

Jiří Rachůnek

Reflexive and antisymmetric relations and their systems

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 31 (1981), No. 4, 521–530

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101771>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

REFLEXIVE AND ANTISYMMETRIC RELATIONS
AND THEIR SYSTEMS

Jiří RACHŮNEK, Olomouc

(Received September 4, 1979)

This paper investigates systems of Y -relations on partial algebras being reflexive and antisymmetric among others. Systems of the so called X -relations, special cases of which are systems of equivalences, tolerances or quasi-orders, have been studied in [5]. In contrast to X -relations, Y -relations do not form lattices but they form complete \wedge -semilattices. Therefore, the first part of this paper deals with generalizing compact elements, algebraic lattices, closure operators, etc. for the case of semilattices. In the second part Y -relations are defined, special cases of which are orders or semi-orders. It is proved that the set of all Y -relations on an arbitrary set is an algebraic \wedge -semilattice. Similarly, the set of all Y -relations compatible with any partial algebra is also an algebraic \wedge -semilattice. The concluding part studies categories of systems of Y -relations, i.e. such categories the objects of which are ordered pairs (A, \mathscr{Y}) , where A is a set and \mathscr{Y} is a system of Y -relations on A containing id_A and closed under intersections of non-empty subsystems and under unions of bounded directed subsystems; the morphisms of such categories are the mappings of the underlying sets of objects with the property that the coimages of the relations from the appropriate systems of Y -relations are the relations from the corresponding systems of Y -relations. (The first category of the analogous type studied in literature was the category of equivalence systems that was defined by M. Armbrust in [1].) In this paper the basic properties of these categories are shown. (The description of mono- and epimorphisms, injective and projective objects, separators and coseparators and the study of completeness and cocompleteness of categories.) In the paper we use the notions from the universal algebra, see [3], [4] and [6], and those from the category theory, see [2] and [7].

1. ALGEBRAIC SEMILATTICES

Let A, B be sets, φ a partial mapping from A into B . Then $\text{Dom } \varphi$ means the domain of definition of φ .

Definition 1.1. Let $S = (S, \leq)$ be an ordered set with the smallest element 0 and let λ be a partial mapping from S into S such that

1. $0 \in \text{Dom } \lambda$ and $0\lambda = 0$;
2. $\forall a \in S; a \in \text{Dom } \lambda \Rightarrow a \leq a\lambda$;
3. $\forall a, b \in S; a \leq b, b \in \text{Dom } \lambda \Rightarrow a \in \text{Dom } \lambda, a\lambda \leq b\lambda$;
4. $\forall a \in S; a \in \text{Dom } \lambda \Rightarrow a\lambda \in \text{Dom } \lambda, (a\lambda)\lambda = a\lambda$.

Then λ is called a *partial closure operator* in S .

Lemma 1.1. Let $S = (S, \leq)$ be a complete \wedge -semilattice, λ a partial closure operator in S . Then $S\lambda$ is a closed \wedge -subsemilattice of S .

Proof. $0 \in S\lambda$, hence $S\lambda \neq \emptyset$. Let $a_x \in S\lambda$ ($x \in A$). If $a = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in A} a_\alpha$, then $a \in \text{Dom } \lambda$ and $a\lambda \leq a_x\lambda = a_x$ ($x \in A$), thus $a\lambda \leq a$. Therefore $a\lambda = a$.

Let us suppose that $S = (S, \leq)$ is a complete \wedge -semilattice. If $S' \subseteq S$, then $\bigvee S'$ means the supremum of S' in S (provided it exists). It is clear that $\bigvee S'$ exists for each non-void upper bounded subset S' of S .

Definition 1.2. Let S be a complete \wedge -semilattice. Then an element $x \in S$ is said to be *compact* if the following implication holds:

If $\{y_\alpha; \alpha \in A\} \subseteq S$ is an arbitrary system such that $\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} y_\alpha$ exists and $x \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} y_\alpha$, then there exists a finite subset $B \subseteq A$ for which $x \leq \bigvee_{\beta \in B} y_\beta$.

