

Zdzisław Jackiewicz; Marian Kwapisz

A note on the stability of θ -methods for Volterra integral equations of the second kind

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 34 (1984), No. 3, 349–354

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101959>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A NOTE ON THE STABILITY OF θ -METHODS
FOR VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATIONS OF THE SECOND KIND

ZDZISŁAW JACKIEWICZ, Fayetteville, MARIAN KWAPISZ, Gdańsk

(Received January 18, 1984)

1. INTRODUCTION

The majority of stability analyses of numerical methods for Volterra integral equations of the second kind

$$(1) \quad y(t) = g(t) + \int_0^t k(t, s, y(s)) ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

were based on the simple linear test equation

$$(2) \quad y(t) = g(t) + \lambda \int_0^t y(s) ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where λ is a complex number and $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) < 0$ (see, for example, [1–3]). It is the purpose of this note to present a stability analysis of some methods for the numerical integration of (1) based on the more general test equation

$$(3) \quad y(t) = g(t) + \lambda \int_0^t k(s) y(s) ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) < 0$ and the functions g and k satisfy certain conditions which will be given later.

Denote by $h > 0$ a fixed step size and define the grid $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ by $t_i = ih, i = 0, 1, \dots$. We are interested in the following class of the so called θ -methods:

$$(4) \quad y_n = g_n + \lambda h \left[(1 - \theta) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} k(t_n, t_i, y_i) + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n k(t_n, t_i, y_i) \right],$$

$i = 0, 1, \dots, \theta \in [0, 1]$ ($\sum_{i=0}^{-1} = 0, \sum_{i=1}^0 = 0$). Here, $g_n = g(t_n)$ and y_n is the approximation to $Y(t_n)$, where Y is the solution of (1). For $\theta = 0$, $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$, and $\theta = 1$ these are direct quadrature methods based on the left rectangular rule, the trapezoidal rule, and the right rectangular rule, respectively. It is easy to check that the local discretiza-

tion error of (4)

$$\eta_\theta(t_n, h) := Y(t_n) - g_n - \lambda h \left[(1 - \theta) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} k(t_{n-1}, t_i, Y(t_i)) + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n k(t_n, t_i, Y(t_i)) \right]$$

satisfies $\eta_\theta(t_n, h) = O(h)$ for $\theta \neq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\eta_\theta(t_n, h) = O(h^2)$ for $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ uniformly in t_n as $h \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, this method is convergent with order one for $\theta \neq \frac{1}{2}$ and with order two for $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$, i.e.

$$y_n - Y(t_n) = \begin{cases} O(h), & \theta \neq \frac{1}{2}, \\ O(h^2), & \theta = \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $nh = t_n$ (see [1, 4]).

In the next section we examine the behaviour of the solution Y of (3) and the approximate solution $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ when the method (4) is applied to (3), for a fixed step size $h > 0$. It turns out that both Y and $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are bounded and the last bound is uniform in h and θ .

2. STABILITY ANALYSIS

We have the following bound on the solution Y of the equation (3).

Theorem 1. Assume that $|g(t)| \leq G < \infty$ and $k(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$. Assume also that $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) < 0$. Then the solution Y of (3) satisfies $|Y(t)| \leq G(1 - |\lambda|/\operatorname{Re}(\lambda))$ for $t \geq 0$.

Proof. Putting $z(t) = \int_0^t k(s) y(s) ds$, the problem (3) can be written as

$$z'(t) = \lambda k(t) z(t) + k(t) g(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$z(0) = 0.$$

The solution Z of this equation is given by

$$Z(t) = \int_0^t k(s) g(s) \exp\left(\lambda \int_s^t k(\tau) d\tau\right) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Let $\lambda = a + bi$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |Z(t)| &\leq -(G/a) \int_0^t (-a) k(s) \exp\left(a \int_s^t k(\tau) d\tau\right) ds \leq \\ &\leq -(G/a) \left[1 - \exp\left(a \int_0^t k(\tau) d\tau\right) \right] \leq -G/a. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that the solution Y of (3) is given by $Y(t) = \lambda Z(t) + g(t)$, we obtain $|Y(t)| \leq G(1 - |\lambda|/\operatorname{Re}(\lambda))$, $t \geq 0$, which is our claim.

Remark. It is impossible to bound the solution Y of (3) by a constant independent

of λ . To see this, let us consider the problems

$$y(t) = \lambda_n \int_0^t y(s) ds + \sin(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$y(t) = i \int_0^t y(s) ds + \sin(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\lambda_n \rightarrow i$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_n) < 0$, with solutions Y_n and Y , and observe that Y is unbounded and $Y_n \rightarrow Y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on any compact interval $[0, T]$, $T > 0$.

Our next theorem establishes a bound on the solution $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of the equation (4) applied to (3).

