

Stanislav Tomášek

On tensor products of Abelian groups

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 6 (1965), No. 1, 73--83

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104995>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1965

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON TENSOR PRODUCTS OF ABELIAN GROUPS

S. TOMÁŠEK, Liberec

§ 1.

In this paper we shall consider Abelian groups only. The group operation we denote by addition.  $\mathbb{Z}$  means the ring of all integers. Any Abelian group  $G$  is considered as a module with respect to the operation of multiplication  $(n, x) \rightarrow nx$  for arbitrary  $n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $x$  in  $G$ . A mapping  $f$  of a group  $G$  into a group  $K$  is called  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear if  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$  for every  $x$  in  $G$  and  $y$  in  $G$ . Similarly we define a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear mapping.

If  $G$  and  $K$  are Abelian groups we denote by  $G \otimes K$  their tensor product. Any element  $x$  in  $G \otimes K$  is of the form (see [1])

$$x = x_1 \otimes y_1 + \dots + x_n \otimes y_n,$$

where  $x_i$  is in  $G$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ),  $y_i$  in  $K$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $n$  is an arbitrary integer. Similarly we denote for a subset  $A$  of  $G$ ,  $B$  subset of  $K$ , by  $A \otimes B$  the set of all  $x \otimes y \in G \otimes K$ , where  $x$  is in  $A$ ,  $y$  in  $B$ .

For our further discussion we shall assume that  $G$  and  $K$  are topological Abelian groups,  $\{U\}$  and  $\{V\}$  mean the systems of all neighborhoods of zero element in  $G$  and  $K$ .

For any  $U \in \{U\}$ ,  $V \in \{V\}$  and for any positive integer  $n$  we define:

$$(1) H_{U,V}^n = \{x \in G \otimes K; nx \in \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \otimes V_i, V_i = V, U_i = U, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

$$(2) \Omega_{u,v} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{u,v}^n .$$

Lemma. For  $U \in \{U\}$ ,  $W \in \{U\}$ ,  $V \in \{V\}$  and  $U + U \subseteq W$  holds

$$\Omega_{u,v} + \Omega_{u,v} \subseteq \Omega_{w,v} .$$

Proof. If  $x_1$  is in  $\Omega_{u,v}$ ,  $x_2$  in  $\Omega_{u,v}$ , then there exist two integers  $n, m$  satisfying  $nx_1 = x_1 \otimes y_1 + \dots + x_n \otimes y_n$ ,  $m \cdot x_2 = x'_1 \otimes y'_1 + \dots + x'_m \otimes y'_m$

for suitable  $x_i \in U$ ,  $y_i \in V (1 \leq i \leq n)$ ,  $x'_i \in U$ ,  $y'_i \in V (1 \leq i \leq m)$ .

Making use of the equality

$$2mn(x_1 + x_2) = m[(2x_1) \otimes y_1 + \dots + (2x_n) \otimes y_n] + n[(2x'_1) \otimes y'_1 + \dots + (2x'_m) \otimes y'_m]$$

we prove  $x_1 + x_2 \in H_{u,v}^{2nm} \subseteq \Omega_{u,v}$ .

Hence the collection  $\{\Omega_{u,v}; U \in \{U\}, V \in \{V\}\}$  satisfies evidently therefore the axioms of a group topology in the tensor product  $G \otimes K$ .

Definition 1. The topology in  $G \otimes K$  defined by  $\{\Omega_{u,v}; U \in \{U\}, V \in \{V\}\}$  is called the tensor product topology and is denoted by  $\pi$ .

In the tensor product  $G \otimes K$  it will be considered throughout this paper the topology  $\pi$  only.

Remark 1. a) Every neighborhood of the form (2) has the following property:  $nx \in \Omega_{u,v}$  for a given  $x \in G \otimes K$  and some positive integer  $n$  implies  $x \in \Omega_{u,v}$ .

b) If  $G$  is a discrete group,  $\Omega_{\{0\},v}$  consists exactly of all cyclic elements of  $G \otimes K$ .

c) The canonical  $Z$ -bilinear mapping  $(x, y) \rightarrow x \otimes y$  of  $G \times K$  into  $G \otimes K$  is continuous in  $(0, 0)$ . The  $Z$ -linear mapping  $x \rightarrow x \otimes y$  of  $G$  into  $G \otimes K$  is not continuous in general (e.g. if  $R$  is the additive group of real numbers with the natural topology,  $K$  a discrete group with a finite basis  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ , then  $x \rightarrow x \otimes e_i (1 \leq i \leq m)$  of  $R$  into  $R \otimes K$  is not continuous).

