

Věra Šedivá

On pointwise convergence of sequences of continuous functions

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 1 (1960), No. 2, 43–51

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104870>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1960

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON POINTWISE CONVERGENCE OF SEQUENCES OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

Věra ŠEDIVÁ, Praha

If P is a topological space, we denote by $C(P)$ the set of all continuous real-valued functions on P . For $f, f_n \in C(P)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) we define $f_n \xrightarrow{n} f$ if

and only if $f_n(x) \xrightarrow{n} f(x)$ for every $x \in P$

(if $f, f_n \in C(P)$ and $f_n \xrightarrow{n} f$ does not hold,

$f_n \xrightarrow{n} f$ is written). This convergence defines a topology μ on $C(P)$ in the well-known manner (for $A \subset C(P)$ μA consists of all $f \in C(P)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ for some $f_n \in A$). Let us point out that, following

E. Čech [1], a topology μ on a set Q is defined as a mapping μ which to every $M \subset Q$ assigns a set $\mu M \subset Q$ and satisfies the following axioms: $\mu \emptyset = \emptyset$, $\mu(x) = (x)$, $\mu(M_1 \cup M_2) = \mu M_1 \cup \mu M_2$.

The condition $\mu(\mu M) = \mu M$, called axiom F by E. Čech, is not required in general; if it is satisfied, then μ is called an F -topology and (Q, μ) an F -space. For any topology μ on Q two further topologies are defined: $\tilde{\mu}$, the F -reduction of μ , which possesses an open base consisting of all $Q - \mu A$, $A \subset Q$; μ^* , the F -modification of μ , which is the finest of all F -topologies coarser than μ . Clearly $\tilde{\mu} = \mu$ or $\mu = \mu^*$ if and only if μ is an F -topology.

It is known that for some not at all exceptional spaces P , the space $(C(P), \mu)$ does not satisfy the axiom F ; therefore it will be interesting to consider the spaces $(C(P), \tilde{\mu})$ and $(C(P), \mu^*)$. In this note it is shown that for the most important spaces P , $(C(P), \tilde{\mu})$ is a discrete space (part II). In part I, the regularity of the space $(C(P), \mu^*)$ is studied.

The usual notation and terminology is used (with the above differences). The same symbol will be used for a sequence or double sequence and for the set of its members. The power of a set A will be denoted $\text{card } A$.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank Prof. M. Kařtřov for his useful hints, namely concerning theorem 2 in part II.

I.

We denote by N the set of all positive integers. If X is a set, X^N denotes the collection of all sequences $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$, $x_m \in X$.

Definition : Let $\alpha = \{\alpha_m\} \in N^N$, $\beta = \{\beta_m\} \in N^N$. We write $\alpha \succ \beta$ if there exists an m_0 such that $\alpha_m > \beta_m$ for all $m \geq m_0$. If $\alpha \succ \beta$ does not hold, we write $\alpha \not\succ \beta$.

Proposition 1 : There exists a set $\mathcal{A} \subset N^N$ such that :

- (1) If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$, $\alpha \neq \beta$, then either $\alpha \succ \beta$ or $\beta \succ \alpha$.
- (2) If $\gamma \in N^N$, then there exists an $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\gamma \not\succ \alpha$.
- (3) If $\alpha^i \in \mathcal{A}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), then there exists an $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\alpha \succ \alpha^i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$).

Proof : The existence of a set \mathcal{A} with properties (1), (2) follows from Zorn's lemma; (3) follows from (1) and (2) by means of the diagonal method.

Theorem 1 : If \mathcal{P} is a normal space which contains a discrete ¹⁾ family of power $\geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ consisting of open sets, then there exists a closed set $F \subset (C(\mathcal{P}), \mu)$ and a double sequence $A = \{f_{m_i, m_j}\} \subset C(\mathcal{P})$ such that :

- 1) $0 \notin F$ (0 denotes the function identically zero on \mathcal{P});

- 1) That is, locally finite and such that the closures of any two distinct sets of the family are disjoint.

- 2) $f_{m,n} \xrightarrow{n} 0$ for all $m \in N$;
 3) If U is a neighborhood of 0 in $(C(P), u)$, then $F \cap u(A \cap U) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof : Let $\{G_g \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\}$ be a discrete family of open subsets of P , $g \in G_g$, $\text{card } \mathcal{G} \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset N^N$ possess properties (1), (2), (3) from proposition 1. Let φ be a one-to-one mapping of \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{G} . If $\beta \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote $\mathcal{A}_\beta = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \mid \alpha \geq \beta\}$, $Z_\beta = \varphi(\mathcal{A}_\beta)$, $F_\beta = \{f \in C(P) \mid f|Z_\beta \geq 1\}$, $F = \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}} F_\beta$. Property (3) of \mathcal{A} implies that F

is a closed set. For $g \in \mathcal{G}$ let $f^g \in C(P)$ be such that $f^g(g) = 1$, $f^g|P - G_g = 0$. We put, for $m, n \in N$ $A_{m,n} = \{\alpha = \{\alpha_k\} \in \mathcal{A} \mid \alpha_m \geq n\}$, $G_{m,n} = \varphi(A_{m,n})$, $f_{m,n} = \sum_{g \in G_{m,n}} f^g$, $A = \{f_{m,n}\}$.

