

# Applications of Mathematics

---

Anatolij Dvurečenskij; Anna Tirpáková  
Sum of observables in fuzzy quantum spaces

*Applications of Mathematics*, Vol. 37 (1992), No. 1, 40–50

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104490>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## SUM OF OBSERVABLES IN FUZZY QUANTUM SPACES

ANATOLIJ DVUREČENSKIJ, ANNA TIRPÁKOVÁ

(Received June 11, 1990)

*Summary.* We introduce the sum of observables in fuzzy quantum spaces which generalize the Kolmogorov probability space using the ideas of fuzzy set theory.

*Keywords:* Fuzzy quantum space, observable, sum of observables.

*AMS classification:* primary 81B10; secondary 03E72.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The main notion of the Kolmogorov classical model of probability theory [5] is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of a set. This model has been very useful, however, it does not describe situation in quantum mechanical measurements. There are many axiomatic models of quantum mechanics, and today there is a widespread model of quantum logics, see for example [12]. Two of the most important examples of non-Boolean quantum logic models are the system of all closed subspaces of a Hilbert space [6] and the quantum probability spaces introduced by Suppes [11].

The Kolmogorov probability model may be uniquely represented by a system of characteristic functions of subsets of a set  $X$  from the given  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{S}$ , which have values in the closed interval  $[0,1]$ . When a quantum mechanical event  $a$ , say, is described vaguely, then by a fuzzy set  $a$ , that is a fuzzy event  $a$ , we shall understand a real-valued function  $a: X \rightarrow [0,1]$  which describes the quantum mechanical event  $a$ : this is a basic idea of Zadeh's theory [13].

The intersection  $\cap$  and the union  $\cup$  of fuzzy sets  $\{a_i\}$ , the complement  $\perp$  of a fuzzy set  $a$  are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\bigcap_i a_i &:= \inf_i a_i, \\ \bigcup_i a_i &:= \sup_i a_i, \\ a^\perp &:= 1 - a.\end{aligned}$$

If  $f$  and  $g$  are two  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable functions, then the measurability of the sum  $f + g$  may be proved using the following simple relation

$$(1.1) \quad \{x \in X : (f + g)(x) < t\} = \bigcup_{r \in Q} \{x \in X : f(x) < r\} \cap \{x \in X : g(x) < t - r\},$$

where  $Q$  is the set of all rationals.

Using this fact in the present note, we will define the sum of any pair of  $F$ -observables of a fuzzy quantum space.

## 2. FUZZY QUANTUM SPACES

**Definition 2.1.** A fuzzy quantum space is a couple  $(X, M)$ , where  $X$  is a nonempty set and  $M \subset [0, 1]^X$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) if  $1(x) = 1$  for any  $x \in X$ , then  $1 \in M$ ;
- (ii) if  $a \in M$ , then  $a^\perp := 1 - a \in M$ ;
- (iii) if  $\frac{1}{2}(x) = \frac{1}{2}$  for any  $x \in X$ , then  $\frac{1}{2} \notin M$ ;
- (iv)  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n := \sup_n a_n \in M$  for any  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset M$ .

In the fuzzy sets theory the system  $M$  is called a soft  $\sigma$ -algebra [7].

This structure has been suggested by Riečan [9] as an alternative axiomatic model for quantum mechanics. More general structure assuming that  $M$  is closed with respect to the union of any sequence of mutually orthogonal fuzzy sets has been proposed by Pykacz [8] and studied by Dvurečenskij and Chovanec [1]. Some fuzzy sets ideas have been studied also by Guz [4], but his approach is different from ours.

The analogue of a random variable is an  $F$ -quantum observable: An  $f$ -observable on a fuzzy quantum space  $(X, M)$  is a mapping  $x : B(\mathbb{R}^1) \rightarrow M$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $x(E^c) = 1 - x(E)$  for every  $E \in B(\mathbb{R}^1)$ ;
- (ii) if  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset B(\mathbb{R}^1)$ , then  $x(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} x(E_n)$ , where  $B(\mathbb{R}^1)$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of the real line  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and  $E^c$  denotes the complement of  $E$  in  $\mathbb{R}^1$ .

