftrightarrow \{U_X \mid X \subset P\}$  induces  $\mu$  then  $U_X = \bigcap \{U_{(X)} \mid X \in \mathcal{X}\}$  provided  $X$  is compact. There is a coarsest semi-uniformizable proximity  $\mu$  with this property ( $\mu = \{\langle X, Y \rangle \mid \text{if } \mathcal{A} \text{ is a finite cover of } Y \text{ then there is } Z \in \mathcal{A} \text{ such that } X' \cap \bar{Z} \neq \emptyset \text{ for each compact } X' \supset X\}$ ). This proximity is uniformizable provided  $\mu$

is locally compact topological.

Corollary. Every locally compact symmetric uniformizable space  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$  has the coarsest symmetric uniformizable proximity inducing  $\mu$ .

Proof follows from the fact that for every uniformizable proximity  $\mu$  there is a coarsest symmetric uniformizable proximity finer than  $\mu$ .

Remark 5.5. It is shown in [1] that the converse of the foregoing corollary is true, too.

Theorem 5.8. The coarsest uniformizable proximity  $\mu$  inducing a given closure  $\mu$  is the coarsest uniformizable proximity finer than the coarsest semi-uniformizable proximity  $\rho$  inducing  $\mu$  if and only if  $\mu = \rho$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $\mu \neq \rho$ . Then there is an infinite set  $X$  in  $P = \mathcal{D}\mu$  and a set  $U \neq P$  such that  $X \text{ non } \mu(P-U)$ . The coarsest uniformizable proximity  $\mu$  inducing the discrete closure for  $P$  is finer than  $\rho$  but it is not finer than  $\mu$ , because  $X \text{ non } \mu(P-U)$  if and only if  $U = P$ .

Remark 5.6. It can be proved that no non-uniformizable semi-uniformizable proximity  $\mu$  has the coarsest uniformizable proximity finer than  $\mu$ .

## 6. Relations between semi-uniformity and closure.

Definition 6.1 Let  $\mathcal{U} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}, \lambda \leftrightarrow \mu \leftrightarrow \{U \mid x \in P\}$ .

We say that  $\mu$  is induced by a semi-uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  (sign  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mu$ ) if  $\mathcal{D}U\mathcal{U} = P$  and if one of the following equivalent conditions is fulfilled:

- 1)  $\mu = \{ \langle X, \cap \{U^{-1}[X] \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\} \rangle \mid X \in P \}$ ;
- 2)  $\mathcal{U}_x = \{ U[x] \mid U \in \mathcal{U} \}$  for all  $x \in P$ ;

3)  $\lambda = \{ \langle \beta M, x \rangle \mid M \in \mathcal{C}, \varepsilon \alpha M = (x) \}$ .

**Remark 6.1.** We can define a covariant functor  $G: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  in an obvious way.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a semi-uniformity,  $\mu$  be a closure,  $\rho$  be a proximity.

If  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \rho$  then  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mu$  if and only if  $\rho \rightarrow \mu$ .

If  $\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  then  $\rho \rightarrow \mu$  if and only if  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mu$ .

**Corollary.**  $G = F/\mathcal{P}_M \circ \Psi$ ,  $F/\mathcal{P}_M = G \circ \Phi$ ,  $G[\mathcal{U}] = \mathcal{C}$ ,  $G[\mathcal{U}_S] = \mathcal{C}_S$ ,  $G[\mathcal{U}_U] = \mathcal{C}_U$ ,  $G[\mathcal{U}_{SU}] = \mathcal{C}_{SU}$ .

**Theorem 6.2.** For every closure  $\mu$  ( $\lambda \leftrightarrow \mu \leftrightarrow \{ \mathcal{V}_x \mid x \in P \}$ ) there is a finest semi-uniformity  $\mathcal{U}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$  inducing  $\mu$  and a coarsest semi-uniformity  $\mathcal{U}_2 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$  inducing  $\mu$ :

1)  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{ \Sigma \{ V_x \mid x \in P \} \mid V_x \in \mathcal{V}_x \}$ ;

2)  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is generated by  $\{ M \mid M \in \mathcal{C}(P \times P), \varepsilon \alpha M = (x) \}$

for some  $x \in P$ ,  $\langle \beta M, x \rangle \in \lambda \}$ ;

3)  $\mathcal{U}_2 = \{ U \mid U \subset P \times P, U_{[x]} \in \mathcal{V}_x \text{ for all } x \in P, \text{ card } \{ x \mid U_{[x]} \neq P \} < \aleph_0 \}$ ;

4)  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{ M \mid M \in \mathcal{C}(P \times P), \langle \beta M', x \rangle \in \lambda \text{ for each subnet } M' \text{ of } M \text{ such that } \varepsilon \alpha M' = (x) \text{ for some } x \in P \}$ .

**Corollary.** Every symmetric semi-uniformizable closure  $\mu$  has the finest and the coarsest symmetric semi-uniformity inducing  $\mu$ . Every (symmetric) uniformizable closure  $\mu$  has the finest (symmetric) uniformity inducing  $\mu$ .

