

Herbert Fleischner

A note on line colorings of cubic graphs

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 10 (1974), No. 4, 195--197

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104832>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A NOTE ON LINE COLORINGS OF CUBIC GRAPHS

HERBERT FLEISCHNER

(Received October 3, 1973)

All concepts used in this note may be found in [3] if not explicitly stated otherwise. The graphs considered throughout this note are connected, plane, cubic graphs which are assumed to have a 1-factorization C (i.e., a partition of the line set into three classes such that adjacent lines belong to different classes). The classes of C are called linear factors.

Let $C = \{L_1, L_2, L_3\}$ be a 1-factorization of G , and let $L = L_i \in C$ be a fixed linear factor. For each $e = [a, b] \in L$ there are four lines f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 adjacent to e such that without loss of generality f_1, f_3 belong to L_2 (and f_2, f_4 belong to L_3). We say e is of type 1 in C if f_1 and f_3 belong to the same boundary of a face of G ; otherwise e is said to be of type 2. For fixed C and fixed $L \in C$, we denote by $N(L)$ the number of lines e in L which are of type 2.

Theorem 1. *For arbitrary C of G and arbitrary L in C , $N(L)$ is even.*

In the proof of the theorem we shall use a concept called Q -extension: A line e of G is replaced with a quadrangle Q and the lines adjacent to e have (exactly) one of their endpoints in Q , such that the new (connected and cubic) graph is still plane. (For an exact definition of the Q -extension see [2]).

Proof of Theorem 1. Let C be a 1-factorization of G and L_i a fixed linear factor of G in C . For each line of L_i we apply the Q -extension and denote the graph obtained in this way by G^+ . By [1, Theorem 2], G^+ is bipartite.

Now let $L_j, j \neq i$, be another linear factor of G in C . $T = L_i \cup L_j$ is a 2-factor of G , and to each cycle K of T corresponds K^+ of G^+ which is constructed as follows: If $e \in K$ belongs to L_j , then e belongs to K^+ . If $e \in K$ belongs to L_i , then there are two lines f_1, f_2 of L_j adjacent to e in K ; if e is in G of type 1, then there is in G^+ a path P joining f_1 and f_2 in Q (the quadrangle corresponding to e) and containing all points of Q , and we let P belong to K^+ ; but, if e is in G of type 2, then there are paths P_1 and P_2 in $Q \subset G^+$ joining f_1 and f_2 and each containing exactly three points of Q . We choose arbitrarily exactly one of P_1 and P_2 as belonging to K^+ . By this construction, K^+ obviously is a cycle. Let T^+ denote the set of all these K^+ . Since T is a set of disjoint cycles, therefore, T^+ is also a set of disjoint cycles, but T^+ is not a 2-factor of G^+ if L_i contains a line of type 2. In fact, to each $e \in L_i$, which is of type 2, corres-

ponds exactly one $v(e) \in V(G^+)$ such that $v(e)$ does not belong to an element of T^+ , and viceversa.

Obviously,

$$V(G^+) = \left(\bigcup_{K^+ \in T^+} V(K^+) \right) \cup \{v(e) \mid e \text{ is of type 2}\},$$

and

$$\bigcup_{K^+ \in T^+} V(K^+) \cap \{v(e) \mid e \text{ is of type 2}\} = \emptyset.$$

Therefore,

$$|V(G^+)| - \left| \bigcup_{K^+ \in T^+} V(K^+) \right| = N(L_i),$$

and since T^+ is a set of disjoint cycles,

$$N(L_i) = |V(G^+)| - \sum_{K^+ \in T^+} |V(K^+)|.$$

Any cubic graph has an even number of points, and for any $K^+ \in T^+$, $|V(K^+)|$ is even because G^+ is bipartite. I.e., $N(L_i)$ is an even number. This proves the theorem.

In fact, it is possible to characterize the bipartite graphs in terms of $N(L_i)$. This is expressed by the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *G is bipartite if and only if G has a 1-factorization $C = \{L_1, L_2, L_3\}$ with $N(L_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.*

Proof. 1. Assume G to be bipartite. Then G has a face-coloring with three colors 1, 2, 3 such that faces of the same color class have disjoint boundaries. We define $e \in E(G)$ as belonging to L_i if and only if e is boundary line for a face with color j and a face of color k such that $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. One sees immediately that $C = \{L_1, L_2, L_3\}$ is a 1-factorization of G for which $N(L_1) = N(L_2) = N(L_3) = 0$.

2. If for some C of G and any $L_i \in C$ follows $N(L_i) = 0$, then we consider a face F and its boundary B . Assuming a line e of B belonging to L_i , it follows that the lines f_1, f_2 adjacent to e in B must belong to the same L_k , $k \neq i$, since $N(L_i) = 0$. Analogously, the lines adjacent to f_1, f_2 in B and different from e , belong to L_i since $N(L_k) = 0$, a.s.o. Thus we find that in B alternate lines of L_i and L_k , i.e., B is an even cycle. Since B is boundary of an arbitrarily chosen face of G we conclude by [1, Theorem 1] that G is bipartite. This finishes the proof of the theorem.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Fleischner, H.: Über endliche, ebene, Eulersche und paare kubische Graphen, Monatsh. f. Math. 74, 410—420 (1970)
- [2] Fleischner, H.: On Regulating Sets And the Disparity of Planar, Cubic Graphs (to appear in Canad. Math. Bull.)
- [3] Harary, F.: Graph Theory (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass. 1971)

H. Fleischner

A — 1010 Vienna, Fleischmarkt 20/I/4

INFORM

Austria