

Jozef Kačur

On the solution of a generalized system of von Kármán equations

*Aplikace matematiky*, Vol. 26 (1981), No. 6, 437–448

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/103934>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON THE SOLUTION OF A GENERALIZED SYSTEM  
OF VON KÁRMÁN EQUATIONS

JOZEF KAČUR

(Received January 21, 1980)

INTRODUCTION

A nonlinear system of equations generalizing von Kármán equations is studied. The system considered is derived in [1] under the assumption of a nonlinear relation between the intensity of stresses and deformations in the constitutive law  $\sigma_i/e_i = E(1 - \omega)$  and stands as a model for large deformations of thin plates or shells. In the case  $\omega \equiv 0$  this system reduces to the system von of Kármán equations. The function  $\omega \equiv \omega(e)$  can also characterize the plasticity properties of the given material but the derived system is a model for large deformations of elastic-plastic plates for simple exterior stresses only (i.e. all exterior stresses arise from zero stresses in a monotonic way). From the numerical point of view the generalized system has been analysed also in [2]. The case  $\omega \equiv \omega(x, y)$  has been considered in [8]. Our goal is to prove the existence of a solution and its properties for  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ . We use the technique developed in [3–6] and some results from [7].

1. NOTATION AND FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Let  $\Omega \subset R^2$  be a simply connected bounded domain describing the shape of a plate. We assume that the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is piecewise three times continuously differentiable (see [5]). Denote  $w_x = \partial w/\partial x$ ,  $w_y = \partial w/\partial y$ ,  $w_{xy} = (w_x)_y$ , etc.;  $\Delta^2 w = w_{xxxx} + 2w_{xxyy} + w_{yyyy}$ ;  $[w, f] = w_{xx}f_{yy} + w_{yy}f_{xx} - 2w_{xy}f_{xy}$ ;  $w_n$  stands for the outward normal derivative with respect to  $\partial\Omega$ . By means of (from the constitutive law) we define the functions  $a_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) in the following way:

$$Q_1 = \frac{2}{h} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \omega \, dz, \quad Q_2 = \frac{4}{h^2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} z\omega \, dz, \quad Q_3 = \frac{8}{h^3} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} z^2\omega \, dz$$

$$a_1 = (1 - \frac{1}{2}Q_1)^{-1}, \quad a_2 = a_1Q_2, \quad a_3 = \frac{3}{4}(2Q_3 + a_1Q_2^2),$$

where  $h$  is the thickness of the plate. Let  $w$  be the deflection and  $F$  Airy's stress function of the plate. Then  $a_i$  are the functions of  $w_{xx}$ ,  $w_{xy}$ ,  $w_{yy}$ ,  $F_{xx}$ ,  $F_{xy}$  and  $F_{yy}$ .

We assume  $a_i$  to be in the form  $a_i \equiv a_i(x, y, w, w_x, w_y, w_{xx}, w_{xy}, w_{yy}; F, F_x, F_y, F_{xx}, F_{xy}, F_{yy}) \equiv a_i(Dw; DF)$ . A corresponding system for unknown functions  $F, w$ , derived in [1] under the nonlinear constitutive law, is of the form

$$(E_1) \quad \Delta^2 w - ((F_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}F_{yy}) a_3(Dw; DF))_{xx} - ((F_{yy} + \frac{1}{2}F_{xx}) a_3(Dw; DF))_{yy} - \\ - (w_{xy} a_3(Dw; DF))_{xy} + \frac{9}{4Eh} \left\{ ((F_{yy} a_2(Dw; DF))_{xx} + (F_{xx} a_2(Dw; DF))_{yy} - \right. \\ \left. - 2(F_{xy} a_2(Dw; DF))_{xy} \right\} = \frac{9}{Eh^2} [F, w] + \frac{q}{P},$$

$$(E_2) \quad ((F_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}F_{yy}) a_1(Dw; DF))_{xx} + ((F_{yy} - \frac{1}{2}F_{xx}) a_1(Dw; DF))_{yy} + \\ + 3(F_{xy} a_1(Dw; DF))_{xy} - \frac{Eh}{4} \left\{ (w_{xx} a_2(Dw; DF))_{yy} + (w_{yy} a_2(Dw; DF))_{xx} - \right. \\ \left. - 2(w_{xy} a_2(Dw; DF))_{xy} \right\} = -\frac{E}{2} [w, w]$$

for  $(x, y) \in \Omega$ , where  $E$  is the modulus of elasticity,  $P = \frac{1}{9}Eh^3$  and  $q$  is the density of the perpendicular load.

Together with  $(E_1)$ ,  $(E_2)$  we consider the following boundary conditions

$$(B) \quad w = w_v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad \text{and} \quad F = F_0, \quad F_v = F_{0,v} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where  $F_0 \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$  is a given function.

