

Donald Joseph Hansen

Pseudo-complemented distributive groupoids

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 41 (1991), No. 1, 141–148

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102444>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## PSEUDO-COMPLEMENTED DISTRIBUTIVE GROUPOIDS

D. J. HANSEN, Raleigh

(Received May 2, 1990)

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A considerable amount of work on pseudo-complemented semilattices has appeared since Frink's paper in 1962 [2]. More recently, the study of distributive groupoids has begun to flourish with a number of papers appearing in the literature by various investigators such as, for example, J. Ježek, J. Kepka, and P. Němec [4, 5]. The purpose of this article is to examine pseudo-complementation from the viewpoint of distributive groupoids. The class of distributive groupoids that will be exploited in this paper are those which are left separative, as will be defined later. These groupoids are idempotent and have an associated partial ordering that is a corresponding analogue of the standard partial ordering for semilattices. The particular subclass of these groupoids on which a pseudo-complementation can be defined have a structure that permits one to extend a number of results from the theory of pseudo-complemented semilattices. It will be shown that a theorem of the Glivenko-Frink type can be obtained, that is, the set of closed elements in the groupoid form a boolean lattice and that the set of dense elements is a dual ideal. Also, assuming an additional restriction, the closed and dense elements will be used to give a complete description of the structure of a certain class of pseudo-complemented distributive groupoids. Finally, it should be pointed out that one effort has been made to extend the work on pseudo-complemented semilattices to other types of groupoids. The paper by K. Nirmala Kumai Amma [1] considered the problem for intraregular groupoids  $(S, \cdot)$ , that is, having the property that  $J(x) \cap J(y) = J(xy)$  for each  $x, y \in S$ , where  $J(x)$  denotes the principle ideal generated by the element  $x$ . A remark concerning systems of this type as compared with those of the type studied in this paper will be made in Section 3.

### 2. ABIAN'S ORDER RELATION

Throughout this article,  $S$  will denote a distributive groupoid, that is,  $a(bc) = (ab)(ac)$  and  $(bc)a = (ba)(ca)$  for each  $a, b, c \in S$ . Also,  $\leq$  will denote Abian's relation given by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x^2 = xy$ . Last,  $S$  is said to be *separative*

if (i)  $x^2 = xy$  and  $y^2 = yx$  imply  $x = y$ , and (ii)  $x^2 = yx$  and  $y^2 = xy$  imply  $x = y$ . If (i) holds on  $S$ , then  $S$  is called *left separative*.

**Theorem 1.** *Abian's relation  $\leq$  is a partial order on  $S$  if and only if  $S$  is left separative.*

*Proof.* The necessity is immediate, thus assume  $S$  is left separative. First, it will be shown that each member of  $S$  is idempotent. Let  $a \in S$ . Then  $(a^2)^2 = a^2 a^2 = a^2(aa) = (a^2 a)(a^2 a) = [(aa) a](a^2 a) = (a^2 a^2)(a^2 a) = a^2(a^2 a) = a^2[(aa) a] = a^2(a^2 a^2) = a^2(a^2)^2$ . Now,  $[(a^2)^2]^2 = (a^2)^2 (a^2)^2 = (a^2 a^2)(a^2 a^2) = (a^2 a^2) a^2 = (a^2)^2 a^2$ . Thus, one has that  $(a^2)^2 = (a^2)(a^2)^2$  and  $[(a^2)^2]^2 = (a^2)^2 a^2$ . Hence, by the left separative condition,  $(a^2)^2 = a^2$ . Next,  $(a^2)^2 = (aa)(aa) = a^2 a$  and  $a^2 = a^2 a^2 = a(aa) = aa^2$ . Hence  $(a^2)^2 = a^2 a$  and  $a^2 = aa^2$  implies, by the left separative condition, that  $a^2 = a$ . Therefore each element of  $S$  is idempotent. Consequently, in a left separative distributive groupoid  $S$ , the left separative condition reduces to the statement  $x = xy$  and  $y = yx$  implies that  $x = y$ .

Next, assume  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$ . Then  $x = xy$  and  $y = yz$ . Thus  $x = xy = x(yz) = (xy)(xz) = x(xz)$ . Therefore  $x = x(xz)$ . Now  $xz = (xy)z = (xz)(yz)$ . Thus  $xz = (xz)y$  and this gives  $xz = (xy)(zy) = x(zy) = (xz)(xy) = (xz)x$ . Hence we have obtained that  $x = x(xz)$ ,  $xz = (xz)x$  and this implies, by the left separative condition, that  $x = xz$ . Therefore  $x \leq z$  and thus  $\leq$  is transitive.