Definition 1.3. A complete \wedge -semilattice S is called an *algebraic \wedge -semilattice* if each element of S is equal to the supremum of a set of compact elements of S .

If S is a complete \wedge -semilattice, then we denote the set of its compact elements by S^* .

Definition 1.4. Let S be an algebraic \wedge -semilattice. Then a partial closure operator λ in S is called *algebraic* if

$$\forall a \in S^* \quad \forall x \in S; \quad (x \in \text{Dom } \lambda, \quad a \leq x\lambda \Rightarrow \exists x' \in S^*; \quad x' \leq x, \quad a \leq x'\lambda).$$

Let us suppose that S is a complete \wedge -semilattice, λ a partial closure operator in S . Then we shall denote the supremum in $S\lambda$ (ordered by the induced ordering) by Υ .

Lemma 1.2. Let S be an algebraic \wedge -semilattice, λ a partial algebraic closure operator in S . Then $S\lambda$ is an algebraic \wedge -semilattice.

Proof. By Lemma 1.1, $S\lambda$ is a closed \wedge -subsemilattice of S . Let $\{x_\alpha\lambda; \alpha \in A\} \subseteq S\lambda$ and let $\Upsilon x_\alpha\lambda$ exist. Then $\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha\lambda$ exists, too, and we have $\Upsilon x_\alpha\lambda \geq \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha\lambda$. But $\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha\lambda \in S\lambda$, hence $\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha\lambda \in \text{Dom } \lambda$ and $\Upsilon x_\alpha\lambda \geq (\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha\lambda)\lambda$. Moreover, $x_\alpha \in S^*$

$\in \text{Dom } \lambda$ and $(\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha \lambda) \lambda \geq x_\alpha \lambda$ for each $\alpha \in A$, therefore $(\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha \lambda) \lambda \geq \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha \lambda$. This means

$$(*) \quad \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha \lambda = (\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha \lambda) \lambda.$$

Let $c \in S^* \cap \text{Dom } \lambda$, $\{x_\alpha; \alpha \in A\} \subseteq \text{Dom } \lambda$. Suppose that $\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha \lambda$ exists and $c \lambda \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha \lambda$. Then by (*) we have $c \leq (\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha \lambda) \lambda$. The algebraicity of λ yields the existence of $x' \in S^*$ such that $x' \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha \lambda$ and $c \leq x' \lambda$. But then there exists a finite subsystem $\{x_\beta \lambda; \beta \in B\} \subseteq \{x_\alpha \lambda; \alpha \in A\}$ such that $x' \leq \bigvee_{\beta \in B} x_\beta \lambda$. Now $c \leq x' \lambda$ implies $c \lambda \leq x' \lambda \leq (\bigvee_{\beta \in B} x_\beta \lambda) \lambda = \bigvee_{\beta \in B} x_\beta \lambda$. Hence $c \lambda \in (S\lambda)^*$.

Now, let $y \in \text{Dom } \lambda$. Then there exists $\{x_\alpha; \alpha \in A\} \subseteq S^*$ such that $y = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha$. (It is evident that $x_\alpha \in \text{Dom } \lambda$ for each $\alpha \in A$.) We have $y \lambda = (\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha) \lambda = (\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha \lambda) \lambda = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha \lambda$, hence $S\lambda$ is an algebraic \wedge -semilattice.

Definition 1.5. An algebraic \wedge -semilattice S is called *finitely compact* if for each finite subset $\{x_\beta; \beta \in B\} \subseteq S^*$ the supremum $\bigvee_{\beta \in B} x_\beta$ (if it exists) is also in S^* .