In the following proposition we shall establish a sufficient condition for the continuity of  $(x, y) \rightarrow x \otimes y$ . An element  $x$  in  $G$  will be termed bounded if for every  $U \in \{U\}$  there exists an integer  $n > 0$  satisfying  $x \in nU$ .

Proposition 1. Let  $G$  and  $K$  be two topological groups,  $f$   $Z$ -bilinear mapping of  $G \times K$  into a topological group  $H$  continuous in  $(0, 0)$ . Then  $f$  is continuous in every point  $(x_0, y_0)$ , where  $x_0$  is a bounded element in  $G$ ,  $y_0$  a bounded element in  $K$ .

Proof. Let  $W$  and  $W_1$  be two neighborhoods of zero element in  $H$ ,  $W_1 + W_1 + W_1 \subseteq W$ . There exist neighborhoods  $U_1, V_1$  in  $G, K$  such that  $f(U_1, V_1) \subseteq W$ . For some  $n, m$  hold  $x_0 \in nU_1, y_0 \in mV_1$  and we choose neighborhoods  $U, V$  in  $G, K$  satisfying  $U + \dots + U \subseteq U_1$  ( $m$  summands),  $V + \dots + V \subseteq V_1$  ( $n$  summands). For  $(u, v) \in U \times V$  it follows  $f(x_0 + u, y_0 + v) - f(x_0, y_0) = f(x_0, v) + f(u, y_0) + f(u, v)$  is in  $W_1 + W_1 + W_1 \subseteq W$ .

Remark 2. A similar result holds for the  $Z$ -linear mapping  $x \rightarrow f(x, y_0)$  of  $G$  into  $H$ , where  $y_0$  is bounded in  $K$ .

Definition 2. We shall say that a subset  $A$  of an Abelian group  $G$  is convex in  $G$  if  $z \in A$  for any  $z \in G$  satisfying  $k \cdot z \in A + \dots + A$  ( $k$  summands) for some  $k$ . A topological group having a fundamental system of convex neighborhoods is called locally convex.

A quotient group  $G/G_0$  of a locally convex group  $G$  need not be locally convex (e.g. additive group of real numbers modulo 1 is not locally convex).

Proposition 2.a) A subgroup of a locally convex group is locally convex.

b) If  $G$  is a locally convex group,  $G_0$  a divisible subgroup of  $G$  (i.e. for any  $y \in G_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  there exists  $y' \in G_0$  with  $ny' = y$ ), then the quotient group  $G/G_0$  is locally convex.

c) If  $G_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) are locally convex groups, then the direct product  $G = \prod_{i=1}^n G_i$  is locally convex.

Proof. The statements a) and c) are evident. In order to prove b), we take an arbitrary neighborhood  $\varphi(U)$  of zero element in  $G/G_0$ , where  $\varphi$  is the canonical mapping  $G \rightarrow G/G_0$ . If  $n\varphi(x) \in \varphi(U) + \dots + \varphi(U)$  ( $n$  summands), then  $nx = x_1 + \dots + x_n + y$  for some  $x_i \in U$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ),  $y \in G_0$ . For  $x_0 \in G_0$ ,  $n \cdot x_0 = y$ , from the equality  $n(x - x_0) = x_1 + \dots + x_n$  it follows  $x - x_0 \in U$  and  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x - x_0)$  is in  $\varphi(U)$ .

Theorem 1. The topology  $\pi$  in  $G \otimes K$  is locally convex.

The proof is evident.

Remark 3. If  $G$  is a topological group with the topology  $\tau$ , then there exists a finest locally convex topology  $\tau^*$  which is coarser than  $\tau$ . The fundamental system of neighborhoods for  $\tau^*$  can be defined by  $\omega(U) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n^m$ , where  $K_n^m = \{x \in G; nx \in U + \dots + U \text{ (} n \text{ summands)}\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ; and  $U \in \{U\}$ . The proposition 1 of [3] is also true for the topology  $\pi$ .