Let  $\zeta : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  be an arbitrary function with the property

$$(P) \quad \zeta \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta = 1, \quad \zeta_v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

We denote  $f_0 = \zeta F_0$  and we consider  $F$  in the form  $F = f + f_0$ , where  $f = f_v = 0$  on  $\partial\bar{\Omega}$ .

For the sake of simplicity we denote  $(u, v)_W = \int_{\Omega} (u_{xx}v_{xx} + 2u_{xy}v_{xy} + u_{yy}v_{yy}) \cdot dx dy$ ,  $(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} uv dx dy$  and  $B(u; v, w) = \int_{\Omega} (u_{xy}v_x w_y + u_{xy}v_y w_x - u_{yy}v_x w_x - u_{xx}v_y w_y) dx dy$  for  $u, v, w \in W_2^2(\Omega)$  (Sobolev space).

**Definition.** A couple  $\{w, F\}$  is said to be a variational solution of  $(E_1)$ ,  $(E_2)$ ,  $(B)$ , iff  $w, F - f_0 \in \dot{W}_2^2(\Omega)$  and the identities

$$(1) \quad ((L_1(w, F), \varphi)) \equiv (w, \varphi)_W - ((w_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}w_{yy}) a_3(Dw; DF), \varphi_{xx}) - \\ - ((w_{yy} + \frac{1}{2}w_{xx}) a_3(Dw; DF), \varphi_{yy}) - (w_{xy} a_3(Dw; DF), \varphi_{xy}) + \\ + \frac{9}{4Eh} \left\{ (F_{yy} a_2(Dw; DF), \varphi_{xx}) + (F_{xx} a_2(Dw; DF), \varphi_{yy}) - \right. \\ \left. - 2(F_{xy} a_2(Dw; DF), \varphi_{xy}) \right\} - \frac{9}{Eh^2} B(w; F, \varphi) = \left( \frac{q}{P}, \varphi \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \quad & ((L_2(w, F), \psi)) \equiv ((F_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}F_{yy}) a_1(Dw; DF), \psi_{xx}) + \\
& ((F_{yy} - \frac{1}{2}F_{xx}) a_1(Dw; DF), \psi_{yy}) + 3(F_{xy} a_1(Dw; DF), \psi_{xy}) - \\
& - \frac{1}{4}Eh_1^4(w_{xx} a_2(Dw; DF), \psi_{yy}) + (w_{yy} a_2(Dw; DF), \psi_{xx}) - \\
& - 2(w_{xy} a_2(Dw; DF), \psi_{xy}) + \frac{1}{2}E B(w; w, \psi) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

hold for all  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathring{W}_2^2(\Omega)$ .

Using Green's theorem in (1) and (2) we can easily find that a variational solution of  $(E_1), (E_2), (B)$  is also a classical solution under the regularity assumptions on  $w, F$  and  $a_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ).

The expression  $B(u; v, w)$  in (1) and (2) is well defined for  $u, v, w \in W_2^2(\Omega)$  since the inequality

$$(3) \quad |B(u; v, w)| \leq \|u\|_{W_2^2} \|v\|_{W_4^1} \|w\|_{W_4^1}$$

holds. Moreover, for  $u, v \in W_2^2(\Omega)$  and  $w \in \mathring{W}_2^2(\Omega)$  we have

$$(4) \quad B(w; u, v) = B(v; u, w) = B(v; w, u)$$

(see, e.g., [3]).

## 2. EXISTENCE OF A SOLUTION

We prove the existence of a variational solution of the problem  $(E_1), (E_2), (B)$  using the abstract existence results for the corresponding operator equation  $Au = G$ . We deduce this equation in the following way: Let us denote  $H = \mathring{W}_2^2(\Omega) \times \mathring{W}_2^2(\Omega)$  with the usual norm  $\|\cdot\|_H$ . Let  $u \equiv \{w, f\}, v \equiv \{\varphi, \psi\} \in H$ . We define the operator  $A_\zeta : H \rightarrow H^*(H^* \equiv W_2^{-2} \times W_2^{-2})$  by means of the form  $\langle A_\zeta u, v \rangle = ((L_1(w, f + f_0), \varphi)) + ((L_2(w, f + f_0), \psi))$  since  $f_0 = \zeta F_0$  and  $\zeta$  is a function with the property (P). In what follows we omit the index  $\zeta$  in  $A_\zeta$ .  $G \in H^*$  is of the form  $\{q/P, 0\}$ . Clearly, the solvability of  $Au = G$  in  $H$  is equivalent to the existence of a variational solution of  $(E_1), (E_2), (B)$ .