Theorem 2. *In addition to the conditions given in Theorem 1, assume that $0 < \omega \leq k(t) \leq \Omega < \infty$ for $t \geq 0$. Then there exists $h_\theta > 0$ and a constant $M \geq 0$ independent of h, λ and θ such that $|y_n| \leq M(1 - |\lambda|/\operatorname{Re}(\lambda))$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, for $h \in (0, h_\theta]$ and $\theta \in [0, 1]$.*

Proof. The method (4) applied to (3) yields

$$(5) \quad y_n = g_n + \lambda h \left[(1 - \theta) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} k_i y_i + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n k_i y_i \right],$$

$n = 0, 1, \dots$, where $k_i = k(t_i)$. Subtracting y_{n+1} and y_n we obtain

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{1 + (1 - \theta) \lambda h k_n}{1 - \theta \lambda h k_{n+1}} y_n + \frac{g_{n+1} - g_n}{1 - \theta \lambda h k_{n+1}},$$

$n = 0, 1, \dots$. This is a recurrent equation of the first order, its solution being given by

$$y_n = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1 + (1 - \theta) \lambda h k_i}{1 - \theta \lambda h k_{i+1}} \right) y_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{g_{i+1} - g_i}{1 - \theta \lambda h k_{i+1}} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{1 + (1 - \theta) \lambda h k_j}{1 - \theta \lambda h k_{j+1}},$$

$n = 0, 1, \dots$ (see [5]). Hence,

$$(6) \quad |y_n| \leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1 + (1 - \theta) \lambda h k_i}{|1 - \theta \lambda h k_{i+1}|} |y_0| + 2G \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{1 + (1 - \theta) \lambda h k_j}{|1 - \theta \lambda h k_{j+1}|},$$

$n = 0, 1, \dots$. On the other hand, the equation (5) can be written as $y_n = \lambda h z_n + g_n$, where

$$z_n = (1 - \theta) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} k_i y_i + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n k_i y_i,$$

$n = 0, 1, \dots$. Subtracting z_{n+1} and z_n and eliminating y_{n+1} and y_n from the resulting

equation we obtain

$$z_{n+1} = \frac{1 + (1 - \theta) \lambda h k_n}{1 - \theta \lambda k_{n+1}} z_n + \frac{(1 - \theta) k_n g_n + \theta k_{n+1} g_{n+1}}{1 - \theta \lambda h k_{n+1}},$$

$n = 0, 1, \dots$. Hence, noting that $z_0 = 0$ we get

$$z_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1 - \theta) k_i g_i + \theta k_{i+1} g_{i+1}}{1 - \theta \lambda h k_{i+1}} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{1 + (1 - \theta) \lambda h k_j}{1 - \theta \lambda h k_{j+1}},$$

$n = 0, 1, \dots$. In view of this relation and the relationship between y_n and z_n we obtain

$$(7) \quad |y_n| \leq |\lambda h| \Omega G \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{|1 + (1 - \theta) \lambda h k_j|}{|1 - \theta \lambda h k_{j+1}|} + G,$$

$n = 0, 1, \dots$. For any $\xi \in [\omega, \Omega]$ (and fixed λ , $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) < 0$) let us consider the functions

$$\phi_\xi(h, \theta) := |1 + (1 - \theta) \lambda h \xi|, \quad \psi_\xi(h, \theta) := |1 - \theta \lambda h \xi|.$$

For any fixed $\theta \in [0, 1)$ the function ϕ_ξ attains its minimum value $|\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)|/|\lambda|$ for $h_\xi = -\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)/((1 - \theta) \xi |\lambda|^2)$. In view of the assumptions on the function k it is clear that there exists $h_\theta > 0$ such that for all $h \in (0, h_\theta]$ the following inequality holds:

$$\phi_{k_j}(h, \theta) \leq \phi_\omega(h, \theta) < 1.$$

The largest value of h_θ for which this inequality is satisfied for any function k satisfying the assumptions of the theorem can be computed from the condition $\phi_\omega(h_\theta, \theta) = = \phi_\Omega(h_\theta, \theta)$, which leads to the formula

$$h_\theta = -2 \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)/((1 - \theta) |\lambda|^2 (\omega + \Omega)).$$

It is also clear that $\psi_{k_{j+1}}(h, \theta) \geq \psi_\omega(h, \theta) > 1$ for any $h > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, 1]$. Hence, putting $q_\omega(h, \theta) = \phi_\omega(h, \theta)/\psi_\omega(h, \theta)$ and $Q = \max\{2G, \Omega G\}$, in view of (6), (7), and the relation $q_\omega(h, \theta) < 1$, which holds for any $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and $h \in (0, h_\theta]$ ($h_1 = \infty$), we obtain

$$(8) \quad |y_n| \leq \begin{cases} Q \frac{|\lambda h|}{1 - q_\omega(h, \theta)} + G, & h \leq 1/|\lambda|, \\ Q \frac{1}{1 - q_\omega(h, \theta)} + G, & h > 1/|\lambda|, \end{cases}$$