Finally,  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  gives  $x = xy$  and  $y = yx$ . Therefore, by left separativity,  $x = y$  and so  $\leq$  is antisymmetric. Consequently,  $\leq$  is a partial ordering of  $S$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $S$  is left separative, then  $S$  is an idempotent partially ordered groupoid with respect to Abian's order relation  $\leq$ .*

*Proof.* Since Theorem 1 implies  $S$  is idempotent and  $\leq$  is a partial ordering of  $S$ , all that is left to show is that the binary operation defined on  $S$  is compatible with  $\leq$ . Thus, let each of  $x, y, z \in S$  with  $x \leq y$ . Then  $x = xy$  and hence, by distributivity,  $zx = z(xy) = (zx)(zy)$  and  $xz = (xy)z = (xz)(yz)$ . Therefore  $zx \leq zy$  and  $xz \leq yz$ .

### 3. PSEUDO-COMPLEMENTATION

Let  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  denote a partially ordered groupoid of the type described by Theorem 2 and suppose there exists a right zero in  $S$ , that is, an element  $0 \in S$  such that  $x0 = 0$  for each  $x \in S$ .

**Definition.** The statement that an element  $a' \in S$  is a pseudo-complement of an element  $a \in S$  means that (i)  $aa' = 0$  and (ii) if  $ax = 0$ , for some  $x \in S$ , then  $x \leq a'$ . If each element in  $S$  has a pseudo-complement then  $S$  will be called a *pseudo-complemented groupoid*.

It should be noted that if an element in  $S$  has a pseudo-complement then conditions (i) and (ii) imply that the pseudo-complement is uniquely determined. Also, it should

be indicated at this point that every pseudo-complemented meet semilattice is an example of a pseudo-complemented left separative distributive groupoid with a right zero 0.

The following is an example of a pseudo-complemented groupoid of the type that is considered in this article which is not necessarily a pseudo-complemented meet semilattice.

**Example.** Let  $(S, +, \cdot)$  denote a boolean lattice. Let  $a \in S$  and define  $f(x) = a + x$  for each  $x \in S$ . Define  $x \circ y = f(x) y$  for each  $x, y \in S$ . Observing that  $f[f(x)] = f(x)$ ,  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ , and  $f(x) x = x$  is true for each  $x, y \in S$ , it follows by direct calculation that  $(S, \circ)$  is an idempotent distributive groupoid. This groupoid is left separative. For, let  $x = x \circ y$  and  $y = y \circ x$ . Then  $x = f(x) y$  and  $y = f(y) x$ . Thus  $f(x) = f[f(x) y] = f[f(x)] f(y) = f(x) f(y)$  and similarly  $f(y) = f(y) f(x)$ . Consequently,  $f(x) = f(y)$  and this gives that  $x = f(x) y = f(y) y = y$ . Also, the least element 0 in the boolean lattice  $(S, +, \cdot)$  serves as a right zero in  $(S, \circ)$  since  $x \circ 0 = f(x) 0 = 0$ . Note that  $0 \circ x = f(0) x = (a + 0) x = ax$  and thus, in general, 0 is not necessarily a left zero. For pseudo-complementation in  $(S, \circ)$ , we proceed as follows. For each  $b \in S$ , define  $b' = a^* b^*$ , where  $(*)$  denotes complementation in the boolean lattice  $(S, +, \cdot)$ . Then  $b \circ b' = f(b) a^* b^* = (a + b) a^* b^* = 0$ . Next, suppose  $b \circ x = 0$  for some  $x \in S$ . Then  $f(b) x = 0$  and this gives  $(a + b) x = 0$  which implies  $ax + bx = 0$ . Thus  $ax = 0$  and  $bx = 0$ . Hence  $a^* + x^* = 0^* = 1$  and thus  $xa^* = x$  which implies  $xa^* b^* = xb^*$ . Also,  $b^* + x^* = 1$  and so  $xb^* = x$ . Therefore  $xa^* b^* = x$ . Hence  $x \circ b' = f(x) b' = (a + x) b' = (a + x) a^* b^* = xa^* b^* = x$ . Therefore  $x \leq b'$  where  $\leq$  denotes Abian's ordering of  $(S, \circ)$ . Consequently  $b'$  is a pseudo-complement of  $b$ .