In particular, for  $a \in M$ , the mapping  $x_a: B(R^1) \rightarrow M$  defined by

$$x_a(E) = \begin{cases} a \cap a^\perp & 0, 1 \notin E \\ a^\perp & 0 \in E, 1 \notin E \\ a & 0 \notin E, 1 \in E \\ a \cup a^\perp & 0, 1 \in E \end{cases} \quad (E \in B(R^1))$$

is an  $F$ -observable of  $(X, M)$  called the indicator of the fuzzy set  $a \in M$ .

If  $f: R^1 \rightarrow R^1$  is a Borel measurable function and  $x$  is an  $F$ -observable, then  $f \circ x: E \rightarrow x(f^{-1}(E))$ ,  $E \in B(R^1)$ , is an  $F$ -observable, too. In particular, if  $\alpha \in R^1$ , then  $\alpha x: E \rightarrow x(\{t \in R^1: \alpha t \in E\})$  for any  $E \in B(R^1)$ .

Let  $(X, M)$  be a fuzzy quantum space. The set  $M$  may be regarded as a partially ordered set in which we define  $a \leq b$  iff  $a(x) \leq b(x)$  for any  $x \in X$ . Using the complementation  $\perp: a \rightarrow a^\perp = 1 - a$  for any fuzzy set  $a \in M$ , we see that  $\perp$  satisfies two conditions

- (i)  $(a^\perp)^\perp = a$  for any  $a \in M$ ;
- (ii) if  $a \leq b$ , then  $b^\perp \leq a^\perp$ . It is evident that  $a \cup a^\perp = 1$  iff  $a$  is a crisp set. Hence  $M$  is a distributive  $\sigma$ -lattice with the complementation  $\perp$ , for which de Morgan laws

$$(2.1) \quad \left( \bigcup_i a_i \right)^\perp = \bigcap_i a_i^\perp,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \left( \bigcap_i a_i \right)^\perp = \bigcup_i a_i^\perp$$

hold whenever  $\{a_i\} \subset M$ .

A nonempty subset  $A \subset M$  is called a Boolean algebra ( $\sigma$ -algebra) of a fuzzy quantum space  $(X, M)$  if

- (i) there are minimal and maximal elements  $0_A$  and  $1_A$  from  $A$  such that for any  $a \in A$ ,  $0_A \leq a \leq 1_A$  and  $a \cup a^\perp = 1_A$  (we recall that  $0_A$  and  $1_A$  are not crisp sets, in general);
- (ii)  $A$  is boolean algebra ( $\sigma$ -algebra).

It is clear that  $0_A \neq 1_A$ . For example, if  $a$  is a fuzzy set from  $M$ , then  $A_a = \{a \cap a^\perp, a^\perp, a, a \cup a^\perp\}$  is a Boolean algebra with the minimal and maximal elements  $0_{A_a} = a \cap a^\perp$  and  $1_{A_a} = a \cup a^\perp$ , respectively.

In particular, if  $x$  is an  $f$ -observable of  $(X, M)$ , then the range  $R(x) = \{x(E): E \in B(R^1)\}$  is a Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $(X, M)$  with the minimal and maximal elements  $0_{R(x)} = x(\emptyset)$  and  $1_{R(x)} = x(R^1)$ .

In accordance with the theory of quantum logics, we say that two elements  $a, b \in M$  are:

- (i) orthogonal if  $a \leq 1 - b$ , and we write  $a \perp b$ ;
- (ii) compatible if  $a = a \cap b \cup a \cap b^\perp$ ,  $b = b \cap a \cup b \cap a^\perp$ , and we write  $a \leftrightarrow b$ ;
- (iii) strongly compatible if  $a \leftrightarrow b \leftrightarrow a^\perp \leftrightarrow b^\perp \leftrightarrow a$ , and we write  $a \overset{s}{\leftrightarrow} b$ . Two observables  $x$  and  $y$  are compatible if  $x(E) \leftrightarrow y(F)$  for any  $E, F \in B(R^1)$ .