Examples. 1. Let  $D$  be the group of  $p$ -adic numbers (see [2]; [3], § 3) with the topology  $\tau$  (see [3], § 3). Then  $\tau^*$  is clearly the trivial topology, hence the tensor product topology  $\pi$  in  $D \otimes D$  is also trivial.

2. Let  $K$  be the multiplicative group of complex numbers. For any neighborhood  $U_\varepsilon = \{z \in K; |z - 1| < \varepsilon\}$  we have

$\omega(U_\varepsilon) = \{x \in K; 1 - \varepsilon < |x| < 1 + \varepsilon\}$ . In particular if  $\tau$  is the usual topology (see [2]) in the additive group  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  of the real numbers modulo 1, then  $\tau^*$  is a trivial topology and hence the tensor product topology in  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$  is also trivial.

Theorem 2. Let  $G$  and  $K$  be two topological Abelian groups. On the tensor product  $G \otimes K$  there exists a unique locally convex topology with the properties

(a) The canonical  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear mapping  $(x, y) \rightarrow x \otimes y$  is continuous in  $(0, 0)$ .

(b) If  $H$  is a locally convex group, then the canonical isomorphism of the group  $\mathcal{L}(G, K; H)$  of all  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear mappings  $G \times K \rightarrow H$  onto the group  $\mathcal{L}(G \otimes K; H)$  of all  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear mappings  $G \otimes K \rightarrow H$  defines an isomorphism of the group  $\mathcal{B}(G, K; H)$  of all continuous in  $(0, 0)$   $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear mappings  $G \times K \rightarrow H$  onto the group  $\mathcal{B}(G \otimes K; H)$  of all continuous  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear mappings  $G \otimes K \rightarrow H$ .

Proof. Let the image of  $f \in \mathcal{L}(G, K; H)$  in  $\mathcal{L}(G \otimes K; H)$  under the canonical isomorphism be denoted by  $f^*$ . It suffices to prove that  $f \in \mathcal{B}(G, K; H)$  implies  $f^* \in \mathcal{B}(G \otimes K; H)$ . For any convex neighborhood  $W$  of zero element in  $H$  there exist neighborhoods  $U, V$  in  $G, K$  such that  $f(U, V) \subseteq W$ . For  $x \in \Omega_{u, v}$  we have  $nx \in U \otimes V + \dots + U \otimes V$  ( $n$  summands) for a suitable  $n$ ; from  $nf^*(x) = f^*(nx) \in f^*(U \otimes V) + \dots + f^*(U \otimes V)$  it follows that  $f^*(x) \in W$ . The uniqueness of such a topology is clear.

For the topology  $\pi$  in  $G \otimes K$  are true propositions 2 and 4 of [3]. If  $G$  and  $K$  are Abelian groups,  $G'$  and  $K'$  subgroups in  $G$  and  $K$ , then the tensor products  $G \otimes K / \Gamma(G', K')$

$(\Gamma(G', K'))$  means the subgroup in  $G \otimes K$  generated by the set of all  $x \otimes y$ ,  $x$  is in  $G'$  or  $y$  is in  $K'$  and  $(G/G') \otimes (K/K')$  are  $Z$ -isomorphic (see [1]), but the canonical mapping  $\Phi$  of  $G \otimes K / \Gamma(G', K')$  onto  $(G/G') \otimes (K/K')$  is not open in general. For example let  $G$  be the additive group of real numbers,  $G'$  the additive subgroup of integers,  $K$  a discrete group with a finite basis. Then  $G \otimes K$  and  $G \otimes K / \Gamma(G', 0)$  are discrete. The topology of  $(G/G') \otimes K$  is not discrete. This proves that propositions 3 and 4 of [3] are false for the topology  $\pi$ .

By an annihilator (see [4]) of the group  $K$  in  $G$  we mean the set of all elements  $x \in G$  such that  $x \otimes y = 0$  for every  $y \in K$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $G$  and  $K$  be two Abelian groups,  $G'$  a subgroup in  $G$  contained in the annihilator of the group  $K$  in  $G$ ,  $K'$  a subgroup in  $K$  contained in the annihilator of the group  $G$  in  $K$ . Then the canonical  $Z$ -isomorphism  $\Phi$  of  $G \otimes K$  onto  $(G/G') \otimes (K/K')$  is a topological isomorphism.