It should be mentioned that the preceding system  $(S, \circ)$  is an example of a distributive groupoid which is not intraregular as defined by K. Amma in [1]. It is an immediate consequence of intraregularity that if  $ab = 0$ , then  $ba = 0$ . For the above example,  $a \circ 0 = 0$  for each  $a \in S$  but  $0 \circ a$  may not be equal to 0 for some  $a \in S$  as was noted above.

#### 4. A GLIVENKO-FRINK THEOREM

In the remaining part of this article,  $S$  will denote a pseudo-complemented left separative distributive groupoid. An element  $x \in S$  will be said to be *closed* if  $x'' = x$  and the set of all such closed elements will be denoted by  $B(S)$ . In addition, an element  $x \in S$  such that  $x' = 0$  will be said to be *dense*.

**Lemma 3.** *If  $a, b \in S$  such that  $a \leq b$ , then  $b' \leq a'$ . Also, for each  $b \in S$ ,  $0b' = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $a \leq b$ . Then  $ab = a$ . Thus  $ab' = (ab) b' = (ab') (bb') = (ab') 0 = 0$ . Hence  $b' \leq a'$ . Next,  $b0 = 0$  implies that  $0 \leq b'$  for each  $b \in S$ . Therefore  $0b' = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.** *If  $a, b \in S$ , then  $(ab)'' = a''b''$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x = (ab)'(a''b'')$ . Then  $bx = b[(ab)'(a''b'')] = (ab) \{ [a(ab)'] [a(a''b'')] \} = \{ [(ab) a] 0 \} \{ (ab) [a(a''b'')] \} = 0 \{ (ab) [a(a''b'')] \} = [0(ab)] \{ (0a) [(0a'')(0b'')] \} = [0(ab)] [(0a) 0] = [0(ab)] 0 = 0$ . Thus  $bx \leq a'$ . Also,  $a'(bx) = a' \{ b[(ab)'(a''b'')] \} = (a'b) \{ [a'(ab)'] [(a'a'')(a'b'')] \} = (a'b) \{ [a'(ab)'] [0(a'b'')] \} = (a'b) \{ [a'(ab)'] [(0a')(0b'')] \} = (a'b) \{ [a'(ab)'] 0 \} = (a'b) 0 = 0$ . Therefore  $bx \leq a''$  and consequently  $bx \leq a'a'' = 0$  which implies that  $bx = 0$  and thus  $x \leq b'$ . Next,  $b'x = b'[(ab)'(a''b'')] = [b'(ab)'] [(b'a'')(b'b'')] = [b'(ab)'] [(b'a'') 0] = [b'(ab)'] 0 = 0$ . Hence  $x \leq b''$ . Therefore  $x \leq b'b'' = 0$  which gives that  $x = 0$ . Therefore  $(ab)'(a''b'') = 0$  and this implies that  $a''b'' \leq (ab)''$ . Now  $(ab)b' = (ab')(bb') = (ab')0 = 0$  which gives that  $b' \leq (ab)'$  and thus, by Lemma 3,  $(ab)'' \leq b''$ . Last,  $(ab)a' = (aa')(ba') = 0(ba') = (0b)(0a') = (0b)0 = 0$ . Thus  $a' \leq (ab)'$  and, again, by Lemma 3,  $(ab)'' \leq a''$ . Hence  $(ab)'' \leq a''b''$ . Therefore  $a''b'' \leq (ab)''$  and  $(ab)'' \leq a''b''$  implies that  $(ab)'' = a''b''$ .

To obtain the following Glivenko-Frink type theorem, we will make use of a result by Frink, see [3], who characterized a boolean lattice as a meet semilattice  $(B, \cdot)$  containing a special element 0 along with a mapping  $(*)$  from  $B$  into  $B$  possessing the property that  $a \cdot b^* = 0$  if and only if  $a \cdot b = a$ . The least upper bound for a pair of elements  $a, b \in B$  was shown to be  $a \vee b = (a^* \cdot b^*)^*$ .