The following result has been proved by Dvurečenskij and Riečan [2]:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\{a_t : t \in T\}$  be a system of fuzzy sets from  $M$ . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\{a_t : t \in T\}$  is a system of mutually strongly compatible elements;
- (ii)  $a_s \cup a_s^\perp = a_t \cup a_t^\perp$  for any  $s, t \in T$ ;
- (iii) there is a Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $M$  containing all  $\{a_t : t \in T\}$ .

Now we characterize  $F$ -observables of a fuzzy quantum space  $(X, M)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $x$  be an  $F$ -observable of a fuzzy quantum space  $(X, M)$  and let  $B_x(t) = x((-\infty, t))$ ,  $t \in R^1$ . Then the system  $\{B_x(t) : t \in R^1\}$  fulfils the following conditions:*

- (i)  $B_x(s) \leq B_x(t)$  if  $s < t$ ;
- (ii)  $\bigcup_t B_x(t) = a$ ;
- (iii)  $\bigcap_t B_x(t) = a^\perp$ ;
- (iv)  $\bigcup_{t < s} B_x(t) = B_x(s)$ ;
- (v)  $B_x(t) \cup B_x^\perp(t) = a$ , where  $a = X(R^1)$  and  $a^\perp = x(\emptyset)$ .

Conversely, if a system  $\{B(t) : t \in R^1\}$  of fuzzy sets of a fuzzy quantum space  $(X, M)$  fulfils the conditions (i)–(v) for some  $a \in M$ , then there is a unique  $F$ -observable  $x$  such that  $B_x(t) = B(t)$  for any  $t$ , and  $x(R^1) = a$ .

*Proof.* (i) is trivial.

(ii) Let  $a = x(R^1)$ , then  $x((-\infty, t)) \leq a$ . For every integer  $n$  we have

$$x((-\infty, n)) \leq a \text{ and } x(R^1) = x\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, n)\right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} x((-\infty, n)).$$

Similarly we prove (iii).

(iv) the condition (i) implies  $B_x(t) \leq B_x(s)$  for every  $t \leq s$ , so that

$$B_x(s) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_x\left(s - \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

(v) It may be proved as follows:  $B_x(t) \cup B_x^\perp(t) = x((-\infty, t) \cup (-\infty, t)^c) = x(R^1)$ .

For the fuzzy quantum space  $(X, M)$  let now a system  $\{B(t) : t \in R^1\}$  satisfying (i)–(v) be given. Due to (v), the system  $\{B(t) : T \in R^1\}$  consists of mutually strongly compatible elements of  $M$  so that, according to Theorem 2.2, there is a minimal Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathscr{A}$  of  $M$  containing all  $B(t)$ 's. By the Loomis-Sikorski theorem [10], there is a measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathscr{S})$  and a homomorphism  $h$  from  $\mathscr{S}$  onto  $\mathscr{A}$ . Let  $r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots$  be any distinct enumeration of the rationals. We claim to construct, by induction, sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  from  $\mathscr{S}$  such that

- (a)  $h(A_i) = B(r_i)$ ;
- (b)  $A_i \subset A_j$  if  $r_i < r_j$ ;
- (c)  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \emptyset$ .

We note that if  $A \subset B$ ,  $A \in \mathscr{S}$  and if there is a  $c \in \mathscr{A}$  such that  $h(A) \leq c \leq h(B)$ , then there is a  $C \in \mathscr{S}$  such that  $A \subset C \subset B$ ,  $h(C) = c$ . Indeed, since  $h$  maps  $\mathscr{S}$  onto  $\mathscr{A}$ , there is a  $C_1 \in \mathscr{S}$  such that  $h(C_1) = c$ . If we define  $C = (C_1 \cap B) \cup A$  then  $C$  has the given property. Let  $A_1$  be any set in  $\mathscr{S}$  such that  $h(A_1) = B(r_1)$ . Suppose  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathscr{S}$  have been constructed so that (a) and (b) hold. We shall construct  $A_{n+1}$  as follows. Let  $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  be the permutation of  $(1, \dots, n)$  such that  $r_{i_1} < \dots < r_{i_n}$ . Then only one of the following conditions holds:

- (i)  $r_{n+1} < r_{i_1}$ ;
- (ii)  $r_{n+1} > r_{i_n}$ ;
- (iii) there is a unique  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$  such that  $r_{i_k} < r_{n+1} < r_{i_{k+1}}$ ,

and by the above observation we can select  $A_{n+1}$  such that  $h(A_{n+1}) = B(r_{n+1})$  and

- (i)  $A_{n+1} \subseteq A_i$ ;
- (ii)  $A_{n+1} \supseteq A_i$ ;
- (iii)  $A_{i_k} \subseteq A_{n+1} \subseteq A_{i_{k+1}}$ ,

according to (2.3). Then the system  $\{A_1, \dots, A_{n+1}\}$  fulfils (a) and (b). Thus, by induction, it follows that there is a sequence  $\{A_j\}$  of sets in  $\mathscr{S}$  with the properties (a) and (b). As

$$h\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} h(A_j) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B(r_j) = 0_{\mathscr{A}},$$

we may replacing  $A_j$  by  $A_j - \bigcap_i A_i$  if necessary, assume that  $\bigcap_j A_j = \emptyset$ . We define an  $\mathscr{S}$ -measurable function  $f$  as follows:

$$f(\omega) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \omega \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \\ \inf\{r_j : \omega \in A_j\} & \text{if } \omega \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j. \end{cases}$$

The function  $f$  is everywhere well-defined and finite. Moreover,

$$f^{-1}((-\infty, r_k)) = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{r_j < r_k} A_j & \text{if } r_k \leq 0 \\ \bigcup_{r_j < r_k} A_j \cup (\Omega - \bigcup_i A_i) & \text{if } r_k > 0, \end{cases}$$

hence  $f$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable and  $h(f^{-1}((-\infty, r_k))) = B(r_k)$ . If define an observable by  $x(E) = h(f^{-1}(E))$ ,  $E \in B(R^1)$ , then  $x((-\infty, t)) = B(t)$  for every  $t \in R^1$ . The equality  $x_1((-\infty, t)) = x_2((-\infty, t))$  for every  $t \in R^1$  implies  $x_1 = x_2$ , hence, the uniqueness of  $x$  is shown and the proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. EXISTENCE OF A SUM

In accordance with (1.1), we define the sum of two observables as follows.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two  $F$ -observables of a fuzzy quantum space  $(X, M)$ . If the system  $\{B_{x+y}(t) : t \in R^1\}$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad B_{x+y}(t) = \bigcup_{r \in Q} (B_x(r) \cap B_y(t-r)), \quad t \in R^1,$$

where  $Q$  is the set of all rationals, determines an  $F$ -observable  $z$  of  $(X, M)$ , then we call it the sum of  $x$  and  $y$ , and we write  $z = x + y$ .

It is clear that if the sum exists, then it is unique. For the proof of Theorem 3.3 the followings lemma is useful.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $S$  be a countable set in  $R^1$ . For observables  $x$  and  $y$  let us denote

$$(3.2) \quad B_{x+y}^S(t) = \bigcup_{s \in S} (B_x(s) \cap B_y(t-s)),$$

then

$$B_{x+y}^S(t) = B_{x+y}(t) \quad \text{for every } t \in R^1.$$

**Proof.** We can show that if  $t_n \uparrow t$ ,  $t_n \in S$ , then  $B_{x+y}^S(t) = \bigcup_n B_{x+y}^S(t_n)$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_n B_{x+y}^S(t_n) &= \bigcup_n \bigcup_{s \in S} (B_x(s) \cap B_y(t_n - s)) = \\ &= \bigcup_{s \in S} (B_x(s) \cap \bigcup_n B_y(t_n - s)) = \bigcup_{s \in S} (B_x(s) \cap B_y(t - s)). \end{aligned}$$