$n = 0, 1, \dots$. Let us set $D := \{(h, \theta): \theta \in [0, 1], h \in (0, h_\theta]\}$ and define the (continuous) function $\eta_\omega : D \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\eta_\omega(h, \theta) := \begin{cases} \frac{|\lambda h|}{1 - q_\omega(h, \theta)}, & h \leq 1/|\lambda|, \\ \frac{1}{1 - q_\omega(h, \theta)}, & h > 1/|\lambda|. \end{cases}$$

We will show that η_ω is bounded on D . We have

$$\frac{1}{1 - q_\omega(h, \theta)} \leq \frac{2|1 - \theta\lambda h\omega|^2}{|1 - \theta\lambda h\omega|^2 - |1 + (1 - \theta)\lambda h\omega|^2},$$

hence,

$$\eta_\omega(h, \theta) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2|\lambda| (1 - 2\theta ah\omega + \theta^2|\lambda|^2 h^2\omega^2)}{-2a\omega + |\lambda|^2 h\omega^2(2\theta - 1)}, & h \leq 1/|\lambda|, \\ \frac{2(1 - 2\theta ah\omega + \theta^2|\lambda|^2 h^2\omega^2)}{-2ah\omega + |\lambda|^2 h^2\omega^2(2\theta - 1)}, & h > 1/|\lambda|. \end{cases}$$

Here, $a = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$. Next we define θ^* by $|\lambda h_{\theta^*}| = 1$, i.e.

$$\theta^* = 1 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)/(|\lambda|(\omega + \Omega)).$$

We may assume without loss of generality that $\omega + \Omega > 4$, hence $\theta^* > \frac{1}{2}$. We consider the following cases:

1. $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Then there exists a constant $M_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$\eta_\omega(h, \theta) \leq \frac{2|\lambda| (1 - 2\theta ah_\theta\omega + \theta^2|\lambda|^2 h^2\omega^2)}{-2a\omega + |\lambda|^2 h_\theta\omega^2(2\theta - 1)} \leq -M_1(|\lambda|/a).$$

2. $\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and $h \in (0, \min\{h_\theta, 1/|\lambda|\}]$. Then there exists $M_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$\eta_\omega(h, \theta) \leq \frac{2|\lambda| (1 - 2a\omega/|\lambda| + \omega^2)}{-2a\omega + |\lambda|^2 h\omega^2(2\theta - 1)} \leq -M_2(|\lambda|/a).$$

3. $\theta \in [\theta^*, 1]$ and $h \in (1/|\lambda|, h_\theta]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\omega(h, \theta) &\leq \frac{2}{-2ah\omega + |\lambda|^2 h^2\omega^2(2\theta - 1)} + \frac{-4a\omega}{-2a\omega + |\lambda|^2 h\omega^2(2\theta - 1)} + \\ &+ \frac{|\lambda|^2 \omega^2 h}{-2a\omega + |\lambda|^2 h\omega^2(2\theta - 1)} \leq -(|\lambda|/a\omega) + 2 + (1/(2\theta^* - 1)). \end{aligned}$$

However, we have $(1/(2\theta^* - 1)) \leq (\omega + \Omega)/(\omega + \Omega - 4)$, hence

$$\eta_\omega(h, \theta) \leq -M_3(|\lambda|/a) + M_4$$

for some nonnegative constants M_3 and M_4 .

Combining all these inequalities and taking into account (8) we immediately see that there exists a constant $M \geq 0$ independent of h, θ , and λ such that

$$|y_n| \leq M(1 - |\lambda|/\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)),$$

$n = 0, 1, \dots$. Thus the theorem is proved.

Remark. It follows from the proof of this theorem that the approximate solution $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ given by (5) for $\theta = 1$ is bounded for any $h > 0$. This property is similar to the A -stability property of numerical methods for ordinary differential equations.

References

- [1] C. T. H. Baker: The Numerical Treatment of Integral Equations. Clarendon Press: Oxford 1977.
- [2] C. T. H. Baker, M. S. Keech: Stability regions in the numerical treatment of Volterra integral equations. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 15, 394—417 (1978).
- [3] C. T. H. Baker, M. S. Keech: Stability analysis of certain Runge-Kutta procedures for Volterra integral equations. ACM Trans. Math. Software 4, 305—315 (1978).
- [4] D. Kershaw: Volterra equations of the second kind. In: Numerical Solution of Volterra Equations (Delves, Walsch, Eds). Clarendon Press: Oxford 1974.
- [5] D. I. Martinjuk: Lectures on qualitative theory of difference equations (in Russian). Naukova Dumka: Kiev 1972.

Author's addresses: Prof. Zdzisław Jackiewicz, Department of Mathematical Sciences SE 301, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72701, USA; Prof. Marian Kwapisz, Department of Mathematics, University of Gdańsk, 80-952 Gdańsk, Wita Stwosza 57, Poland.