

Alois Švec

On equiaffine Weingarten surfaces

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 37 (1987), No. 4, 567–572

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102185>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON EQUIAFFINE WEINGARTEN SURFACES

ALOIS ŠVEC, Brno

(Received September 20, 1985)

0. The H - and K -theorems for surfaces in the equiaffine space A^3 are known, see [1]–[3]. In the spirit of these investigations, I am going to prove the following

Theorem. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded connected domain, ∂M its boundary. Let $m: M \rightarrow A^3$ be an elliptic surface with the mean curvature (= die mittlere Affinkrümmung) H and the curvature K (= das affine Krümmungsmass). Let $\Phi(x, y)$ be a function on \mathbb{R}^2 satisfying*

$$(0.1) \quad \Phi_x^2 + 4x\Phi_x\Phi_y + 4y\Phi_y^2 > 0.$$

Suppose: (i) on $m(M)$, we have

$$(0.2) \quad \Phi(H, K) = 0;$$

(ii) the points of $m(\partial M)$ are umbilical. Then $m(M)$ is an affine sphere.

1. Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain, ∂M its boundary. Consider a surface $m: M \rightarrow A^3$, A^3 being the 3-dimensional equiaffine space. To each point m of our surface, let us associate an equiaffine frame $\{m; v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ such that v_1, v_2 span the tangent plane at m . Then

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} dm &= \omega^1 v_1 + \omega^2 v_2, & dv_1 &= \omega_1^1 v_1 + \omega_1^2 v_2 + \omega_1^3 v_3, \\ dv_2 &= \omega_2^1 v_1 + \omega_2^2 v_2 + \omega_2^3 v_3, & dv_3 &= \omega_3^1 v_1 + \omega_3^2 v_2 + \omega_3^3 v_3 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(1.2) \quad \omega_1^1 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^3 = 0,$$

$$(1.3) \quad d\omega^i = \omega^j \wedge \omega_j^i, \quad d\omega_i^j = \omega_i^k \wedge \omega_k^j.$$

From

$$(1.4) \quad \omega^3 = 0,$$

we have

$$(1.5) \quad \omega^1 \wedge \omega_1^3 + \omega^2 \wedge \omega_2^3 = 0$$

and the existence of functions g_1, g_2, g_3 such that

$$(1.6) \quad \omega_1^3 = g_1 \omega^1 + g_2 \omega^2, \quad \omega_2^3 = g_2 \omega^1 + g_3 \omega^2.$$

Let us suppose that our surface is elliptic, i.e., $g_1g_3 - g_2^2 > 0$. Then we are able to specialize the frames in such a way that $g_1 = g_3 = 1$, $g_2 = 0$, i.e.,

$$(1.7) \quad \omega_1^3 = \omega^1, \quad \omega_2^3 = \omega^2.$$

From that,

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} (2\omega_1^1 - \omega_3^3) \wedge \omega^1 + (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^1) \wedge \omega^2 &= 0, \\ (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^1) \wedge \omega^1 + (2\omega_2^2 - \omega_3^3) \wedge \omega^2 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and we have the existence of functions a, \dots, d such that

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\omega_1^1 - \omega_3^3 &= a\omega^1 + b\omega^2, \quad \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^1 = b\omega^1 + c\omega^2, \\ 2\omega_2^2 - \omega_3^3 &= c\omega^1 + d\omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

It may be seen that

$$(1.10) \quad G := (\omega^1)^2 + (\omega^2)^2$$

is the invariant *equiaffine metric form*. Introduce the 1-form

$$(1.11) \quad \omega := \frac{1}{2}(\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^1);$$

then

$$(1.12) \quad d\omega^1 = -\omega^2 \wedge \omega, \quad d\omega^2 = \omega^1 \wedge \omega,$$

and we have, from (1.9₂) and (1.11),

$$(1.13) \quad \omega_1^1 = \frac{1}{2}(b\omega^1 + c\omega^2) + \omega, \quad \omega_2^1 = \frac{1}{2}(b\omega^1 + c\omega^2) - \omega.$$