Under certain assumptions on  $a_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) we prove that  $A : H \rightarrow H^*$  is a continuous, bounded operator with the property  $S$  (i.e.,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  (weak convergence) and  $\langle Au_n - Au, u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0$  implies  $\|u_n - u\|_H \rightarrow 0$ ). Using the result from [5] (see [3], [6]), under a suitable choice of the function  $\zeta$  we prove coercivity of the operator  $A$  ( $A \equiv A_\zeta$ ). Then from well known results (see, e.g., [7]) we obtain  $A(H) = H^*$ , which implies the existence of a variational solution of  $(E_1), (E_2), (B)$ .

We assume that  $a_i(x, y, \zeta, \tau)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are continuous functions in all variables defined on  $\Omega \times R^6 \times R^6$ , where the real vectors  $\zeta, \tau \in R^6$  stand instead of  $w, f$  and their derivatives up to the second order. We shall assume that there exist positive

constants  $M_0$  and  $M_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) such that

$$(5) \quad a_1(x, y, \xi, \tau) \geq M_0,$$

$$(6) \quad |a_i(x, y, \xi, \tau)| \leq M_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

for all  $(x, y) \in \Omega$  and  $\xi, \tau \in R^6$ .

Moreover, we shall assume that the partial derivatives  $\partial a_i / \partial \xi_j$  and  $\partial a_i / \partial \tau_j$  are continuous on  $\Omega \times R^6 \times R^6$  for all  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $|j| \leq 2$  where  $j$  is the multiindex ( $j = (j_1, j_2)$ ,  $j_1, j_2 \geq 0$  and  $|j| = j_1 + j_2$ ). To prove the property  $S$  of the operator  $A$  we shall assume that there exist  $C_j \geq 0$  ( $|j| \leq 2$ ) and  $s > 1$  such that the estimates

$$(7) \quad \left| \frac{\partial a_i(x, y, \xi, \tau)}{\partial \xi_j} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial a_i(x, y, \xi, \tau)}{\partial \tau_j} \right| \leq \frac{C_j}{1 + \sum_{|\alpha|=2} (|\xi_\alpha|^s + |\tau_\alpha|^s)}$$

hold for all  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $|j| \leq 2$ ,  $(x, y) \in \Omega$  and  $\xi, \tau \in R^6$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let (6) be satisfied. Then the operator  $A$  is continuous and bounded from  $H$  into  $H^*$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H$ . It suffices to prove

$$(8) \quad \sup_{\|v\|_H \leq 1} |\langle Au_n - Au, v \rangle| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and  $\sup_{\|v\|_H \leq 1} |\langle Au, v \rangle| \leq C_D < \infty$  for  $u$  from a bounded set  $D$  in  $H$ . Denote  $u_n = \{w_n, f_n\}$ ,  $u = \{w, f\}$  and  $v = \{\varphi, \psi\}$ . We have  $w_n \rightarrow w$ ,  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $W \equiv W_2^2(\Omega)$ . Let us estimate the members of the type

$$I_n^{(1)} = \sup_{\|\varphi\|_W \leq 1} |B(w_n; f_n + f_0, \varphi) - B(w; f + f_0, \varphi)| \leq \\ \sup_{\|\varphi\|_W \leq 1} |B(w_n - w; f_n + f_0, \varphi)| + \sup_{\|\varphi\|_W \leq 1} |B(w; f_n - f, \varphi)|.$$

Owing to (3) we obtain  $I_n^{(1)} \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now we estimate the members of the type

$$I_n^{(2)} = \sup_{\|\varphi\|_W \leq 1} |((w_n)_{xx} a_3(Dw_n; D(f_n + f_0)) - w_{xx} a_3(Dw; D(f + f_0)), \varphi_{xx})|.$$

From the relations

$$(w_n)_{xx} a_3(Dw_n; D(f_n + f_0)) - w_{xx} a_3(Dw; D(f + f_0)) = \\ (w_n - w)_{xx} a_3(Dw_n; D(f_n + f_0)) + w_{xx} (a_3(Dw_n; D(f_n + f_0)) - \\ a_3(Dw; D(f + f_0))),$$

$u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H$  and (6) we easily deduce that  $I_n^{(2)} \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From these facts we easily conclude (8). Boundedness of the operator can be proved analogously.