**Proof.** Take the competent modifications of  $\mathcal{U}_1$  and  $\mathcal{U}_2$ .

**Theorem 6.3.** If  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$  is a locally compact topological (symmetric uniformizable) space then there is a coarsest (symmetric) uniformity inducing  $\mu$ .

**Proof** follows firectly from theorem 5.7.

**Remark 6.2.** It is shown in [1] that the converse of theorem 6.3 is true in the case of symmetric uniformizable spaces.

**Theorem 6.4.** Let  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{u}$ ,  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{v}$ ,  $f: \langle P, \mathcal{u} \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \mathcal{v} \rangle$  be a continuous mapping. There is a semi-uniformity  $\mathcal{U}'$  inducing  $\mathcal{u}$  such that  $f: \langle P, \mathcal{U}' \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \mathcal{V} \rangle$  is uniformly continuous. There is a semi-uniformity  $\mathcal{V}'$  inducing  $\mathcal{v}$  such that  $f: \langle P, \mathcal{U} \rangle \rightarrow \langle Q, \mathcal{V}' \rangle$  is uniformly continuous if and only if

$$((P - f^{-1}[x]) \times P) \cup (f^{-1}[x] \times f^{-1}[V_x]) \in \mathcal{U} \quad \text{for all } x \in P \text{ and for all neighborhoods } V_x \text{ of } x \text{ in } \langle Q, \mathcal{v} \rangle.$$

**Proof.** Put the finest semi-uniformity inducing  $\mathcal{u}$  for  $\mathcal{U}'$  and the coarsest semi-uniformity inducing  $\mathcal{v}$  for  $\mathcal{V}'$ .

**Corollary.** Functors  $G$ ,  $G/\mathcal{U}_S$ ,  $G/\mathcal{U}_U$ ,  $G/\mathcal{U}_{SU}$ ,  $G'$ ,  $G'/\mathcal{U}_{SU}$ ,  $G''$ ,  $G''/\mathcal{U}_{SU}$ ,  $G'''$  are projective and coproductive.

$$(G': \mathcal{U}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_S, G'': \mathcal{U}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_U, G''': \mathcal{U}_{SU} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{SU}, \text{graph } G' = \text{graph } G/\mathcal{U}_S \text{ etc.})$$

**Proof.** Only the assertion that  $G/\mathcal{U}_U$  is coproductive is necessary to prove directly.

## 7. Conclusion.

7.1. Every proximity  $\mu \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{U}_X \mid X \subset P \times P\}$  determines a semi-uniformity  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_{\Delta_P}$  for  $P$ .

On the other hand every semi-uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  for  $P$  determines a proximity  $\mu \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{U}_X \mid X \subset P \times P\}$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta_P} = \mathcal{U}$ . It is sufficient to put  $\{U \cdot X \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  for a base of each  $\mathcal{U}_X$  (i.e.  $\langle P \times P, \mu \rangle = \psi[\langle P, \mathcal{V} \rangle \times \langle P, \mathcal{U} \rangle]$  where  $\mathcal{V}$  is the finest semi-uniformity for  $P$ ).

It follows that a covariant functor  $\tilde{\psi}: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{S-U}$  defined in this way

$$\tilde{\psi} \langle P, \mathcal{U} \rangle = \psi[\langle P, \mathcal{V} \rangle \times \langle P, \mathcal{U} \rangle],$$

$$\text{graph } \tilde{\psi} f = \text{graph } f \times \text{graph } f \quad (\text{relational product})$$

is an isofunctor.

If we restrict our attention on  $\mathcal{U}_U$  we can put  $\tilde{\psi}\langle P, \mathcal{U} \rangle = \psi[\langle P, \mathcal{U}_{-1} \rangle \times \langle P, \mathcal{U} \rangle]$  where  $\mathcal{U}_{-1} = \{U^{-1} \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ .

7.2. Every closure  $\mu \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{U}_X \mid X \in \text{exp } P\}$  determines a proximity  $\rho_\mu \leftrightarrow \{\{U\{Y \mid Y \in U\} \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_X\} \mid X \in P\}$ .

Every proximity  $\rho \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{V}_X \mid X \in P\}$  determines a closure  $\mu$  for  $\text{exp } P$  such that  $\rho = \rho_\mu$ . It is sufficient to put

$\mu \leftrightarrow \{\{U \mid U \supset \text{exp } V \text{ for some } V \in \mathcal{V}_X\} \mid X \in \text{exp } P\}$ .

Hence the covariant functor  $\tilde{G} : \mathcal{P}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  (graph  $\tilde{G}f = \{ \langle X, U\{f_x \mid x \in X\} \rangle \mid X \in \text{exp } P \}$ ) is an isofunctor.

#### R e f e r e n c e s :

- [1] E. ČECH, Topological spaces (2nd edition, Prague, in preparation).
- [2] C.H. DOWKER, Mappings of proximity structures, Gen. Top. and its Rel. to Mod. Anal. and Alg. (1962, Prague), 139-141.
- [3] M. HUŠEK, S-categories, CMUC 5,1(1964), 37-46.
- [4] M. HUŠEK, Generalized proximity and uniform spaces I, CMUC 5,4(1964), 247-266.