From (1.1), (1.9) and (1.13),

$$\begin{aligned} dm &= v_1\omega^1 + v_2\omega^2, \\ dv_1 - v_2\omega &= \left\{ \frac{1}{8}[(3a - c)v_1 + (5b + d)v_2] + v_3 \right\} \omega^1 + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8}[(3b - d)v_1 + (a - 3c)v_2] \omega^2, \\ dv_2 + v_1\omega &= \frac{1}{8}[(3b - d)v_1 + (a - 3c)v_2] \omega^1 + \\ &\quad + \left\{ \frac{1}{8}[(a + 5c)v_1 + (3d - b)v_2] + v_3 \right\} \omega^2, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the *equiaffine normal vector* is

$$(1.14) \quad y := \frac{1}{2}Am = \frac{1}{4}(a + c)v_1 + \frac{1}{4}(b + d)v_2 + v_3.$$

Let us specialize the frames by the condition $y = v_3$. Then $a + c = b + d = 0$ and (1.2) + (1.9) reduce to

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_1^1 &= -\frac{1}{2}(c\omega^1 - b\omega^2), \quad \omega_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}(c\omega^1 - b\omega^2), \quad \omega_3^3 = 0, \\ \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^1 &= b\omega^1 + c\omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.15₃),

$$(1.16) \quad \omega_3^1 \wedge \omega^1 + \omega_3^2 \wedge \omega^2 = 0$$

and

$$(1.17) \quad \omega_3^1 = \alpha\omega^1 + \beta\omega^2, \quad \omega_3^2 = \beta\omega^1 + \gamma\omega^2.$$

Using this, the differentiation of (1.15_{1,2,4}) yields

$$(1.18) \quad \begin{aligned} (db - 3c\omega) \wedge \omega^1 + (dc + 3b\omega) \wedge \omega^2 &= (\gamma - \alpha) \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2, \\ -(dc + 3b\omega) \wedge \omega^1 + (db - 3c\omega) \wedge \omega^2 &= 2\beta\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2, \end{aligned}$$

and we get the existence of new functions B, C such that

$$(1.19) \quad \begin{aligned} db - 3c\omega &= (B + \beta) \omega^1 + (C + \alpha) \omega^2, \\ dc + 3b\omega &= (C + \gamma) \omega^1 - (B - \beta) \omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.17),

$$(1.20) \quad \begin{aligned} (d\alpha - 2\beta\omega) \wedge \omega^1 + \{d\beta + (\alpha - \gamma)\omega\} \wedge \omega^2 &= \{\frac{1}{2}b(\alpha - \gamma) + c\beta\} \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2, \\ \{d\beta + (\alpha - \gamma)\omega\} \wedge \omega^1 + (d\gamma + 2\beta\omega) \wedge \omega^2 &= \{\frac{1}{2}c(\alpha - \gamma) - b\beta\} \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2, \end{aligned}$$

and we get the existence of functions $\alpha_1, \dots, \gamma_2$ satisfying

$$(1.21) \quad \begin{aligned} d\alpha - 2\beta\omega &= \alpha_1\omega^1 + \alpha_2\omega^2, \quad d\beta + (\alpha - \gamma)\omega = \beta_1\omega^1 + \beta_2\omega^2, \\ d\gamma + 2\beta\omega &= \gamma_1\omega^1 + \gamma_2\omega^2; \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.22) \quad \beta_1 - \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}b(\alpha - \gamma) + c\beta, \quad \gamma_1 - \beta_2 = \frac{1}{2}c(\alpha - \gamma) - b\beta.$$

Finally, from (1.19),

$$(1.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \{dB - 2(2C + \alpha + \gamma)\omega\} \wedge \omega^1 + (dC + 4B\omega) \wedge \omega^2 &= \\ &= (3\kappa c + \beta_2 - \alpha_1) \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2, \\ (dC + 4B\omega) \wedge \omega^1 - \{dB - 2(2C + \alpha + \gamma)\omega\} \wedge \omega^2 &= \\ &= (-3\kappa b + \gamma_2 - \beta_1) \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2; \end{aligned}$$

here, κ is the *Gauss curvature* of G (1.10) defined by

$$(1.24) \quad d\omega = -\kappa\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2$$

in accord with (1.12). From (1.23),

$$(1.25) \quad dB - 2(2C + \alpha + \gamma)\omega = B_1\omega^1 + B_2\omega^2, \quad dC + 4B\omega = C_1\omega^1 + C_2\omega^2;$$

$$(1.26) \quad C_1 - B_2 = 3\kappa c + \beta_2 - \alpha_1, \quad B_1 + C_2 = 3\kappa b + \beta_1 - \gamma_2.$$