Let now  $n$  be any integer, then for each  $s \in S$  there is  $r = r(s) \in Q$  such that have  $s < r < s + \frac{1}{n}$ . Therefore,  $B_x(s) \cap B_y(t - n^{-1} - s) \leq B_x(r) \cap B_y(t - r)$  and  $B_{x+y}^S(t - n^{-1}) \leq B_{x+y}(t)$ ,  $B_{x+y}^S(t) = \bigcup_n B_{x+y}^S(t - n^{-1}) \leq B_{x+y}(t)$ . Similarly we show that  $B_{x+y}(t) \leq B_{x+y}^S(t)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** For every two  $F$ -observables  $x$  and  $y$  of a fuzzy quantum space  $(X, M)$  their sum exists.

**Proof.** We show that the system  $\{B_{x+y}(t) : t \in R^1\}$  fulfils the conditions of Theorem 2.3. The proof of (i), (ii) and (iv) is simple, due to the  $\sigma$ -continuity of  $M$ , that is, if  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \in M$ , then for any  $b \in M$ ,  $b \cap (\bigcup_i a_i) = \bigcup_i b \cap a_i$ .

Calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= B_{x+y}(t) \cup B_{x+y}^\perp(t) = \\ &= \bigcup_{r \in Q} (B_x(r) \cap B_y(t-r)) \cup \bigcap_{s \in Q} (B_x^\perp(s) \cup B_y^\perp(t-s)) = \\ &= \bigcap_s \bigcup_r (B_x(r) \cap B_y(t-r)) \cup (B_x^\perp(s) \cup B_y^\perp(t-s)) = \\ &= \bigcap_s \bigcup_r ((B_x(r) \cup B_x^\perp(s) \cup B_y^\perp(t-s)) \cap (B_y(t-r) \cup B_x^\perp(s) \cup B_y^\perp(t-s))). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $B_x(r) \cup B_x^\perp(r) = x(R^1)$  and  $B_x(r) \cup B_x^\perp(s) = x(R^1)$  for  $s \leq r$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \bigcap_s \left( \bigcup_{r \geq s} (B_x(r) \cup B_x^\perp(s) \cup B_y^\perp(t-r)) \cap (B_y(t-r) \cup B_x^\perp(s) \cup B_y^\perp(t-s)) \right) \cup \\ &\cup \bigcup_{r < s} (B_x(r) \cup B_x^\perp(s) \cup B_y^\perp(t-s)) \cap (B_y(t-r) \cup B_x^\perp(s) \cup B_y^\perp(t-s)) = \\ &= \bigcap_s \left( (x(R^1) \cup B_y^\perp(t-s)) \cap \left( \bigcup_{r \geq s} B_y(t-r) \cup \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. \cup B_x^\perp(s) \cup B_y \perp(t-s) \right) \cap \left( \bigcup_{r < s} B_x(r) \cup B_x^\perp(s) \cup B_y^\perp(t-s) \right) \cap (y(R^1) \cup B_x^\perp(s)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$r \downarrow s \text{ implies } t-r \uparrow t-s \text{ and } \bigcup_{r \geq s} B_y(t-r) = B_y(t-s).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \bigcap_s \left( (x(R^1) \cup B_y^\perp(t-s)) \cap \left( \bigcup_{r \geq s} B_y(t-r) \cup B_x^\perp(s) \cup B_y^\perp(t-s) \right) \right) \cap \\ &\cap \left( \bigcup_{r < s} (B_x(r) \cup B_x^\perp(s) \cup B_y^\perp(t-s)) \cap (y(R^1) \cup B_x^\perp(s)) \right) = \\ &= \bigcap_s \left( (x(R^1) \cup B_y^\perp(t-s)) \cap (y(R^1) \cup B_x^\perp(s)) \cap x(R^1) \cup B_y^\perp(t-s) \right) \cap \\ &\cap (y(R^1) \cup B_x^\perp(s)) = \\ &= \bigcup_s \left( (x(R^1) \cup B_y^\perp(t-s) \cap (y(R^1) \cup B_x^\perp(s))) = \right. \\ &= (x(R^1) \cup \bigcap B_y(t-s)) \cap (y(R^1) \cup \bigcap_s B_x(s)). \end{aligned}$$