**Theorem 5.** *The set  $B(S)$  of closed elements of  $S$  is a boolean lattice.*

*Proof.* First, it will be shown that  $0 \in B(S)$ .  $0'0 = 0$  implies that  $0 \leq 0''$ . Also, from Lemma 3,  $00'' = 0$  which gives that  $0'' \leq 0'$ . Hence  $0''0'' \leq 0'0'' = 0$ . Thus  $0'' \leq 0$  and consequently  $0'' = 0$ . Therefore  $0 \in B(S)$ . Next,  $B(S)$  is a subgroupoid of  $S$  since, by Theorem 4, the product of two closed elements is again closed. Let  $x, y \in B(S)$ . In order to show that  $B(S)$  is a meet semilattice, we need to point out that  $xy$  is the greatest lower bound for  $x$  and  $y$ . Now  $(xy)x' = (xx')(yx') = 0(yx') = (0y)(0x') = (0y)0 = 0$ . Thus  $x' \leq (xy)'$  and, by Lemma 3,  $(xy)'' \leq x''$ . Thus  $xy \leq x$ . In a similar manner  $(xy)y' = (xy')(yy') = (xy')0 = 0$  and thus  $y' \leq (xy)'$  which implies that  $(xy)'' \leq y''$ . Hence  $xy \leq y$ . Therefore  $xy$  is a lower bound for  $x$  and  $y$ . Finally, let  $k \in B(S)$  such that  $k \leq x$  and  $k \leq y$ . Then  $k \leq xk \leq xy$ . Consequently,  $xy$  is the greatest lower bound for  $x$  and  $y$  in  $B(S)$ . Therefore  $B(S)$  is a meet semilattice. Last, one needs to show that the pseudo-complementation operation  $(\prime)$  has the property mentioned above for the unary operation  $(*)$  in Frink's theorem. First, if  $x \in B(S)$  then  $x' \in B(S)$  since  $(x')'' = (x'')' = x'$ . Now let  $a, b \in B(S)$  with  $ab' = 0$ . Then  $b' \leq a'$  and thus, by Lemma 3,  $a'' \leq b''$ . Hence  $a \leq b$  and this gives  $ab = a$ . Next, suppose  $ab = a$ . Then  $ab' = (ab)b' = (ab')(bb') = (ab')0 = 0$ . Therefore  $ab' = 0$  if and only if  $ab = a$  and thus, by Frink's theorem,  $B(S)$  is a boolean lattice.

For what follows, the greatest element in  $B(S)$ , namely  $0'$ , will be denoted by the symbol 1.

**Lemma 6.** *If  $x \in S$ , then  $x' \leq x''$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3,  $0x' = 0$ . Thus  $0 = 0x' = (x'x'')x' = x'(x''x')$ . Hence  $x''x' \leq x''$  and thus  $(x''x')x'' = x''x'$ . Hence  $x''x' = (x''x')x'' = x''(x'x'') = x''0 = 0$ . Therefore  $x' \leq x''$ .

In the following theorem, a dual ideal  $K$  of  $S$  is defined to be a subgroupoid of  $S$  which has the property that if  $x \in K$  and  $y \in S$ , with  $x \leq y$ , then  $y \in K$ .

**Theorem 7.** *If  $D$  is the set of all dense elements of  $S$ , then  $D$  is a dual ideal of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $d_1, d_2 \in D$ . Then  $d_1' = 0' = 1$  and  $d_2' = 0' = 1$ . Thus, by Theorem 4,  $(d_1d_2)'' = d_1'd_2' = 11 = 1$ . Hence  $(d_1d_2)''' = 1' = 0$ . Now, by Lemma 6,  $(d_1d_2)' \leq (d_1d_2)''' = 0$  and thus  $(d_1d_2)' = 0$ . Consequently,  $d_1d_2$  is dense and therefore  $D$  is a subgroupoid of  $S$ . Next, let  $d \in D$  and  $x \in S$  with  $d \leq x$ . Then, by Lemma 3,  $x' \leq d'$  and this gives that  $x' = 0$  since  $d' = 0$  and thus  $x \in D$ . Therefore  $D$  is a dual ideal of  $S$ .