Lemma 1.3. Let S be a finitely compact algebraic \wedge -semilattice, λ a partial algebraic closure operator in S , $x \in \text{Dom } \lambda$. Then $x \lambda \in (S\lambda)^*$ if and only if there exists $y \in S^* \cap \text{Dom } \lambda$ such that $x \lambda = y \lambda$.

Proof. Let $x \lambda \in (S\lambda)^*$. Since S is an algebraic \wedge -semilattice, there exists $\{x_\alpha; \alpha \in A\} \subseteq S^*$ such that $x = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha$. But then $x_\alpha \in \text{Dom } \lambda$ for each $\alpha \in A$. Here $\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha \lambda$ exists and satisfies $\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha \lambda = x \lambda$. Indeed, let $z \in S\lambda$ be such that $z \geq x_\alpha \lambda$ for each $\alpha \in A$. Then $z \geq \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha \lambda = x$ and so $z \geq x \lambda$. By the assumption we have $x \lambda \in (S\lambda)^*$, hence there exists a finite subset B of A such that $x \lambda = \bigvee_{\beta \in B} x_\beta \lambda$. But then $x \lambda = (\bigvee_{\beta \in B} x_\beta \lambda) \lambda = (\bigvee_{\beta \in B} x_\beta) \lambda$. Now, the finite compactness implies $\bigvee_{\beta \in B} x_\beta \in S^*$.

Corollary 1.4. Let S be a finitely compact algebraic \wedge -semilattice, λ a partial algebraic closure operator in S . Then the correspondence $a \mapsto a \lambda$ is a mapping of $S^* \cap \text{Dom } \lambda$ onto $(S\lambda)^*$ which satisfies the implication: If $x \lambda, y \lambda \in (S\lambda)^*$ and if $x \lambda \bigvee y \lambda$ exists, then $x \lambda \bigvee y \lambda = (x \vee y) \lambda$.

Proof follows immediately from (*) and Lemma 1.3.

Lemma 1.5. Let S be a finitely compact algebraic \wedge -semilattice, λ a partial

closure operator in S . Then λ is algebraic if and only if for each upper bounded directed subset $M \subseteq S\lambda$, $\bigvee M \in S\lambda$ holds.

Proof. Let S be an algebraic \wedge -semilattice, λ a partial algebraic closure operator in S . Let M be a bounded directed subset of $S\lambda$. Let us denote $m = \bigvee M$. Suppose that $z \in S^*$ is such that $z \leq m\lambda$. Then there exists $m' \in S^*$ such that $m' \leq m$ and $z \leq m'\lambda$. However, $m' \leq m = \bigvee M$, thus it must exist a finite subset $M' \subseteq M$ for which $m' \leq \bigvee M'$. M is directed, therefore $m' \leq m_1 \in M$. Then $z \leq m'\lambda \leq m_1 \leq m$, and so each compact element which is less than $m\lambda$ is also less than m . This implies $m = m\lambda$, hence $m \in S\lambda$.

Let us suppose that S is finitely compact and that each bounded directed subset $M \subseteq S\lambda$ satisfies $\bigvee M \in S\lambda$. Let $a \in S^*$, $x \in \text{Dom } \lambda$, let $\{x_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}$ be the system of all compact elements that are less than x and let $a \leq x\lambda$. Then $x = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha$, $x_\alpha \in \text{Dom } \lambda$ for each $\alpha \in A$ and the finite compactness of S implies that the system $\{x_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}$ is directed. But then system $\{x_\alpha\lambda; \alpha \in A\}$ is directed, too, and it is bounded in $S\lambda$, thus by the assumption $\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha\lambda \in S\lambda$. This implies $(\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha\lambda)\lambda = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha\lambda$, i.e. $a \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha\lambda$. The element a is compact in S , therefore there exists a finite subset B of A such that $a \leq \bigvee_{\beta \in B} x_\beta\lambda$. But $\bigvee_{\beta \in B} x_\beta\lambda = \bigwedge_{\beta \in B} x_\beta\lambda$, thus by Corollary 1.4, $a \leq (\bigvee_{\beta \in B} x_\beta)\lambda$. The finite compactness of S implies $\bigvee_{\beta \in B} x_\beta \in S^*$, and hence λ is algebraic.