In other words, we have proved

$$a = (x(R^1) \cup y(\emptyset)) \cap (y(R^1) \cup x(\emptyset)) = x(R^1) \cap y(R^1),$$

which means the strong compatibility of  $\{B_{x+y}(t) : t \in R^1\}$ , too. To prove

$$(3.3) \quad \bigcap_{r \in R^1} B_{x+y}(t) = x(\emptyset) \cup y(\emptyset) = a^\perp,$$

we take into account that, by virtue of the property (v) of Theorem 2.3,  $\{B_{x+y}(t) : t \in R^1\}$  is a system of mutually strongly compatible elements of a fuzzy quantum space  $(X, M)$ . By Lemma 3.2, it suffices to prove (3.3) for  $t \in T$ , where  $T$  is a countable dense subset of  $R^1$ . By Theorem 2.2, there exists a Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra  $A \subset M$  containing all  $B_{x+y}(t)$  for any  $t \in R^1$ . Every Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra  $A$  of  $M$  is  $\sigma$ -distributive, that is, if  $T$  and  $S$  are countable sets, then

$$\bigcup_{t \in T} \bigcap_{s \in S} a_{ts} = \bigcap_{\mathcal{C} \in S^T} \bigcup_{t \in T} a_{t\mathcal{C}(t)}$$

for any two indexed sequences  $\{a_{ts} : t \in T, s \in S\} \subset M$ .

In particular, by Sikorski [10] a Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -distributive iff for any  $a \in A$ ,  $a \neq 0_A$ , and any sequence  $\{a_n\} \subset A$  there exists  $\{e(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $a \cap \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty a_n^{e(n)} \neq 0_A$ , where  $a_n^0 = a_n^\perp$ ,  $a_n^1 = a_n$ , which is easily verifiable in our case. Then

$$(3.4) \quad \bigcap_{t \in T} \bigcup_{r \in Q} (B_x(r) \cap B_y(t-r)) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{C} \in Q^T} \bigcap_{t \in T} (B_x(\mathcal{C}(t)) \cap B_y(t - \mathcal{C}(t))).$$

it is clear that

$$(3.5) \quad \bigcap_t B_{x+y}(t) \geq x(\emptyset) \cup y(\emptyset) = x(R^1) \cap y(\emptyset) \cup x(\emptyset) \cap y(R^1).$$

Let  $\mathcal{C} \in Q^T$ , then

$$\bigcap_{t \in T} (B_x(\mathcal{C}(t)) \cap B_y(t - \mathcal{C}(t))) = \bigcap_{t \in T} (B_x(\mathcal{C}(t)) \cap \bigcap_{t \in T} B_y(t - \mathcal{C}(t))).$$

There are two possible cases:

- (a)  $\inf_{t \in T} \mathcal{C}(t) = k > -\infty$ , then  $\bigcap_{t \in T} B_x(\mathcal{C}(t)) \cap \bigcap_{t \in T} B_y(t - \mathcal{C}(t)) =$
- $$= \bigcap_{t \in T} (B_x(\mathcal{C}(t)) \cap \bigcap_{t \in T} B_y(t - \mathcal{C}(t))) =$$
- $$= B_x(k) \cap \bigcap_{t \in T} (B_y(t - \mathcal{C}(t))) =$$
- $$= B_x(k) \cap y(\emptyset) \leq x(R^1) \cap y(\emptyset) \leq x(\emptyset) \cup y(\emptyset).$$
- (b)  $\inf_{t \in T} \mathcal{C}(t) = -\infty$ , then  $\bigcap_{t \in T} B_x(\mathcal{C}(t)) \cap \bigcap_{t \in T} B_y(t - \mathcal{C}(t)) =$
- $$= x(\emptyset) \cap y(R^1) \leq x(\emptyset) \cup y(\emptyset).$$