**Proof.** It is evident that  $\Phi : x \otimes y \rightarrow \varphi(x) \otimes \psi(y)$ , where  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are canonical mappings of  $G \rightarrow G/G'$  and  $K \rightarrow K/K'$ , is continuous. It suffices to prove that  $\Phi$  is open.

Let  $\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_i) \otimes \psi(y_i) \in (G/G') \otimes (K/K')$  be an arbitrary element in  $\Omega_{\varphi(u), \psi(v)}$ . There exist an integer  $n$  and  $u_i \in U$ ,  $v_i \in V$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_i) \otimes \psi(y_i) \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(u_i) \otimes \psi(v_i). \quad \text{We set } x = \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i, \quad w = nx - \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \otimes v_i. \quad \text{From } \Phi(w) = \Phi(nx) - \\
 &= \Phi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \otimes v_i \right) = n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_i) \otimes \psi(y_i) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(u_i) \otimes \psi(v_i) = 0 \\
 &\text{it follows } w = 0, \quad \text{hence}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$n x = \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i \otimes v_i) \in U \otimes V + \dots + U \otimes V \quad (n \text{ summands}).$$

This proves  $\Phi(\Omega_{u,v}) \supseteq \Omega_{\varphi(u), \psi(v)}$ .

Remark 4. It was mentioned that  $G \otimes K$  is not separated in general. If we denote by  $\Gamma$  the closure of zero element in  $G \otimes K$ , then the quotient group  $(G \otimes K)/\Gamma$  is separated. It can be shown that  $(G \otimes K)/\Gamma$  is locally convex. We can therefore extend some results of this § to the case of  $(G \otimes K)/\Gamma$ .

The following statement seems to be interesting: Let  $G_i, K_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be four Abelian groups,  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  continuous  $Z$ -linear open mappings of  $G_1$  onto  $G_2$  and of  $K_1$  onto  $K_2$ . We suppose next that  $G_1$  (or  $K_1$ ) is divisible (i.e. for any  $y \in G_1$  and any  $n \in Z$  there exists  $y' \in G_1$  with  $ny' = y$ ). Then the mapping  $(\mu \otimes \nu)_{\Gamma_1}^{\Gamma_2}$  of  $(G_1 \otimes K_1)_{\Gamma_1}$  onto  $(G_2 \otimes K_2)_{\Gamma_2}$  obtained by factorization of  $\mu \otimes \nu$  is open ( $\Gamma_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is the closure of zero element in  $G_i \otimes K_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ )).

The proof of this statement does not present any difficulty.

Remark 5. We can construct the completion  $G \hat{\otimes} K$  of  $(G \otimes K)/\Gamma$ . It is easy to see that  $G \hat{\otimes} K$  is locally convex whenever  $G$  or  $K$  is divisible.

## § 2.

In this section  $\mathbb{C}$  means the field of rational, real or complex numbers. The unit element of  $\mathbb{C}$  will be denoted by 1. We recall that for any  $x = \lambda_1 \otimes x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \otimes x_n$  of  $\mathbb{C} \otimes G$  a multiplication by a scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  can be defined in the following manner (see [1]):

$$(3) \quad \lambda \cdot x = \lambda \lambda_1 \otimes x_1 + \dots + \lambda \lambda_n \otimes x_n.$$

In case  $\mathbb{C}$  is the field of rational numbers, every element  $x \in \mathbb{C} \otimes G$  is of the form (see [1])  $x = \kappa \otimes y$ , where



$x \in C, y \in G.$

Definition 3. Let  $E$  be a vector space over  $C$ . We shall say that  $E$  is a general topological vector space (abbreviated  $g$ -space) if  $E$  is a topological space and

- (a)  $(x, y) \rightarrow x + y$  is continuous in  $E \times E$
- (b)  $(\lambda, x) \rightarrow \lambda x$  is continuous in  $(0, 0) \in C \times E$
- (c)  $x \rightarrow \lambda x$  is continuous in  $0 \in E$  for every  $\lambda \in C$ .

It can be shown that a topology of a  $g$ -space is described by a basis of a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $E$  satisfying

- (a')  $U \in \mathcal{F}, \lambda \in C, |\lambda| \leq 1$  imply  $\lambda U \subseteq U$ ,
- (b') for any  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  there exists  $V \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $V + V \subseteq U$ ,
- (c') if  $U \in \mathcal{F}, \lambda \in C$ , then  $\lambda V \subseteq U$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Similarly we define a locally convex  $g$ -space.