It is easy to prove that $f_{m,n} \xrightarrow{n} 0$ for all $m \in N$.

If U is a neighborhood of 0 , then there exists a $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathcal{X}_m\} \in N^N$ such that $f_{m,n} \in U$ for $n \geq \mathcal{X}_m$. By property (2) of \mathcal{A} there exists a $\beta \in \mathcal{A}$, $\beta = \{\beta_m\}$, such that $\mathcal{X} \not\geq \beta$. Let $\{j_m\} \in N^N$ be an increasing sequence such that $\beta_{j_m} \geq \mathcal{X}_{j_m}$; put $h_m = f_{j_m, \beta_{j_m}}$, $f = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{Z}_\beta} f^g$. It may be proved that $h_m \xrightarrow{m} f$, $f \in F$.

Corollary : If P is a normal space and there exists a discrete family of power $\geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ consisting of open subsets of P , then the space $(C(P), u^*)$ is not regular

Remark : If P contains a dense countable subset, then for every $A = \{f_{m,n}\} \subset C(P)$ such that $f_{m,n} \xrightarrow{n} 0$

for all $m \in N$, there exists a $\{\mathcal{X}_m\} \in N^N$ such that the set $B = \{f_{m,n} \in A \mid n \geq \mathcal{X}_m\}$ has, in

$(C(P), u)$ precisely one cluster point, the point 0 .

Problem: Let P contain a countable dense subset. Under what conditions is $(C(P), u^*)$ regular? (Clearly it is such if P itself is countable).

II.

In this part we shall examine the F -reduction of the topology u on $C(P)$. We notice that a space $(C(P), \tilde{u})$ is discrete if and only if for every $f \in C(P)$ there exists a $H \subset C(P)$ such that

1) for every $g \in C(P)$, $g \neq f$, there exists a $\{g_n\} \in H^N$ such that $g_n \xrightarrow{n} g$,

2) if $\{f_n\} \in H^N$, then $f_n \xrightarrow{n} f$.

Definitions:

We shall call $\sigma(P)$ every double sequence $\{f_{m,n}\}$ of functions from $C(P)$ such that

1) $f_{m,n} \xrightarrow{n} 0$ for all $m \in N$,

2) if $\{k_m\} \in N^N$ is increasing, $\{n_m\} \in N^N$, then $f_{k_m, n_m} \xrightarrow{m} 0$.

We shall call $j(P)$ every double sequence $\{h_{m,n}\}$ of functions from $C(P)$ such that:

1) $h_{m,n} \xrightarrow{n} 1$ for all $m \in N$

2) if $\{k_m\} \in N^N$ is increasing, $\{n_m\} \in N^N$, $\{g_m\} \in C(P)^N$, then $g_{k_m} \cdot h_{k_m, n_m} \xrightarrow{m} 1$.

Theorem 1. For every space P containing a dense countable subset the following properties are equivalent:

1) $(C(P), u)$ is not an F -space;

2) there exists an $\sigma(P)$;

3) there exists a $j(P)$;

4) $(C(P), \tilde{u})$ is discrete.

2) $\sigma(P)$ is a \mathcal{G} -system, as defined in [3].

Proof : No $(C(P), u)$ is discrete and therefore

4) \Rightarrow 1) is trivial.

1) \Leftrightarrow 2) is proved in [3].

2) \Rightarrow 3) : Let $\{f_{m,n}\} \subset C(P)$ be an $\sigma(P)$.

Let $\alpha_m \in C(E_1)$ be defined as follows :

$$\alpha_m(y) = 0 \quad \text{if } y \leq 1 - \frac{1}{m}$$

$$\alpha_m(y) = (m+1)(my - m + 1) \quad \text{if } 1 - \frac{1}{m} \leq y \leq 1 - \frac{1}{m+1}$$

$$\alpha_m(y) = 1 \quad \text{if } 1 - \frac{1}{m+1} \leq y.$$

For every $x \in P$ we put $h_{m,n}(x) = \alpha_m(1 - |f_{m,n}(x)|)$.

It is easy to prove that $\{h_{m,n}\}$ is a $j(P)$.

3) \Rightarrow 4) : Let $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ be dense in P .