2. SEMILATTICES OF REFLEXIVE AND ANTISYMMETRIC RELATIONS COMPATIBLE WITH PARTIAL ALGEBRAS

If A is a set, then $(\text{RAS})_0(A)$ denotes the set of all reflexive and antisymmetric binary relations on A .

Lemma 2.1. *If A is a set, then $(\text{RAS})_0(A)$ ordered by the set-inclusion is an algebraic \wedge -semilattice in which the infimum is formed by the intersection. The smallest element is id_A .*

Proof. It is clear that $(\text{RAS})_0(A)$ is a complete \wedge -semilattice. Let $q' = \{(x_i, y_i); i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be a finite antisymmetric relation on A and $q = q' \cup \text{id}_A$. Let us suppose that $\{q_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$ is a system in $(\text{RAS})_0(A)$ such that $\sigma = \bigvee_{(\text{RAS})_0(A)} \{q_\alpha; \alpha \in I\}$ exists and $q \subseteq \sigma$. Now, $\sigma = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} q_\alpha$, and for each $(x_i, y_i) \in q'$ there exists q_{α_i} ($\alpha_i \in I$) such that $(x_i, y_i) \in q_{\alpha_i}$. This implies $q \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n q_{\alpha_i} = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n q_{\alpha_i}$. Hence q is a compact element in $(\text{RAS})_0(A)$. Hereby, it is evident that exactly all compact elements in $(\text{RAS})_0(A)$ are formed in this manner.

But then each element of $(\mathbf{RAS})_0(A)$ is the supremum of compact elements, therefore the \wedge -semilattice $(\mathbf{RAS})_0(A)$ is algebraic.

Now, let us consider a system Y of relational quasi-identities of the type $\langle 2 \rangle$ with the signature $\langle A_1^2 \rangle$ which contains the identity of reflexivity and the quasi-identity of antisymmetry, and let us suppose that any other quasi-identity of Y (if it exists) is in the form

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n (\mathcal{A}_1 \& \dots \& \mathcal{A}_p \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}),$$

where $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_p, \mathcal{A}$ are primitive formulas and the following conditions are satisfied:

- a) for each x_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) there exists at least one of the formulas $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_p$ containing x_i ,
- b) if $p > 1$, then each \mathcal{A}_k ($k = 2, \dots, p$) contains at least one of the variables contained in \mathcal{A}_{k-1} ,
- c) $\mathcal{A} = A_1^2(x_r, x_q)$, $r, q \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 2.1. A binary relation on a set A satisfying all quasi-identities of Y is called a *Y-relation* on A .

We denote the set of all Y -relations on A by $Y_0(A)$.

Lemma 2.2. $Y_0(A)$ ordered by inclusion is a closed subsemilattice of the \wedge -semilattice $(\mathbf{RAS})_0(A)$ with the smallest element id_A .

Proof. Evident.

Let A be a set. We put $\Gamma = \{\varrho \in (\mathbf{RAS})_0(A); \exists \sigma \in Y_0(A) : \varrho \subseteq \sigma\}$. If $\varrho \in \Gamma$, then $\bar{\varrho}$ means the intersection of all relations of $Y_0(A)$ containing ϱ .

We define the partial mapping $\lambda : (\mathbf{RAS})_0(A) \rightarrow (\mathbf{RAS})_0(A)$ by $\text{Dom } \lambda = \Gamma$ and $\varrho\lambda = \bar{\varrho}$ for each $\varrho \in \Gamma$. It is evident that λ is a partial closure operator in $(\mathbf{RAS})_0(A)$ and that $((\mathbf{RAS})_0(A))\lambda = Y_0(A)$.