For every  $\mathcal{C} \in Q^T$ , we have

$$\bigcap_{t \in T} (B_x(\mathcal{C}(t)) \cap B_y(t - \mathcal{C}(t))) \leq x(\emptyset) \cup y(\emptyset),$$

and taking into account (3.4) and (3.5), the following inequalities hold:

$$x(\emptyset) \cup y(\emptyset) \leq \bigcap_t B_{x+y}(t) \leq x(\emptyset) \cup y(\emptyset).$$

□

#### 4. PROPERTIES OF THE SUM

In the present part, we establish some of the basic properties of the sum. We recall that if  $x \leftrightarrow y$ , then, according to Dvurečenskij and Riečan [3], there exists an  $F$ -observable  $z$  and two Borel measurable functions  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $x = f \circ z$ ,  $y = g \circ z$ .

##### Theorem 4.1.

- (i)  $x + y = y + x$  for any two  $F$ -observables  $x$  and  $y$ ;
- (ii)  $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$  for any three  $F$ -observables  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$ ;
- (iii) if  $x \leftrightarrow y$ , then  $x + y = (f + g) \circ z$  provided  $x = f \circ z$ ,  $y = g \circ z$ ;
- (iv) Let  $u \in R^1$  and put

$$I_u(E) = \begin{cases} 1 & u \in E \\ 0 & u \notin E, \end{cases} \quad (E \in B(R^1))$$

then  $x + I_u = f_u \circ x$ , where  $f_u(t) = t + u$ ;

- (v)  $\alpha(x + y) = \alpha x + \alpha y$  for any  $\alpha \in R^1$  and all  $F$ -observables  $x$  and  $y$ .

Proof. (i) Let  $t \in R^1$  and denote  $S_t = \{t - r : r \in Q\}$ . Then  $S_t$  is dense in  $R^1$  and using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$B_{x+y}(t) = \bigcup_{r \in Q} (B_x(r) \cap B_y(t - r)) = \bigcup_{s \in S_t} (B_y(s) \cap B_x(t - s)) = B_{y+x}^S(t) = B_{y+x}(t).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii) } B_{(x+y)+z}(t) &= \bigcup_{r \in Q} (B_{x+y}(r) \cap B_z(t - r)) = \\ &= \bigcup_{r \in Q} \left( \bigcup_{s \in Q} (B_x(s) \cap B_y(r - s)) \cap B_z(t - r) \right) = \\ &= \bigcup_{s \in Q} B_x(s) \cap \left( \bigcup_{r \in Q} B_y(r - s) \cap B_z(t - r) \right) = \\ &= \bigcup_{s \in Q} B_x(s) \cap \left( \bigcup_{r \in Q} B_y(r - s) \cap B_z(t - s - (r - s)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We denote  $C_r = \{r - s : s \in Q\}$ , then  $C_r$  is a countable dense set in  $R^1$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{s \in Q} B_x(s) \cap \left( \bigcup_{r \in Q} B_y(r - s) \cap B_z((t - s) - (r - s)) \right) &= \\ &= \bigcup_{s \in Q} (B_x(s) \cap B_{y+z}(r - s)) = B_{x+(y+z)}(t). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{x+y}(t) &= \bigcup_{r \in Q} (x((-\infty, r)) \cap y((-\infty, t - r))) = \\ &= \bigcup_{r \in Q} (z(f^{-1}((-\infty, r))) \cap z(g^{-1}((-\infty, t - r)))) = \\ &= \bigcup_{r \in Q} (z(E) \cap z(F)) = \bigcup_{r \in Q} z(E \cap F) = \\ &= z\left(\bigcup_{r \in Q} (f^{-1}((-\infty, r)) \cap g^{-1}((-\infty, t - r)))\right) = \\ &= z((f + g)^{-1}((-\infty, t))) = (f + g) \circ z((-\infty, t)) = \\ &= B_{(f+g) \circ z}(t). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Since  $B_{I_u}(t - r) = 0$  if  $t - u < r$  and  $B_{I_u}(t - r) = 1$  otherwise, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{x+I_u}(t) &= \bigcup_{r \geq t-u} (0 \cap B_x(r)) \cup \bigcup_{r \leq t-u} (1 \cap B_x(r)) = \\ &= \bigcup_{r \leq t-u} B_x(r) = B_x(t - u) = f_u \circ z((-\infty, t)). \end{aligned}$$