2. In our notation, we get the following *invariant forms*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} A &:= -\frac{1}{2}\{c(\omega^1)^3 - 3b(\omega^1)^2\omega^2 - 3c\omega^1(\omega^2)^2 + b(\omega^2)^3\}, \\ B &:= -\omega^1\omega_3^1 - \omega^2\omega_3^2 = -\{\alpha(\omega^1)^2 + 2\beta\omega^1\omega^2 + \gamma(\omega^2)^2\}, \end{aligned}$$

the *Pick invariant*

$$(2.2) \quad J = \frac{1}{2}(b^2 + c^2)$$

and the *mean curvature* and the *affine curvature*

$$(2.3) \quad H = -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \gamma), \quad K = \alpha\gamma - \beta^2$$

resp. A point m of our surface is called *umbilical* if

$$(2.4) \quad H^2 - K = \frac{1}{4}(\alpha - \gamma)^2 + \beta^2 = 0$$

at m . From (1.11) and (1.24),

$$(2.5) \quad \kappa = \frac{1}{2}(b^2 + c^2 - \alpha - \gamma) = J + H,$$

this being the theorem egregium.

Suppose that all points of $m(M)$ are umbilical. Then $\alpha - \gamma = \beta = 0$, and (1.21) + (1.22) implies $\alpha_1 = \dots = \gamma_2 = 0$. Thus $\alpha = \gamma = \text{const.}$, and $m(M)$ is an *affine sphere*.

3. The analytic background is given, see [4] or [5], by the following result:
On M , introduce coordinates (u, v) , and consider the system

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{11} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} + a_{12} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} + b_{11} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} + b_{12} \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} &= c_{11}f + c_{12}g, \\ a_{21} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} + a_{22} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} + b_{21} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} + b_{22} \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} &= c_{21}f + c_{22}g; \end{aligned}$$

$a_{11} = a_{11}(u, v), \dots, c_{22} = c_{22}(u, v)$; for the functions $f = f(u, v)$, $g = g(u, v)$. Suppose that the system (3.1) is elliptic, i.e., the quadratic form

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} Q := &(a_{12}b_{22} - a_{22}b_{12}) \xi^2 + (a_{11}b_{21} - a_{21}b_{11}) \eta^2 - \\ &-(a_{11}b_{22} - a_{21}b_{12} + a_{12}b_{21} - a_{22}b_{11}) \xi\eta \end{aligned}$$

is definite. If f, g are its solutions satisfying $f = g = 0$ on ∂M , then $f = g = 0$ in M .

On M , we may introduce coordinates (u, v) such that the metric form (1.10) is $G = (r du)^2 + (s dv)^2$, i.e.,

$$(3.3) \quad \omega^1 = r du, \quad \omega^2 = s dv; \quad r = r(u, v) \neq 0, \quad s = s(u, v) \neq 0.$$

It is easy to see, from (1.2), that

$$(3.4) \quad \omega = -s^{-1}r_v du + r^{-1}s_u dv.$$

4. Let us suppose (0.2). Then

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi_x dH + \Phi_y dK = 0$$

with, see (2.3) and (1.21),

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} dH &= -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \gamma_1) \omega^1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_2 + \gamma_2) \omega^2, \\ dK &= (\alpha\gamma_1 + \gamma\alpha_1 - 2\beta\beta_1) \omega^1 + (\alpha\gamma_2 + \gamma\alpha_2 - 2\beta\beta_2) \omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting these into (4.1), we get

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (\Phi_x - 2\gamma\Phi_y) \alpha_1 + (\Phi_x - 2\alpha\Phi_y) \gamma_1 + 4\beta\Phi_y\beta_1 &= 0, \\ (\Phi_x - 2\gamma\Phi_y) \alpha_2 + (\Phi_x - 2\alpha\Phi_y) \gamma_2 + 4\beta\Phi_y\beta_2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.21),

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} d(\alpha - \gamma) - 4\beta\omega &= (\alpha_1 - \gamma_1) \omega^1 + (\alpha_2 - \gamma_2) \omega^2, \\ d\beta + (\alpha - \gamma) \omega &= \beta_1\omega^1 + \beta_2\omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.3) and (3.4),