Let us show that λ is algebraic. Evidently, the algebraic \wedge -semilattice $(\mathbf{RAS})_0(A)$ is finitely compact. Hence by Lemma 1.5 it suffices to prove that for any bounded directed subsystem $\Sigma \subseteq Y_0(A)$, $\bigvee \Sigma \in Y_0(A)$ holds.

Lemma 2.3. Let Σ be a bounded directed subsystem in $Y_0(A)$. Then $\bigcup \Sigma \in Y_0(A)$.

Proof. The antisymmetry of $\bigcup \Sigma$ follows from the boundedness of Σ in $Y_0(A)$. For the other quasi-identities of Y see [5, Proof of Lemma 2].

Therefore we have

Theorem 2.4. If A is a set, then $Y_0(A)$ is an algebraic \wedge -semilattice.

Proof. Since the suprema in $(\mathbf{RAS})_0(A)$ are formed by the unions, Lemmas 1.5 and 2.3 imply that the partial closure operator λ is algebraic. Thus, by Lemma 1.2, $((\mathbf{RAS})_0(A))\lambda = Y_0(A)$ is an algebraic \wedge -semilattice.

Let now $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ be a partial algebra, where $A \neq \emptyset$ is the support of \mathfrak{A} and $F \neq \emptyset$ is a set of partial operations on A . If $f \in F$, then n_f denotes the arity of f and $D(f, A)$ denotes the definition domain of f .

Definition 2.2. Let θ be a Y -relation on A . Then θ is called a \mathcal{Y} -relation on \mathfrak{A} if the following implication holds for each $f \in F$:

If $(a_1, \dots, a_{n_f}), (b_1, \dots, b_{n_f}) \in D(f, A)$ and if $(a_i, b_i) \in \theta$, $i = 1, \dots, n_f$, then $(a_1 \dots a_{n_f} f, b_1 \dots b_{n_f} f) \in \theta$.

The set of all \mathcal{Y} -relations on \mathfrak{A} is denoted by $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Theorem 2.5. If $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ is a partial algebra, then $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{A})$ ordered by inclusion is a closed \wedge -subsemilattice of the complete \wedge -semilattice $Y_0(A)$. The smallest element in $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{A})$ is id_A .

Proof. Evident.

Theorem 2.6. Let Σ be a bounded directed subsystem in $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{A})$. Then $\bigcup \Sigma \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proof follows from Lemma 2.3.

For a partial algebra $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ let us define the partial mapping $\lambda : Y_0(A) \rightarrow Y_0(A)$ such that $\text{Dom } \lambda$ is formed by exactly all relations from $Y_0(A)$ each of which is contained in some relation from $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{A})$, and $\varrho\lambda = \bigcap \{\sigma \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{A}); \varrho \subseteq \sigma\}$ for each $\varrho \in \text{Dom } \lambda$. Obviously λ is a partial closure operator in $Y_0(A)$. Therefore Lemmas 1.2 and 1.5 and Theorem 2.6 imply

Theorem 2.7. If $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ is a partial algebra, then $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{A})$ is an algebraic \wedge -semilattice.

In particular, let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ be a partial algebra and $(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{S})(\mathfrak{A})$ the complete \wedge -semilattice of all compatible reflexive and antisymmetric relations on \mathfrak{A} . Let us denote $\Xi = \{\varrho \subseteq A \times A; \varrho \subseteq \sigma\}$ for some $\sigma \in (\mathcal{R}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{S})(\mathfrak{A})$. If $\varrho \in \Xi$, then Ξ_ϱ means the intersection of all relations of $(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{S})(\mathfrak{A})$ containing ϱ . Consider the partial mapping $\lambda : \exp(A \times A) \rightarrow \exp(A \times A)$ defined by $\text{Dom } \lambda = \Xi$ and $\varrho\lambda = \Xi_\varrho$ for each $\varrho \in \Xi$. Then