(v) is evident. □

**Remark 4.2.** If  $M$  consist of crisp subsets, that is,  $M$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $M$  (more precisely,  $M$  is a set of all characteristic functions of sets from the given  $\sigma$ -algebra), then the sum of  $F$ -observables coincides with the pointwise defined sum. Indeed, in this case for  $x$  and  $y$  there are unique mappings  $u, v: X \rightarrow R^1$  such that  $x(E) = u^{-1}(E)$  and  $y(F) = v^{-1}(F)$ ,  $E, F \in B(R^1)$ , and  $(x + y)(E) = (u + v)^{-1}(E)$  for any  $E \in B(R^1)$  (see the proof of Theorem 3.3).

**Remark 4.3.** If  $\mathcal{O}(M)$  is the set of all  $F$ -observables of a fuzzy quantum space  $(X, M)$ , then  $\mathcal{O}(M)$  is a real vector space with respect to the sum.

**Remark 4.4.** We define the subtraction of  $F$ -observables  $x$  and  $y$  as  $x - y = x + (-y)$ , where  $(-y)(E) = y(\{t: -t \in E\})$ ,  $E \in B(R^1)$ .

### References

- [1] *A. Dvurečenskij and F. Chovanec*: Fuzzy quantum spaces and compatibility, *Inter. J. Theor. Phys.* **27** (1988), 1069–1082.
- [2] *A. Dvurečenskij and B. Riečan*: On joint observables for  $F$ -quantum spaces, *Busefal* **35** (1988), 10–14.
- [3] *A. Dvurečenskij and B. Riečan*: On joint distribution of observables for  $F$ -quantum spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **39** (1991), 67–73.
- [4] *W. Guz*: Fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebras of physics, *Inter. J. Theor. Phys.* **24** (1985), 481–493.
- [5] *A. N. Kolmogorov*: *Grundebegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung*, Berlin, 1933.
- [6] *J. von Neumann*: *Mathematische Grundlagen der Quantenmechanik*, Springer, Berlin, 1932.
- [7] *K. Piasecki*: Probability of fuzzy events defined as denumerable additivity measure, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **17** (1985), 271–284.
- [8] *J. Pykacz*: Quantum logics and soft fuzzy probability spaces, *Busefal* **32** (1987), 150–157.
- [9] *B. Riečan*: A new approach to some basic notions of statistical quantum theory, *Busefal* **35** (1987), 4–6.
- [10] *R. Sikorski*: *Boolean algebras*, Springer-Verlag, 1964.
- [11] *P. Suppes*: The probability argument for a nonclassical logic of quantum mechanics, *Phil. Sc.* **33** (1966), 14–21.
- [12] *V. S. Varadarjan*: *Geometry of quantum theory*, Van Nostrand, New Jersey, 1968.
- [13] *L. A. Zadeh*: Probability measures on fuzzy events, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **23** (1968), 421–427.

### S ú h r n

## SÚČET POZOROVATEĽNÝCH VO FUZZY KVANTOVÝCH PRIESTOROCH

ANATOLIJ DVUREČENSKIJ, ANNA TIRPÁKOVÁ

V práci je zavedený súčet pozorovateľných vo fuzzy kvantových priestoroch, ktoré zovšeobecňujú Kolmogorov pravdepodobnostný priestor, používajúc idey teórie fuzzy množín.

*Author's address*: RNDr. *Anatolij Dvurečenskij*, DrSc., Matematický Ústav SAV, Štefánikova 49, CS-814 73 Bratislava, RNDr. *Anna Tirpáková*, CSc., Archeologický Ústav SAV, CS-940 01 Nitra – hrad.