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{\partial(\alpha - \gamma)}{\partial u} = r(\alpha_1 - \gamma_1) + (\cdot)\beta, \quad \frac{\partial(\alpha - \gamma)}{\partial v} = s(\alpha_2 - \gamma_2) + (\cdot)\beta,$$

$$\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial u} = r\beta_1 + (\cdot)(\alpha - \gamma), \quad \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial v} = s\beta_2 + (\cdot)(\alpha - \gamma).$$

From this and (1.22),

$$(4.6) \quad \beta_1 = r^{-1} \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial u} + (\cdot)(\alpha - \gamma), \quad \beta_2 = s^{-1} \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial v} + (\cdot)(\alpha - \gamma),$$

$$\alpha_2 = r^{-1} \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial u} + (\cdot)(\alpha - \gamma) + (\cdot)\beta, \quad \gamma_1 = s^{-1} \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial v} + (\cdot)(\alpha - \gamma) + (\cdot)\beta,$$

$$\alpha_1 = r^{-1} \frac{\partial(\alpha - \gamma)}{\partial u} + s^{-1} \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial r} + (\cdot)(\alpha - \gamma) + (\cdot)\beta,$$

$$\gamma_2 = -s^{-1} \frac{\partial(\alpha - \gamma)}{\partial v} + r^{-1} \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial u} + (\cdot)(\alpha - \gamma) + (\cdot)\beta.$$

Inserting these into (4.3), we get, for

$$(4.7) \quad f = \alpha - \gamma, \quad g = \beta,$$

a system of the form (3.1) with

$$(4.8) \quad a_{11} = r^{-1}(\Phi_x - 2\gamma\Phi_y), \quad a_{12} = 0, \quad b_{11} = 4r^{-1}\beta\Phi_y,$$

$$b_{12} = 2s^{-1}(\Phi_x - \alpha\Phi_y - \gamma\Phi_y),$$

$$a_{21} = 0, \quad a_{22} = -s^{-1}(\Phi_x - 2\alpha\Phi_y), \quad b_{21} = 2r^{-1}(\Phi_x - \alpha\Phi_y - \gamma\Phi_y),$$

$$b_{22} = 4s^{-1}\beta\Phi_y.$$

The associated form (3.2) is then

$$(4.9) \quad Q = 2(\Phi_x - \alpha\Phi_y - \gamma\Phi_y) \cdot \{s^{-2}(\Phi_x - 2\alpha\Phi_y)\xi^2 - 4r^{-1}s^{-1}\beta\Phi_y\xi\eta + r^{-2}(\Phi_x - 2\gamma\Phi_y)\eta^2\}.$$

Its discriminant is

$$(4.10) \quad \Delta = 4r^{-2}s^{-2}(\Phi_x + 2H\Phi_y)^2 (\Phi_x^2 + 4H\Phi_x\Phi_y + 4K\Phi_y^2).$$

We have $\Phi_x + 2H\Phi_y \neq 0$. Indeed, $\Phi_x + 2H\Phi_y = 0$ would mean

$$\Phi_x^2 + 4H\Phi_x\Phi_y + 4K\Phi_y^2 = -4(H^2 - K)\Phi_y^2 \leq 0,$$

a contradiction to (0.1). Thus $\Delta > 0$, the form Q is definite and we have $\alpha = \gamma$, $\beta = 0$ in M . Our proof is finished.

References

- [1] *Blaschke W.*: Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie II. Springer, 1923.
- [2] *Schwenk A.*: Eigenwertprobleme des Laplace-Operators und Anwendungen auf Untermannigfaltigkeiten. Preprint TU Berlin, 129/1984.
- [3] *Simon U.*: Hypersurfaces in equiaffine differential geometry and eigenvalue problem. Proc. Conf. Diff. Geometry, ČSSR 1983, 127–136.
- [4] *Švec A.*: Contributions to the global differential geometry of surfaces. Rozprawy ČSAV, 1977.
- [5] *Vekua I. N.*: Obobščennye analitičeskije funkcii. Moskva, 1959.

Author's address: 635 00 Brno, Přehradní 10, Czechoslovakia.