## 5. A STRUCTURE THEOREM

**Theorem 8.** *Suppose  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  denotes a left separative pseudo-complemented distributive groupoid, where  $\leq$  is the associated partial order on  $(S, \cdot)$ . Let each of  $B$  and  $D$  denote the set of closed and dense elements of  $S$  respectively. If the members of  $D$  form an antichain with respect to  $\leq$ , and, for each  $x \in S$ , there exists exactly one  $d \in D$  such that  $x = x''d$ , then  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  can be expressed as a cardinal sum of boolean lattices  $B_{d_i}$  where, for each  $d_i \in D$ ,  $1d_i$  is the greatest element of  $B_{d_i}$  and  $B_{d_i}$  is isomorphic to  $B$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $d \in D$ , let  $B_d = \{xd \mid x \in B\}$ . First, it should be noted that each  $x \in S$  is a member of some  $B_d$  since, by hypothesis,  $x = x''d_1$ , where  $x'' \in B$  and  $d_1 \in D$  and thus  $x \in B_{d_1}$ . Let each of  $d_1, d_2 \in D$  with  $d_1 \neq d_2$ . We want to show next that no member in  $B_{d_1}$  is comparable with a member in  $B_{d_2}$ . Suppose  $x \in B_{d_1}$  and  $y \in B_{d_2}$  and that  $x \leq y$ . Now  $x = x_1d_1$  and  $y = y_2d_2$ . By Theorem 4,  $x'' = x_1'd_1'' = x_1'1 = x_1' = x_1$  and similarly  $y'' = y_2'' = y_2$ . Thus  $x = x''d_1$  and  $y = y''d_2$ . From  $x \leq y$  we thus have  $x''d_1 \leq y''d_2$  which gives  $(x''d_1)(y''d_2) = x''d_1$ . Applying Theorem 4 again, gives  $[(x'')''d_1'][(y'')''d_2'] = (x'')''d_1'$  and consequently we have  $x''y'' = x''$ . Returning again to  $x''d_1 \leq y''d_2$  and multiplying on the left by  $x''d_2$  gives  $(x''d_2)(x''d_1) \leq (x''d_2)(y''d_2)$  and thus  $x''(d_2d_1) \leq (x''y'')d_2 = x''d_2$ . Hence  $x''(d_2d_1) \leq x''d_2$  now implies that  $[x''(d_2d_1)](x''d_2) = x''(d_2d_1)$  and thus  $x''[(d_2d_1)d_2] = x''(d_2d_1)$ . Therefore, from the uniqueness of the dense elements in the representation of each member of  $S$ , we have that  $(d_2d_1)d_2 = d_2d_1$ . Thus  $d_2d_1 \leq d_2$  and this gives that  $d_2d_1 = d_2$  since the members of  $D$  form an antichain with respect to  $\leq$ . Therefore  $d_2 \leq d_1$  which again implies that  $d_2 = d_1$  and this is a contradiction since  $d_2 \neq d_1$ . Consequently no member in  $B_{d_1}$  is comparable with a member in  $B_{d_2}$ .

Last, we want to show that  $(B, \leq)$  is order-isomorphic to  $(B_d, \leq)$  for each  $d \in D$ .

Let  $d \in D$  and, for each  $x \in B$ , define  $f_d(x) = xd$ . From the definition of  $B_d$  and  $f_d$ , it is immediate that  $f_d$  maps  $B$  onto  $B_d$ . Next, suppose that  $f_d(x_1) = f_d(x_2)$ . Then  $x_1d = x_2d$ , and by Theorem 4,  $x_1''d'' = x_2''d''$  and thus  $x_1' = x_2'1 = x_2''1 = x_2'$ . Hence  $x_1 = x_2$  since each of  $x_1, x_2 \in B$ . Therefore  $f_d$  is a "one-to-one" mapping. Now suppose  $x_1 \leq x_2$ . Then  $x_1d \leq x_2d$  and thus  $f_d(x_1) \leq f_d(x_2)$ . Finally, suppose  $f_d(x_1) \leq f_d(x_2)$ . Then  $x_1d \leq x_2d$  which implies that  $(x_1d)(x_2d) = x_1d$ . Hence  $(x_1x_2)d = x_1d$ . Applying Theorem 4, we obtain  $(x_1x_2)''d'' = x_1''d''$  and thus  $x_1x_2 = x_1$ . Consequently,  $x_1 \leq x_2$ . Therefore  $f_d$  is an order-isomorphic mapping from  $B$  to  $B_d$ . Since, by Theorem 5,  $B$  is a boolean lattice, we thus have that  $B_d$  is also a boolean lattice. Note that  $x \leq 1$ , for each  $x \in B$ , implies that  $f_d(x) = xd \leq 1d$ . Thus  $1d$  is the greatest element for  $B_d$ .