The coercivity of the operator  $A$  ( $A \equiv A_\zeta$ ) is proved by means of the result in [5] (see [3], [6]), which is based on the idea of Knightly [6], for a special choice of the function  $\zeta$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose (5), (6). If the inequality*

$$(9) \quad \frac{3}{2}M_3 + 81M_0^{-1}M_2^2 < 1$$

*is satisfied then there exists a  $\zeta \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$  with the property (P) and constants  $C_1, C_2$  ( $C_1 \equiv C_1(\zeta) > 0, C_2 \equiv C_2(\zeta) > 0$ ) such that the estimate*

$$(10) \quad \langle Au, u \rangle \geq C_1 \|u\|_H^2 - C_2$$

*holds for all  $u \in H$ .*

*Proof.* Let us put  $u = \{w, f\}$  into (1), (2). Using (4) and eliminating  $B(w; w, f)$  from (1), (2) we successively obtain the estimate

$$(11) \quad \langle Au, u \rangle \geq \|w\|_W^2 \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2}M_3 - \frac{9M_2L^2}{2Eh} - \frac{9M_2\varepsilon^2}{4Eh} \right) +$$

$$\frac{17}{E^2h^2} \|f + f_0\|_W^2 \left( \frac{M_0}{2} - \frac{9EhM_2}{2L^2} - \frac{E^2h^2M_1\varepsilon^2}{12} \right) - \frac{9}{Eh^2} B(w; f_0, w) - C(\varepsilon) \cdot \|f_0\|_{W_2^2},$$

where  $L > 0$  is an arbitrary number  $C(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \infty$  for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $f_0 = \zeta F_0$  (see (B)) and  $\|v\|_W^2 = \|v_{xx}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|v_{yy}\|_{L_2}^2 + 2\|v_{xy}\|_{L_2}^2$ . In (11) Young's inequality ( $ab \leq 2^{-1}\varepsilon^2a^2 + 2^{-1}\varepsilon^{-2}b^2$ ) has been used. Let us take  $L^2 = (M_0 - \gamma)^{-1}9EhM_2$  where ( $0 < \gamma < M_0/2$ ) is sufficiently small. Then owing to (9) we have

$$C_0 = 1 - \frac{3}{2}M_3 - \frac{9M_3L^2}{2Eh} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{M_0}{2} - \frac{9EhM_2}{2L^2} > 0.$$

Using the result from [5] (see also [3], [6]) we can choose such a  $\zeta$  with the property (P) that the estimate

$$(12) \quad |B(w; \zeta F_0, w)| < \frac{C_0}{4} \|w\|_W^2$$

holds. From (11), (12) and for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  we obtain the estimate (10) and Lemma 2 is proved.

Henceforth let  $\zeta \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$  be a fixed function for which Lemma 2 holds true. In order to prove the property S for  $A$  we use the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $a = (a_i), b = (b_i), A = (A_i), B = (B_i)$  be real vectors in  $E^n$ . If  $s > 1$  then there exists a constant  $K > 0$  (independent of  $a, b, A, B$ ) such that the*

estimates

$$I_i = \int_0^1 \frac{|a_i| + |b_i|}{1 + |a + t(A - a)|^s + |b + t(B - b)|^s} dt \leq K$$

hold for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Proof. Denote  $x = a_i$ ,  $y = A_i$ . We assume  $x, y \geq 0$ .

For  $0 \leq x \leq y$  we have

$$I_i \leq I \equiv \int_0^1 \frac{x}{1 + |x + t(y - x)|^s} dt = \frac{x}{y - x} \int_x^y \frac{dz}{1 + z^s} \leq \frac{x}{1 + x^s} \leq 1.$$

If  $x \leq 1$  then  $I \leq 1$ . Thus we assume  $x \geq 1$ . For  $0 \leq y \leq x$  we consider the cases 1)  $0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{2}x$  and 2)  $x \geq y \geq \frac{1}{2}x$ . In the case 1) we have

$$I \leq 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dz}{1 + z^s} = 2K_s = \frac{2\pi}{s} \left( \sin \frac{\pi}{s} \right)^{-1}.$$

In the case 2) we have

$$I \leq \frac{x}{1 + y^s} \leq \frac{x}{1 + 2^{-s}x^s} \leq 2.$$

Analogously, for  $y < 0$ ,  $x \geq 0$  we obtain  $I \leq 2K_s$ . Hence Lemma 3 is proved with  $K = 4 \max(K_s, 1)$ .

Denote

$$(13) \quad C = K \left( 14 + \frac{21}{Eh} + 3Eh \right), \quad \delta = \max_{|i|=2} \{C_i\},$$

where  $K$  is from Lemma 3 and  $C_j$  are from (7). Our main lemma is

**Lemma 4.** *Let (5)–(7) be satisfied. If the inequalities*

$$(14) \quad M_3 < \frac{2}{3}, \quad 1 - \frac{3}{2}M_3 + \frac{M_0}{2} - \left( \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2}M_3 - \frac{M_0}{2} \right)^2 + 4M_2^2 \left( \frac{9}{8Eh} + \frac{Eh}{8} \right)^{1/2} \right) > 2C\delta$$

hold then the operator  $A$  possesses the property  $S$ .