Proposition 4. Let  $G$  be a topological group,  $C$  the field of rational, real or complex numbers with the natural topology. Then the tensor product  $C \otimes G$  with respect to the topology  $\pi$  is a  $g$ -space. If every neighborhood of zero element in  $G$  generates  $G$ , then  $C \otimes G$  is a topological vector space.

Proof. In order to prove that  $C \otimes G$  is a  $g$ -space it suffices to show (a') and (c'). If  $U = \{\lambda \in C; |\lambda| \leq \epsilon\}$  then for any neighborhood  $V$  of zero element in  $G$  holds

$$\lambda \Omega_{u,v} \subseteq \Omega_{u,v}.$$

Similarly  $\lambda \Omega_{u,v} \subseteq \Omega_{u,v}$  for  $\lambda W \subseteq U$ . It remains to prove that, if  $G$  is generated by  $V$ , for every  $x \in C \otimes G$  there exists  $\lambda \in C$  satisfying  $\lambda \cdot x \in \Omega_{u,v}$ .

Obviously we may assume that  $V$  is a symmetric neighborhood in  $G$ . Let  $x = \lambda_1 \otimes y_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \otimes y_n$  be an element of

$C \otimes G$ . Every  $y_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) is of the form  $y_i = y_i + \dots + y_i^{k_i}$ , where  $y_i^\kappa \in V$  ( $1 \leq \kappa \leq k_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ ). We choose  $\lambda \in C$  satisfying  $\lambda \lambda_i \in U$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and put  $\mu = k_1 + \dots + k_n$ . From  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\kappa=1}^{k_i} (\lambda \lambda_i \otimes y_i^\kappa) \in U \otimes V + \dots + U \otimes V$  ( $\mu$  summands) it follows  $\lambda \cdot \mu^{-1} \cdot x \in H_{u,v}^\mu \subseteq \Omega_{u,v}$ , where  $H_{u,v}^\mu$  is defined in (1).

**Proposition 5.** For any topological group  $G$  there exists a  $Z$ -linear and continuous mapping onto a subgroup of a locally convex  $\mathcal{G}$ -space. If  $G$  is generated by every neighborhood of zero element, we can replace  $\mathcal{G}$ -space in the first assertion by a locally convex vector space.

Proof. We define a mapping  $\varphi$  of  $G$  into  $C \otimes G$  by

$$(4) \quad \varphi(x) = 1 \otimes x$$

for any  $x \in G$ . The mapping  $\varphi$  is clearly  $Z$ -linear and continuous. The rest of the proof follows from Proposition 4 and from Theorem 1 (see also § 1 of [3]).

If  $G$  is a torsion-free group,  $C$  the field of rational numbers, then the mapping (4) is a  $Z$ -isomorphism (see [1]).

**Theorem 3.** Let  $G$  be a locally convex torsion-free Abelian group,  $C$  the field of rational numbers with the natural topology. Then the mapping (4) is a topological  $Z$ -isomorphism of  $G$  into  $C \otimes G$ .

Proof. It suffices to prove that  $\varphi$  is an open mapping. Let

$\Omega_{u,v}$  be an arbitrary neighborhood of zero element in  $C \otimes G$ . We may suppose that  $U$  is of the form  $U = \{ \kappa \in C; |\kappa| \leq k^{-1} \}$ , where  $k$  is an integer, and  $V$  is a symmetric convex neighborhood of zero element in  $G$ .

We shall prove that  $\Omega_{u,v} \cap \varphi(G) \subseteq \varphi(V)$ . Let  $x = 1 \otimes x$  be an arbitrary element in  $\Omega_{u,v} \cap \varphi(G)$ . There exist  $\mu_i \in U, x_i \in V$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) such that

$$(5) \quad n(1 \otimes x) = n_1 \otimes x_1 + \dots + n_n \otimes x_n.$$

If we put  $n_i = n_i/m_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), where  $n_i$  and  $m_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) are integers, then  $|kn_i| \leq |m_i|$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). From (5) it follows  $1 \otimes nx = m_1^{-1} \otimes n_1 x_1 + \dots + m_n^{-1} \otimes n_n x_n$ .