Before proceeding to the last theorem, there are four observations that need to be made concerning the dense elements  $D$  given in Theorem 8.

Property (i). If  $d \in D$ , then  $d1 = 1$ . This follows from the fact that  $0 \leq 1$  implies that  $d0 \leq d1$  and thus  $0 \leq d1$ . Now  $10 \leq 1(d1)$  and so  $0 \leq 1(d1)$ . Since  $d1$  is dense,  $0 \in B_{d1}$ ,  $1(d1) \in B_{d1}$  and, by Theorem 8, no element in  $B_{d1}$  is comparable with an element in  $B_{d1}$ , we thus have that  $d1 = 1$ .

Property (ii). If  $d_1, d_2 \in D$  and  $d_1d_2 = 1$ , then  $d_2 = 1$ . Let each of  $0_1$  and  $0_2$  denote the least element in  $B_{d_1}$  and  $B_{d_2}$  respectively. Now,  $f_{d_1}(0) = 0_1$  and  $f_{d_2}(0) = 0_2$  and so  $0d_1 = 0_1$  and  $0d_2 = 0_2$ . Thus  $0_10_2 = (0d_1)(0d_2) = 0(d_1d_2) = 01 = 0$ . Hence  $0_10_2 = 0$  implies that  $0_2 \leq 0_1'$ . Now  $0_1' \leq 1$  since,  $00_1' = 0$ , by Lemma 3, and thus  $0_1' \leq 0'$ . Consequently,  $0_2 \leq 1, 1 \in B_{d_1}$ , and  $0_2 \in B_{d_2}$ . Therefore, by Theorem 8,  $d_2 = 1$ .

Property (iii). If  $d \in D$ , then there exists exactly one  $d_1 \in D$  such that  $d = 1d_1$ . This follows directly from the hypothesis of Theorem 8 and since  $d'' = 1$ .

Property (iv). If each of  $d_1, d_2 \in D$  such that  $d_1d_2 = d_1$ , then  $d_1 = d_2$ . Again, this follows from the fact that the members of  $D$  form an antichain with respect to Abian's order relation  $\leq$ .

**Theorem 9.** *If  $B$  is a boolean lattice and  $D$  is an idempotent distributive groupoid containing an element 1 satisfying properties (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv), then there exists a pseudo-complemented left separative distributive groupoid  $(S, \circ)$  such that*

1. *the set of closed elements  $B_1$  in  $S$  is order-isomorphic to  $B$  relative to Abian's order relation  $\leq$ ,*
2. *the set of dense elements  $D'$  in  $S$  is isomorphic to  $D$  and no two distinct members in  $D'$  are comparable with respect to  $\leq$ , and*
3. *if  $x \in S$ , then  $x = x'' \circ d$  for exactly one  $d \in D'$ , where  $(\circ)$  denotes the pseudo-complement operation in  $(S, \circ)$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $B \cap D = \emptyset$ . From the sets  $B$  and  $D$  and noting property (iii), one can construct, for each  $d_i \in D$ , a set  $B_{d_i}$

such that  $\text{card } B_{d_i} = \text{card } B$ ,  $B_{d_i} \cap D = \{1d_i\}$  and, if  $d_i \neq d_j$ , then  $B_{d_i} \cap B_{d_j} = \emptyset$ . Next, for each  $d_i \in D$ , partially order  $B_{d_i}$  so that  $B_{d_i}$  is order-isomorphic to  $B$  in such a way that  $1d_i$  is the greatest element in  $B_{d_i}$ . For each  $d_i \in D$ , with  $d_i \neq 1$ , let  $f_{d_i}$  denote an order-isomorphic mapping from  $B_1$  to  $B_{d_i}$  and let  $f_1$  denote the identity mapping from  $B_1$  to  $B_1$ . Let  $S = \bigcup B_{d_i}$ . Let  $x, y \in S$  and suppose  $x \in B_{d_i}$  and  $y \in B_{d_j}$ . Define  $x \circ y = f_{d_i d_j} [f_{d_i}^{-1}(x) \cdot f_{d_j}^{-1}(y)]$ , where “ $\cdot$ ” denotes the greatest lower bound operation in  $B_1$ .