Proof. Let  $u_n = \{w_n, f_n\}$ ,  $u = \{w, f\} \in H$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$ ,  $P_n \equiv \langle Au_n - Au, u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For simplicity we denote  $F_n = f_n + f_0$ ,  $F = f + f_0$ .  $a_i(n) \equiv a_i(Dw_n; DF_n)$  and  $a_i(0) \equiv a_i(Dw; DF)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ). Using Young's inequality we

successively estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 (15) \quad P_n \geq & \|w_n - w\|_W^2 - \frac{3}{2}M_3 \|w_n - w\|_W^2 - ((a_3(n) - a_3(0)) (w_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}w_{yy}), \\
 & (w_n - w)_{xx}) - ((a_3(n) - a_3(0)) (w_{yy} + \frac{1}{2}w_{xx}), (w_n - w)_{yy}) - \\
 & - ((a_3(n) - a_3(0)) w_{xy}, (w_n - w)_{xy}) - \frac{L_1^2}{8Eh} 9M_2 \|w_n - w\|_W^2 - \frac{9M_2}{8EhL_1^2} \|f_n - f\|_W^2 + \\
 & + \frac{9}{4Eh} \{(F_{yy}(a_2(n) - a_2(0)), (w_n - w)_{xx}) + (F_{xx}(a_2(n) - a_2(0)), (w_n - w)_{yy}) - \\
 & - 2(F_{xy}(a_2(n) - a_2(0)), (w_n - w)_{xy})\} + \frac{M_0}{2} \|f_n - f\|_W^2 - \\
 & - ((a_1(n) - a_1(0)) (F_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}F_{yy}), (f_n - f)_{xx}) - ((a_1(n) - a_1(0)) (F_{yy} - \frac{1}{2}F_{xx}), (f_n - f)_{yy}) - \\
 & - 3(F_{xy}(a_1(n) - a_1(0)), (f_n - f)_{xy}) - \frac{EhM_2}{8} L_2^2 \|w_n - w\|_W^2 - \\
 & - \frac{EhM_2}{8L_2^2} \|f_n - f\|_W^2 - \frac{Eh}{4} \{(w_{xx}(a_2(n) - a_2(0)), (f_n - f)_{yy}) - (w_{yy}(a_2(n) - \\
 & - a_2(0)), (f_n - f)_{xx}) + 2(w_{xy}(a_2(n) - a_2(0)), (f_n - f)_{xy})\} - Z_n,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $L_1, L_2 > 0$  are arbitrary numbers and

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_n = & \frac{9}{Eh^2} \{B(w_n; f_n, w_n - w) - B(w; f, w_n - w) + B(w_n; f_0, w_n - w) - \\
 & - B(w; f_0, w_n - w)\} + \frac{E}{2} \{B(w_n; w_n, f_n - f) - B(w; w, f_n - f)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the compactness of the imbedding  $W_2^2(\Omega) \rightarrow W_4^1(\Omega)$  ( $n = 2$ ) and from (3) we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n = 0$ . All the members containing the expression  $a_i(n) - a_i(0)$  are estimated in the same way. Let us consider, e.g., the integral

$$J = (w_{xx}(a_3(n) - a_3(0)), (w_n - w)_{xx}).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (16) \quad J = & \left( w_{xx} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} a_3(D(w + t(w_n - w))); D(F + t(F_n - F))) dt, (w_n - w)_{xx} \right) = \\
 & = \left( \sum_{|i| \leq 2} D^i(w_n - w) \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_3}{\partial \xi_i} w_{xx} dt, (w_n - w)_{xx} \right) + \\
 & + \left( \sum_{|i| \leq 2} D^i(f_n - f) \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_3}{\partial \tau_i} w_{xx} dt, (w_n - w)_{xx} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$



where  $i = (i_1, i_2)$  is a multiindex and  $D^i v = \partial^{i_1} v / (\partial x^{i_1} \partial y^{i_2})$ . Owing to Lemma 3 we conclude from (7) that

$$\left| \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_3}{\partial \xi_i} w_{xx} dt \right| + \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_3}{\partial \tau_i} w_{xx} dt \right| \leq K C_i \quad \text{for a.e. } (x, y) \in \Omega$$

and  $|i| \leq 2$ . For  $|i| = 2$  we estimate

$$\left| (D^i(w_n - w) \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_3}{\partial \xi_i} w_{xx} dt, (w_n - w)_{xx}) \right| \leq \delta K \left( \frac{1}{2} \|D^i(w_n - w)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|(w_n - w)_{xx}\|^2 \right)$$

and

$$\left| (D^i f_n - f) \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_3}{\partial \xi_i} w_{xx} dt, (w_n - w)_{xx}) \right| \leq \delta K \left( \frac{1}{2} \|D^i(f_n - f)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|(w_n - w)_{xx}\|^2 \right).$$