For $k, l \in N$ denote by $M_{k,l}$ the set of $f \in C(P)$ such that $|f(a_k) - 1| \geq \frac{1}{l}$;

clearly $\bigcup_{k,l} M_{k,l} = C(P) - (1)$. Let φ be

a one-to-one mapping of $N \times N$ onto N .

Let $\{h_{s,n}\}$ be a $j(P)$; since $h_{s,n} \xrightarrow{n} 1$,

we may suppose (replacing, if necessary, $\{h_{s,n}\}$ by $\{h_{s, n_s + n}\}$ with n_s sufficiently large)

that for any $k, l, n \in N$ and $s = \varphi(k, l)$, $|h_{s,n}(a_k) - 1| \leq \frac{1}{3l}$. Then, evidently,

$$(*) \quad |f(a_k) \cdot h_{s,n}(a_k) - 1| \geq \frac{1}{3l} \quad \text{if } s = \varphi(k, l), f \in M_{k,l}$$

Denote by H_1 the set of all $f \cdot h_{s,n}$ where $k \in N, l \in N, f \in M_{k,l}, s = \varphi(k, l)$. Clearly

$f \cdot h_{\varphi(k,l), n} \xrightarrow{n} f$ if $f \in M_{k,l}$; hence

$\cup H_1 \supset C(P) - (1)$. Suppose $g_i \in H_1$,

$g_i \xrightarrow{i} 1$. Then, for some $\{k_i\}, \{l_i\},$

$\{n_i\}$ from N^N , we have $g_i = f_i \cdot h_{s_i, n_i}$,

$f_i \in M_{k_i, l_i}, s_i = \varphi(k_i, l_i)$. Since $\{h_{s,n}\}$

is a $j(P)$ and $f_i \cdot h_{s_i, n_i} \xrightarrow{i} 1$, almost all s_i are equal to some $s = \varphi(k, l)$. Therefore, for large i , $f_i \in M_{h, l}$ and by $(*)$, $|f_i(a_k) \cdot h_{s_i, n_i}(a_k) - 1| \geq \frac{1}{3l}$; this contradicts $g_i \xrightarrow{i} 1$. Thus $1 \notin u H_1$. Putting, for $g \in C(P)$, $H_g = \{f - 1 + g \mid f \in H_1\}$ we obtain $u H_g = C(P) - (g)$.

There follow several criteria which show, in some cases, when $(C(P), u)$ is an F -space and when it is not so (and therefore, if P contains a countable dense subset, $(C(P), \tilde{u})$ is discrete). Proofs are omitted.

Proposition 1. (contained in [4]). If P is a countable space, then $(C(P), u)$ is an F -space (metrizable even).

Proposition 2. If for every $f \in C(P)$ there exists a countable $A \subset P$ such that f is constant on $P - A$, then $(C(P), u)$ is an F -space.

Proposition 3. If P is a dense-in-itself non-meager³⁾ normal space containing a countable metrizable dense subspace, then $(C(P), u)$ is not an F -space.

Proposition 4. If P is the β -compactification of some infinite discrete space, then $(C(P), u)$ is not an F -space.

Proposition 5. Let A be a closed G_δ subset of a normal space P . $(C(A), u)$ is not an F -space, then nor is $(C(P), u)$. If $(C(A), \tilde{u})$ is discrete and $P - A$ contains a countable dense (in $P - A$) subset, then $(C(P), \tilde{u})$ is discrete also.

If in theorem 1 we omit the condition of separability of P , the theorem ceases to hold. In this case only properties (1), (2), (3) are equivalent. Property (4) is not equivalent to them,

3) That is, P is not a union of countably many nowhere dense sets.

as following example shows.

Let A be some space such that $(C(A), \mu)$ is not an F space. Let M be a set, $\text{card } M > (\text{card } C(A))^{\aleph_0}$.

Let $B = M \cup \{\xi\}$ be a compact Hausdorff space with a unique non-isolated point ξ . We put $P = A \cup B$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, A, B are closed in P . Clearly, if A is a compact Hausdorff space, P is such also.

$(C(P), \mu)$ is not an F -space (the existence of an $\sigma(P)$ is evident), but $(C(P), \tilde{\mu})$ is not discrete - this may be proved using proposition 2.

Nevertheless $(C(P), \tilde{\mu})$ is also discrete for some important non-separable spaces, e.g. for α -separable metric spaces with $\alpha = \alpha^{\aleph_0}$, and also for their β -compactifications, as shown in the following theorem.

In this theorem and its proof, \bar{A} denotes the closure of a set $A \subset P$ in P .

Theorem 2. If P is a normal space containing a discrete normally imbedded ⁴⁾ subset of power $\alpha = \alpha^{\aleph_0}$ and a dense subset of power $\leq 2^\alpha$, then $(C(P), \tilde{\mu})$ is discrete.