1. $\text{Dom } \lambda \neq \emptyset$;
2. $\varrho \in \text{Dom } \lambda \Rightarrow \varrho \subseteq \varrho\lambda$;
3. $\varrho_1 \subseteq \varrho_2, \varrho_2 \in \text{Dom } \lambda \Rightarrow \varrho_1 \in \text{Dom } \lambda, \varrho_1\lambda \subseteq \varrho_2\lambda$;
4. $\varrho \in \text{Dom } \lambda \Rightarrow \varrho\lambda \in \text{Dom } \lambda, (\varrho\lambda)\lambda = \varrho\lambda$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $\varrho_\alpha \subseteq A \times A$ ($\alpha \in I$) and $\varrho = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \varrho_\alpha$. Let $\varrho \in \text{Dom } \lambda$. Then $\varrho\lambda = \bigvee_{\alpha \in I} \varrho_\alpha\lambda$ in $(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{S})(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proof. Since $\varrho_\alpha \subseteq \varrho$, we have $\varrho_\alpha \in \text{Dom } \lambda$ and $\varrho_\alpha\lambda \subseteq \varrho\lambda$ for each $\alpha \in I$. Hence

$\bigvee_{\alpha \in I} \varrho_\alpha \lambda$ exists and $\varrho \lambda \supseteq \bigvee_{\alpha \in I} \varrho_\alpha \lambda$. Let $\sigma \in (\mathcal{R}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{S})(\mathfrak{A})$ be such that $\sigma \supseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \varrho_\alpha$. Then $\sigma \supseteq \varrho_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in I$, thus $\sigma \supseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \varrho_\alpha$. But then $\sigma = \sigma \lambda \supseteq \varrho \lambda$, therefore $\varrho \lambda = \bigvee_{\alpha \in I} \varrho_\alpha \lambda$.

For $\{(a, b)\} \in \text{Dom } \lambda$ we denote $(\{(a, b)\}) \lambda$ by $(a, b) \lambda$.

Corollary 2.9. *If $\varrho \in \text{Dom } \lambda$, then $\varrho \lambda = \bigvee_{(a,b) \in \varrho} (a, b) \lambda$. In particular, if $\varrho \in (\mathcal{R}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{S})(\mathfrak{A})$, then $\varrho = \bigvee_{(a,b) \in \varrho} (a, b) \lambda$.*

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ be a partial algebra. If $a \in A$, then a^0 means the nullary operation on A with the value a . Further, we put $A^0 = \{a^0; a \in A\}$, $\mathfrak{A}^0 = (A, F \cup A^0)$. It is clear that the algebraic functions of \mathfrak{A} are exactly the polynomials of \mathfrak{A}^0 .

Now, let $\varrho \in \text{Dom } \lambda$. We denote $\varrho^F = \{(u, v) \in A \times A; \text{ there exist an algebraic function } x_1 \dots x_n p \text{ and } (a_i, b_i) \in \varrho, i = 1, \dots, n, \text{ such that } a_1 \dots a_n p, b_1 \dots b_n p \text{ exist and } u = a_1 \dots a_n p, v = b_1 \dots b_n p\}$.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ be a partial algebra, $\varrho \in \text{Dom } \lambda$. Then $\varrho \lambda = \varrho^F$.*

Proof. Since $\varrho \subseteq \varrho^F \subseteq \varrho \lambda$ holds, it suffices to prove $\varrho^F \in (\mathcal{R}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{S})(\mathfrak{A})$. Let $c \in A$, $xp = (c, x) e^{1,2}$, $(a_1, a_2) \in \varrho$. Then $a_1 p = a_2 p = c$, hence ϱ^F is reflexive. Since $\varrho^F \subseteq \varrho \lambda$, ϱ^F is antisymmetric.