For  $|i| < 2$  we estimate

$$J_n(1, i) = \left| (D^i(w_n - w) \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_3}{\partial \xi_i} w_{xx} dt, (w_n - w)_{xx}) \right| \leq C_i K \|D^i(w_n - w)\| \|(w_n - w)_{xx}\|$$

and

$$J_n(2, i) = \left| (D^i(f_n - f) \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_3}{\partial \xi_i} w_{xx} dt, (w_n - w)_{xx}) \right| \leq C_i K \|D^i(f_n - f)\| \|(w_n - w)_{xx}\|.$$

Hence and from (16) we obtain

$$|J| \leq \delta K (\|w_n - w\|_W^2 + \|f_n - f\|_W^2 + 3\|(w_n - w)_{xx}\|^2) + G_n(J),$$

where  $G_n(J) = \sum_{|i| < 2} (J_n(1, i) + J_n(2, i))$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(J) = 0$ .

Analogously we estimate, e.g., the integral

$$I = |(F_{yy}(a_1(n) - a_1(0)), (f_n - f)_{yy})| \leq \delta K (\|w_n - w\|_W^2 + \|f_n - f\|_W^2 + 3\|(f_n - f)_{yy}\|^2) + G_n(I),$$

where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(I) = 0$ . Let  $G_n = \sum_J G_n(J)$ , where the sum is taken over all integrals  $J$  corresponding to (15). Summarizing the previous estimates from (14) we conclude that

$$(17) \quad P_n + Z_n + G_n \geq \|w_n - w\|_W^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} M_3 - \frac{9L_1^2 M_2}{8Eh} - \frac{EhM_2 L_2^2}{8} - C\delta \right) + \|f_n - f\|_W^2 \left( \frac{M_0}{2} - \frac{9M_2}{8EhL_1^2} - \frac{EhM_2}{8L_2^2} - C\delta \right),$$

where  $C$  and  $\delta$  are from (13) and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n = 0$ . Let us choose

$$L_1^2 = L_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}(a + (a^2 + 4M_2^2b^2)^{1/2})/b \quad \text{where} \quad a = 1 - \frac{3}{2}M_3 - \frac{1}{2}M_0, \\ b = 9/(8Eh) + \frac{1}{8}Eh.$$

If (14) is satisfied then

$$1 - \frac{3}{2}M_3 - \frac{9L_1^2M_2}{8Eh} - \frac{EhM_2L_2^2}{8} - C\delta > 0$$

and

$$\frac{M_0}{2} - \frac{9M_2}{8EhL_1^2} - \frac{EhM_2}{8L_2^2} - C\delta > 0.$$

Hence and from (17) we conclude that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H$  because  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n = 0$ . Thus, Lemma 3 is proved.

Applying known results (see, e.g., [7]) as a consequence of Lemmas 1–4 we have  $A(H) = H^*$ , i.e., we can formulate the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose (5)–(7). If (9) and (14) are fulfilled then there exists a variational solution of  $(E_1), (E_2), (B)$  for all  $g \in W_2^{-2}$  and  $F_0 \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ .*

### 3. ASYMPTOTICAL BEHAVIOUR OF THE SOLUTION FOR $\omega \rightarrow 0$

The system  $(E_1), (E_2)$  for  $a_1 \equiv 1, a_i \equiv 0, i = 1, 2$  (this is the case we obtain for  $\omega \equiv 0$  in the constitutive law) can be identified with the system of von Kármán equations. In this section we shall be concerned with the behaviour of the solutions  $u_\omega$  of the operator equations  $A_\omega u = G$  for  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ , where  $A_\omega \equiv A$  is the operator corresponding to the system  $(E_1), (E_2)$ . Denote by  $A_0 \equiv A_\omega|_{\omega=0}$  the operator corresponding to the system of von Kármán (i.e.  $a_1 = 1, a_2 = a_3 = 0$ ). Evidently, the operator  $A_0 : H \rightarrow H^*$  is a bounded, continuous and coercive operator with the property  $S$ . The functions  $a_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$  in  $(E_1), (E_2)$  need not necessarily be derived from a function  $\omega$ . Convergence  $\omega_n \rightarrow 0$  is to be understood in the following sense:  $a_{1,n} \rightrightarrows 1, a_{i,n} \rightrightarrows 0 (i = 1, 2)$  on  $\bar{\Omega} \times R^6 \times R^6$ .