Lemma: Let Z, Ξ be sets, $\text{card } Z = \alpha = \alpha^{\aleph_0}$, $\text{card } \Xi = 2^\alpha$. Then there exists a collection $\{Z_{\xi, n} \mid \xi \in \Xi, n \in N\}$ of subsets of Z such that

(1) if $\xi \in \Xi$, $n_1, n_2 \in N$, $n_1 \neq n_2$, then

$$Z_{\xi, n_1} \cap Z_{\xi, n_2} = \emptyset;$$

4) A set Q is said to be normally imbedded in a space P if $Q \subset P$ and every bounded continuous function on Q can be extended continuously to P . By discrete subset we means, in this theorem, simply a subset which, as a subspace, contains isolated points only.

(2) if $\xi_i \in \Xi$ are distinct, $n_i \in N$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_{\xi_i, n_i}$

is uncountable.

This lemma follows easily from the following proposition (cf. [1], p. 489). If R is the topological product of 2^α intervals $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$, then R contains a dense subset of power $\leq \alpha^{No}$ whose intersection with every non-void G_δ subset of R is uncountable.

(Let φ be a one-to-one mapping of A into Z . If $R = \{ \{n_\beta\} \mid n_\beta \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \beta \in \Xi \}$, $A_{\xi, m} = \{ \{n_\beta\} \in A \mid n_\beta \in (\frac{1}{m+1}, \frac{1}{m}) \}$, we put $Z_{\xi, m} = \varphi(A_{\xi, m})$).

Proof of theorem 2 : Let $X \subset P$ be dense, $Z \subset P$ discrete normally imbedded, $\text{card } Z = \alpha = \alpha^{No}$, $\text{card } X \leq 2^\alpha$. Put $\Xi = X \times N$ and let

$\{ Z_{\xi, m} \mid \xi \in \Xi, m \in N \}$ be a collection of subsets of Z with properties (1), (2) from the lemma, and such that if $\xi = [x, m] \in \Xi$, then $x \notin Z_{\xi, m}$.

Since Z is normally imbedded, the collection $\{ \overline{Z_{\xi, m}} \}$ is disjoint, be (1), for any fixed $\xi \in \Xi$. This

implies, by normality of P , the existence of open sets $G_{\xi, m} \supset \overline{Z_{\xi, m}}$ such that, for any fixed $\xi = [x, m]$

$\{ G_{\xi, m} \}$ is disjoint, $x \in G_{\xi, m}$. Now choose, for any $\xi \in \Xi$, $m \in N$, a function $h_{\xi, m} \in C(P)$ equal to 0 on $Z_{\xi, m}$ and to 1 on $P - G_{\xi, m}$. Clearly

(a) for any $\xi \in \Xi$ $h_{\xi, m} \xrightarrow{m} 1$, (b) for any $\xi \in [x, m] \in \Xi$ $h_{\xi, m}(x) = 1$, (c) if $\xi_k \in \Xi$ are distinct, $n_k \in N$, $f_k \in C(P)$, then

$f_k: h_{\xi_k, n_k} \xrightarrow{k} 1$ (since $h_{\xi_k, n_k}(y) = 0$ where $y \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_{\xi_k, n_k}$).

Let H_1 denote the set of all $f \cdot h_{\xi, m}$ with $\xi = [x, m]$, $f \in C(P)$, $|f(x) - 1| \geq \frac{1}{m}$. By (a), $f \cdot h_{\xi, m} \xrightarrow{m} f$; hence $\cup H_1 \supset C(P) - (1)$. Suppose that $g_k \in H_1$, $g_k \xrightarrow{k} 1$. Then $g_k = f_k \cdot h_{\xi_k, n_k}$, $\xi_k = [x_k, m_k]$, $f_k \in C(P)$,

$|f_k(x_k) - 1| \geq \frac{1}{m_k}$. Since $g_k \xrightarrow{k} 1$, we

obtain, by (c), that almost all f_k are equal to some $[x, m] \in \Xi$. Hence, for large k , $|f_k(x) - 1| \geq \frac{1}{m}$ and by (b) $g_{f_k, n_k}(x) = 1$; therefore

$|g_k(x) - 1| \geq \frac{1}{m}$ which is contradiction. We

have proved that $\mu H_1 = C(P) - (1)$. Now, if $g \in C(P)$, put $H_g = \{g + f - 1 \mid f \in H_1\}$; then $\mu H_g = C(P) - (g)$.

References :

- [1] E. Čech : Topologické prostory, Praha 1959.
- [2] M. Neubauer : Sur l'espace des fonctions continues. Fund.Math. 31 (1938), 269-278.
- [3] J. Novák, L. Mišík : O \mathcal{L} -priestoroch spojitých funkcií. Mat.fyz.sborník SAVU 1 (1951), 1-13.
- [4] B. Pospíšil : Sur les fonctions continues. Fund.Math. 31 (1938), 262-268.