By direct computation,  $(S, \circ)$  is an idempotent distributive groupoid. Next, we want to show that  $(S, \circ)$  is left separative. Suppose  $x, y \in S$  such that  $x = x \circ y$  and  $y = y \circ x$ . Then, for exactly one  $d_1, d_2 \in D$ ,  $x \in B_{d_1}$  and  $y \in B_{d_2}$ . Thus  $x = x \circ y = f_{d_1 d_2} [f_{d_1}^{-1}(x) \cdot f_{d_2}^{-1}(y)]$  and  $y = y \circ x = f_{d_2 d_1} [f_{d_2}^{-1}(y) \cdot f_{d_1}^{-1}(x)]$ . Hence  $f_{d_1 d_2}^{-1}(x) = f_{d_1}^{-1}(x) \cdot f_{d_2}^{-1}(y)$  and  $f_{d_2 d_1}^{-1}(y) = f_{d_2}^{-1}(y) \cdot f_{d_1}^{-1}(x)$ . Now, each of  $f_{d_1}^{-1}(x), f_{d_2}^{-1}(y)$  is a member of the boolean lattice  $B_1$  and, since “ $\cdot$ ” denotes the greatest lower bound operation in  $B_1$ , we thus have that  $f_{d_1 d_2}^{-1}(x) = f_{d_2 d_1}^{-1}(y)$ . Hence, for some  $u \in B_1$ ,  $f_{d_1 d_2}(u) = x$  and  $f_{d_2 d_1}(u) = y$ . Hence  $x \in B_{d_1 d_2}$  and  $y \in B_{d_2 d_1}$ . Thus  $x \in B_{d_1} \cap B_{d_1 d_2}$  and  $y \in B_{d_2} \cap B_{d_2 d_1}$ . Since the sets  $B_{d_i}$  are disjoint, we obtain that  $d_1 = d_1 d_2$  and  $d_2 = d_2 d_1$ . Therefore, by property (iv),  $d_1 = d_2$  and this implies that  $x = f_{d_1}(u) = f_{d_2}(u) = y$ . Consequently,  $(S, \circ)$  is left separative and, by Theorems 1 and 2, Abian's order relation is a compatible partial ordering of  $S$ .

In  $(S, \circ)$ , a pseudo-complementation operation ( $'$ ) is constructed in the following manner. Let  $x \in S$ . Then  $x \in B_{d_1}$  for exactly one  $d_1 \in D$ . Define  $x' = [f_{d_1}^{-1}(x)]^*$ , where “ $*$ ” denotes the complement in the boolean lattice  $B_1$ . Also, let  $0$  denote the least element in  $B_1$ . Then  $x \circ x' = f_{d_1 1} [f_{d_1}^{-1}(x) \cdot f_1^{-1}([f_{d_1}^{-1}(x)]^*)] = f_1 [f_{d_1}^{-1}(x) \cdot (f_{d_1}^{-1}(x))^*] = f_{d_1}^{-1}(x) \cdot [f_{d_1}^{-1}(x)]^* = 0$ , by property (i). Now, suppose  $x \circ y = 0$  for some  $y \in S$ . Then  $y \in B_{d_2}$  for exactly one  $d_2 \in D$  and  $x \circ y \in B_{d_1 d_2}$ . Hence  $0 \in B_1 \cap B_{d_1 d_2}$  which implies that  $d_1 d_2 = 1$ . From property (ii), we thus have that  $d_2 = 1$ . Therefore  $y \in B_1$ . Thus  $0 = x \circ y = f_{d_1 1} [f_{d_1}^{-1}(x) \cdot f_1^{-1}(y)] = f_1 [f_{d_1}^{-1}(x) \cdot f_1^{-1}(y)] = f_1 [f_{d_1}^{-1}(x) \cdot y] = f_{d_1}^{-1}(x) \cdot y = f_{d_1}^{-1}(x) \circ y$  by property (i) and since “ $\circ$ ” and “ $\cdot$ ” coincide on  $B_1$ . Consequently, by Abian's order relation and since “ $\circ$ ” and “ $\cdot$ ” coincide on  $B_1$ ,  $y \leq x'$ . As a consequence of the preceding statements, the set of closed elements in  $S$  is exactly the elements of  $B_1$  and, as a result of the construction of  $B_1$  from above, the set of closed elements, namely  $B_1$ , is order-isomorphic to  $B$ .