**Theorem 2.** *We assume that the sequences of the functions  $\{a_{i,n}(x, y, \xi, \tau)\}_{n=1}^\infty (i = 1, 2, 3)$  satisfy (5)–(7) uniformly with respect to  $n$  (i.e., the constants  $M_i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3)$  and  $C_j (|j| \leq 2)$  are independent of  $n$ ). Suppose (9), (14) and*

$$(18) \quad a_{1,n} \rightrightarrows 1, \quad a_{2,n} \rightrightarrows 0, \quad a_{3,n} \rightrightarrows 0 \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty$$

*uniformly on the set  $\bar{\Omega} \times R^6 \times R^6$ . Then from each sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty (u_n \equiv u_{\omega_n}$  is a solution of  $A_{\omega_n}u = G)$  it is possible to choose a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $u_{n_k} \rightarrow u$  in  $H$ , where  $u$  is a solution of  $A_0u = G$ .*

Proof. Existence of the solutions  $u_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  is guaranteed by Theorem 1. Owing to the assumptions for  $\{a_{i,n}\}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) we easily find out that there exists a  $\zeta \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$  with the property (P) and  $C_1, C_2$  (all independent of  $n$ ) such that the estimate

$$\langle A_{\omega_n} u, u \rangle \geq C_1 \|u\|_H^2 - C_2 \quad (C_1 > 0)$$

holds for all  $u \in H$  (see the proof of Lemma 2). Hence and from  $A_{\omega_n} u_n = G$  we obtain  $\|u_n\|_H \leq C$ . Thus there exists a subsequence  $v_k = u_{n_k}$  and  $u \in H$  such that  $v_k \rightarrow u$  in  $H$ . First we prove  $v_k \rightarrow u$  in  $H$  and then  $A_0 u = G$ .

For  $D_k = \langle A_k v_k, v_k - u \rangle$  we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} D_k = 0$  since  $A_k v_k = G$  ( $A_k \equiv A_{\omega_{n_k}}$ ). By the same method as in Lemma 4 we obtain

$$(19) \quad D_k = \langle A_k v_k - A_k u, v_k - u \rangle + \langle A_k u, v_k - u \rangle \geq C \|v_k - u\|_H^2 - \\ - |\langle A_k u - A_0 u, v_k - u \rangle| - |\langle A_0 u, v_k - u \rangle|,$$

where  $C > 0$  is independent of  $k$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\langle A_0 u, v_k - u \rangle| = 0$ . Now we estimate

$$(20) \quad |\langle A_k u - A_0 u, v_k - u \rangle| \leq C_1 \|A_k u - A_0 u\|_{H^*} \leq C_1 (\|u\|_H + \\ + \|F_0\|_W) \cdot \frac{3}{2} \sup |a_{1,k}(x, y, \zeta, \tau) - 1| + \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3Eh}{4} + \frac{9}{4Eh} \right) \sup |a_{2,k}(x, y, \zeta, \tau)| + \\ + \frac{3}{2} \sup |a_{3,k}(x, y, \zeta, \tau)| \equiv C_1 T_k(\|u\|),$$

where the supremum is taken over the set  $\Omega \times R^6 \times R^6$  and  $T_k(\|u\|) \rightarrow 0$  for  $k \rightarrow \infty$  because of (18). The last inequality follows easily from (1), (2) and from the definition

$$\|A_k u - A_0 u\|_{H^*} = \sup_{\|v\|_H \leq 1} |\langle A_k u - A_0 u, v \rangle|,$$

where  $v = \{\varphi, \psi\} \in H$ . The estimates (20) and (19) imply  $v_k \rightarrow u$  in  $H$ . Analogously as in (20) we obtain  $\|A_k v_k - A_0 v_k\|_{H^*} \leq T_k(\|v_k\|)$  with  $T_k(\|v_k\|) \rightarrow 0$  for  $k \rightarrow \infty$  since  $\|v_k\|_H \leq C$ . Hence and from the continuity of  $A_0$  we conclude

$$G = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k v_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_0 v_k = A_0 u$$

since  $v_k \rightarrow u$  and Theorem 2 is proved.

Consequence of Theorem 2. If there exists a unique solution  $u$  of the system of von Kármán  $A_0 u = G$ , then  $u_{\omega_n} \rightarrow u$  in  $H$  where  $u_{\omega_n}$  is a solution of  $A_{\omega_n} u = G$ .

Now, we prove that the topological degree of  $A$  for small  $\omega$  (i.e.,  $|a_1 - 1|, |a_2|, |a_3|$  are sufficiently small) equals that of  $A_0$ . The topological degree for the operators with the property  $S$  was introduced in [7] and is a generalization of the topological degree for continuous mappings in  $E_n$  with analogous properties (see [7]).

We denote  $G_R(v) \equiv \{w \in H; \|w - v\|_H \leq R\}$ ,  $S_R(v) \equiv \{w \in H; \|v - w\|_H = R\}$ ,  $A_g u = Au - g$  and  $A_{0,g} u = A_0 u - g$  (for all  $u \in H$ ), where  $g \in H^*$  and  $A \equiv A_\omega$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let (5)–(7), (9) and (14) be satisfied. Suppose  $g \in H^*$ ,  $\sup_{\Omega \times R^6 \times R^6} |a_1 \cdot (x, y, \xi, \tau) - 1| < L$ ,  $M_2 < L$ ,  $M_3 < L$ . If  $L$  is sufficiently small then the topological degree of  $A_g$  equals that of  $A_{0,g}$  with respect to  $R_R(0)$  for sufficiently large  $R$ , ( $R = R(g, L)$ ).