Putting  $\mu = \prod_{i=1}^n m_i$  the equality

$1 \otimes n\mu x = 1 \otimes n_1 m_1^{-1} \mu x_1 + \dots + 1 \otimes n_n m_n^{-1} \mu x_n$  implies  $n\mu x = n_1 m_1^{-1} \mu x_1 + \dots + n_n m_n^{-1} \mu x_n$ , hence, with respect to the relations  $|kn_i| \leq |m_i|$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), we obtain  $kn\mu \cdot x \in V + \dots + V$  ( $n\mu$  summands). From the convexity of  $V$  it follows  $x \in V$ . This concludes the proof.

**Proposition 6.** If  $G$  is a separated locally convex group,  $C$  the field of rational numbers with the natural topology, then  $C \otimes G$  is a separated locally convex group.

**Proof.** It is evident that  $G$  is torsion-free. If  $0 \neq x \in C \otimes G$ , then we may suppose that  $x = \kappa \otimes x$ ,  $0 \neq \kappa \in C$ ,  $0 \neq x \in G$ . We define a neighborhood  $U = \{\lambda \in C; |\lambda| \leq \kappa\}$  in  $C$  and choose a symmetric neighborhood  $V$  in  $G$  not containing  $x$ . We shall prove that  $x$  is not contained in  $\Omega_{U,V}$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that  $x$  is in  $\Omega_{U,V}$ . Then for some  $\lambda_i = k_i m_i^{-1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ),  $x_i \in V$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) holds  $n(\kappa \otimes x) = \lambda_1 \otimes x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \otimes x_n$ ; from  $n\kappa \cdot m^{-1} \otimes x = m_1^{-1} \otimes k_1 x_1 + \dots + m_n^{-1} \otimes k_n x_n$ , where  $\kappa = k \cdot m^{-1}$ , it follows  $nkm_1 \dots m_n x = m_1 k_1 m_2 \dots m_n x_1 + \dots + k_n m_1 \dots m_{n-1} x_n$ . Making use of  $|k_i m_i| \leq |m_i k|$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), we conclude  $nkm_1 \dots m_n x \in V + \dots + V$  ( $nkm_1 \dots m_n$  summands), hence  $x \in V$ .

If  $G$  is an Abelian group,  $H$  a vector space over  $C$ ,  $f$  a  $Z$ -linear mapping of  $G$  into  $H$ , then there exists (see [1]) a  $C$ -linear mapping  $g$  of  $C \otimes G$  into  $H$

defined by

$$(6) \quad g(\lambda \otimes x) = \lambda \cdot f(x).$$

Proposition 7. Let  $G$  be a topological Abelian group,  $H$  a locally convex  $\mathcal{G}$ -space over  $C$ ,  $f$  a  $Z$ -linear continuous mapping of  $G$  into  $H$ . Then the mapping  $g$  defined by (6) is continuous.

Proof. Let  $W$  be a convex neighborhood in  $H$  satisfying  $\lambda W \subseteq W$  for any  $\lambda \in C$ ,  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ . There exists a neighborhood  $V$  in  $G$ ,  $f(V) \subseteq W$ . It is easy to prove that  $g(\Omega_{u,v}) \subseteq W$ , where  $U = \{\lambda \in C; |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ .

Theorem 4. On the tensor product  $C \otimes G$  there exists a unique locally convex topology with the properties

- (a) The canonical mapping  $x \rightarrow g(x) = 1 \otimes x$  of  $G$  into  $C \otimes G$  is continuous;
- (b) For any locally convex  $\mathcal{G}$ -space  $H$  and for any continuous  $Z$ -linear mapping  $f$  of  $G$  into  $H$ , the mapping  $g$  defined in (6) is a continuous  $C$ -linear mapping of  $C \otimes G$  into  $H$ .

The proof of this statement is evident.

#### R e f e r e n c e s :

- [1] N. BOURBAKI, Algèbre multilinéaire, Paris, Hermann, 1948.
- [2] L.S. PONTRJAGIN, Continuous groups, Moscow 1954 (Russian).
- [3] S. TOMÁŠEK, Some remarks on tensor products (will appear in Comment.Math.Univ.Carol.).
- [4] H. WHITNEY, Tensor products of Abelian groups, Duke Math.Journal, 4(1938), pp. 495-528.