Next, suppose  $x \in D'$ , where  $D'$  denotes the dense elements of  $S$ . Then  $0 = x' = [f_{d_1}^{-1}(x)]^*$  implies that  $f_{d_1}^{-1}(x) = 0^* = 1$ . Thus  $x = f_{d_1}(1) = 1d_1$  from the definition of  $f_{d_1}$ , and this implies that  $x \in D$ . Hence  $D' \subseteq D$ . Now, let  $d \in D$ . Then, by property (iii), there exists exactly one  $d_2 \in D$  such that  $d = 1d_2$ . Hence  $d' = [f_{d_2}^{-1}(d)]^* = 1^* = 0$  and this implies that  $d \in D'$ . Thus  $D \subseteq D'$  and, therefore  $D' = D$ . Last, it will be shown that if  $d_1, d_2 \in D'$ , then  $d_1 \circ d_2 = d_1 d_2$ . By property (iii), there exists exactly one  $d_3, d_4 \in D$  such that  $1d_3 = d_1$  and  $1d_4 = d_2$ . Hence  $d_1 \circ d_2 = f_{d_3 d_4} [f_{d_3}^{-1}(d_1) \cdot f_{d_4}^{-1}(d_2)] = f_{d_3 d_4} [1 \cdot 1] = f_{d_3 d_4}(1) = 1(d_3 d_4) = (1d_3)(1d_4) = d_1 d_2$ . Consequently,  $(D', \circ)$  coincides with the given groupoid  $D$  whose binary



operation was indicated by juxtaposition. Consequently, by property (iv), no two distinct members of  $D'$  are comparable with respect to Abian's relation  $\leq$ .

Finally, for part (3) of the proof, we proceed as follows. Let  $x \in S$ . Then  $x \in B_{d_1}$  for exactly one  $d_1 \in D$ . Also, by property (iii), there exists exactly one  $d_3 \in D$  such that  $1d_3 = d_1$ . From the definition of the pseudo-complement,  $x'' = f_{d_1}^{-1}(x)$ . Thus  $x'' \circ d_1 = f_{1d_3}\{[f_1^{-1}(f_{d_1}^{-1}(x))] \cdot f_{d_3}^{-1}(d_1)\} = f_{d_1}[f_{d_1}^{-1}(x) \cdot 1] = f_{d_1}[f_{d_1}^{-1}(x)] = x$ . Now, suppose  $x'' \circ d_2 = x$  for some  $d_2 \in D$ . Then, by property (iii), there exists exactly one  $d_4 \in D$  such that  $1d_4 = d_2$ . Thus  $x = x'' \circ d_2 = f_{1d_4}\{[f_1^{-1}(f_{d_1}^{-1}(x))] \cdot f_{d_4}^{-1}(d_2)\} = f_{d_2}[f_{d_1}^{-1}(x) \cdot 1] = f_{d_2}[f_{d_1}^{-1}(x)]$ . Hence  $f_{d_2}^{-1}(x) = f_{d_1}^{-1}(x)$ . This implies that  $f_{d_2}(u) = x = f_{d_1}(u)$  for some  $u \in B_1$ . Therefore  $x \in B_{d_1}$  and  $x \in B_{d_2}$  which thus implies that  $d_1 = d_2$  since  $B_{d_1} \cap B_{d_2} = \emptyset$  for  $d_1 \neq d_2$ .

### References

- [1] *K. Nirmala Amma*: Pseudo-complements in groupoids, *J. Austral. Math. Soc., Series A*, 16 (1978), 209–219.
- [2] *O. Frink*: Pseudo-complements in semilattices, *Duke Math. J.* 29 (1962), 505–514.
- [3] *O. Frink*: Representations of boolean algebras, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 47 (1941), 755–756.
- [4] *J. Ježek, T. Kepka, P. Němec*: Distributive groupoids, *Rozprawy Československé Akad. Věd, Řada Mat. Přírod. Věd* 91 (1981), No. 3, 94 pp.
- [5] *T. Kepka, P. Němec*: Notes on distributive groupoids, *Math. Nachr.* 87 (1979), 93–101.

*Author's address*: N.C. State University, Department of Mathematics, Box 8205, Raleigh, N.C. 27695-8205, U.S.A.