*Proof.* From the properties of the operators  $A$  and  $A_0$  (see Lemmas 1–4) we deduce that the operator

$$A(t, u) = tA_{0,g}u + (1 - t)A_gu$$

defined on  $(t, u) \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle \times H$  is continuous (in all the variables) and differs from zero on the set  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times S_R$  for sufficiently large  $R = R(g)$ . From the  $S$ -property of  $A$  and  $A_0$  (see Lemma 4) we easily find out that  $t_n \rightarrow t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle A(t_n, u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$  implies  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H$ . Thus, the operators  $A_{0,g}$  and  $A_g$  are homotopic (see [7]). To prove Theorem 3 it suffices (see [7]) to prove the estimate

$$(21) \quad \|A_gu - A_{0,g}u\|_{H^*} < \|A_{0,g}u\|_{H^*}$$

for all  $u \in S_R(0)$ . We have

$$(22) \quad \|A_gu - A_{0,g}u\|_{H^*} = \sup_{\|v\|_{H^*} \leq 1} |\langle Au - A_0u, v \rangle| \leq \frac{3}{2}M_2\|w\|_W + \frac{9}{4Eh}M_2\|F\|_W + \sup_{\Omega \times R^6 \times R^6} |1 - a_1| \frac{3}{2}\|F\|_W + \frac{Eh}{4}3M_2\|w\|_W,$$

where  $u = \{w, f\}$ ,  $F = f + f_0$ . On the other hand, the coercivity of  $A_0$  yields

$$\|A_0u - g\|_{H^*} \geq \|u\|_{H^*}^{-1} (C_1\|u\|_H^2 - C_2).$$

Hence and from (22) we obtain (21) and Theorem 3 is proved.

*Remark.* If  $u_0$  is an isolated solution of  $A_gu = 0$  then the topological degree of  $A_g$  with respect to  $G_{u_0}(r)$  (which is independent of  $r$  for sufficiently small  $r$ ) is called the index of  $u_0$ . Theorem 3 implies the following assertion: If there exist only isolated solutions of the equations

$$\text{i) } Au - g = 0, \quad \text{ii) } A_0u - g = 0$$

in  $G_R(0)$ , then the sum of indices of the solutions of i) is equal to the sum of indices of the solutions of ii).

### References

- [1] *Ю. Р. Леник*: Равновесие гибких упруго-пластических пластинок при больших прогибах. Инженерный сборник, том XX, 1956г. 37—51.
- [2] *Н. Ф. Еришов*: Об упруго-пластическом изгибе пластинок при больших прогибах. Строительная механика и расчет сооружений. Н.-3, 1962 г.
- [3] *O. John, J. Nečas*: On the solvability of von Kármán equations. Aplikace matematiky 20 (1975), 48—62.
- [4] *I. Hlaváček, J. Naumann*: Inhomogeneous boundary value problems for the von Kármán equations, I. Aplikace matematiky 19 (1974), 253—269.
- [5] *J. Franců*: On Signorini problem for von Kármán equations (The case of angular domain), Aplikace matematiky 24 (1979), 355—371.
- [6] *G. H. Knightly*: An existence theorem for the von Kármán equations. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., (1967), 233—242.
- [7] *И. В. Скритник*: Нелинейные эллиптические уравнения высшего порядка, „Наукова думка“, Киев — 1973 г.
- [8] *R. Kodnár*: Non-linear problems of the orthogonal anisotropic shallow shells. Proceedings of summer school “Theory of nonlinear operators”. Abhandlungen der Akademie der Wissenschaften der DDR. N-6, 1977.

### Súhrn

## O RIEŠENÍ ISTÉHO ZOVŠEOBECNENÉHO SYSTÉMU VON KÁRMÁNOVÝCH ROVNÍC

JOZEF KAČUR

V práci sa dokazuje existencia riešenia istého nelineárneho systému rovníc, ktorý je zovšeobecnením známeho systému von Kármánových rovníc. Ďalej sa zkúma vzťah riešení tohoto systému k riešeniam von Kármánových rovníc. Zkúmaný systém je modelom pre veľké deformácie tenkých dosák a škrupín a bol odvodený v [1] za predpokladu nelineárneho vzťahu medzi napätiami a deformáciami v konštitutívnych rovniciach.

*Author's address*: RNDr. Jozef Kačur, CSc., Ústav aplikovanej matematiky a výpočtovej techniky UK, Mlynská dolina, 826 31 Bratislava.