Let now $f \in F$, $(a_1, \dots, a_{n_f}), (b_1, \dots, b_{n_f}) \in D(f, A)$, $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_{n_f}, b_{n_f}) \in \varrho^F$, $c = a_1 \dots a_{n_f} f$, $d = b_1 \dots b_{n_f} f$. Then by the definition of ϱ^F , for an appropriate $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist m -ary polynomial symbols p_1, \dots, p_{n_f} over $F \cup A^0$ and elements $u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_m^{(1)}, v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_m^{(1)}, \dots, u_1^{(n_f)}, \dots, u_m^{(n_f)}, v_1^{(n_f)}, \dots, v_m^{(n_f)} \in A$ such that $a_1 = u_1^{(1)} \dots u_m^{(1)} p_1$, $b_1 = v_1^{(1)} \dots v_m^{(1)} p_1, \dots, a_{n_f} = u_1^{(n_f)} \dots u_m^{(n_f)} p_{n_f}$, $b_{n_f} = v_1^{(n_f)} \dots v_m^{(n_f)} p_{n_f}$. But $p_1 \dots p_{n_f} f$ is also an m -ary polynomial symbol over $F \cup A^0$ and

$$c = u_1^{(1)} \dots u_m^{(1)} p_1 \dots u_1^{(n_f)} \dots u_m^{(n_f)} p_{n_f} f,$$

$$d = v_1^{(1)} \dots v_m^{(1)} p_1 \dots v_1^{(n_f)} \dots v_m^{(n_f)} p_{n_f} f,$$

hence ϱ^F is compatible with f .

Therefore $\varrho^F \in (\mathcal{R}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{S})(\mathfrak{A})$.

3. CATEGORIES OF SYSTEMS OF Y-RELATIONS

In the sequel, Y again means a system of relational quasi-identities of the type $\langle 2 \rangle$ with the properties described before Definition 2.1.

It is known that a system of equivalences on a non-empty set A forms the system of all congruences of some partial algebra with the underlying set A if and only if it is closed under intersections of arbitrary subsystems and under unions of directed subsystems and if it contains id_A . Hence, in [1], the author studied the category the objects of which are sets together with the above described systems of equivalences.

In general, in [5] we investigated categories the objects of which are sets together with systems of X -relations. The concluding part of the paper describes some properties of analogous categories of Y -systems.

Definition 3.1. A y -system is any ordered pair (A, \mathcal{Y}) , where A is a set and $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq Y_0(A)$ is a system that is closed with respect to the intersections of non-void subsystems and under the unions of bounded directed subsystems, and that contains id_A .

Definition 3.2. Let $(A, \mathcal{Y}), (B, \mathcal{X})$ be y -systems, $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$. Then φ is called a y -morphism from (A, \mathcal{Y}) to (B, \mathcal{X}) if $\varrho\varphi^{-1} \in \mathcal{Y}$ for each $\varrho \in \mathcal{X}$.

Definition 3.3. We denote by \mathbf{Y} the category whose class of objects is exactly the class of all y -systems and whose morphisms are precisely the y -morphisms between these y -systems.

Theorem 3.1. *If $\varphi : (A, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{X}) \in \text{Mor } \mathbf{Y}$, then φ is an injection.*

Proof. Let $a_1, a_2 \in A$, $a_1 \neq a_2$, $a_1\varphi = a_2\varphi$. Then $(a_1, a_2), (a_2, a_1) \in \text{id}_B \varphi^{-1}$. But $\text{id}_B \varphi^{-1} \in \mathcal{Y}$, so it is antisymmetric, a contradiction.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\varphi : (A, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{X}) \in \text{Mor } \mathbf{Y}$. Then*

- a) φ is a monomorphism;
- b) φ is an epimorphism if and only if it is bijective.

Proof. a) Follows from Theorem 3.1.

b) Let $\varphi : (A, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{X}) \in \text{Mor } \mathbf{Y}$, $B \setminus A\varphi \neq \emptyset$. Let $b \in B \setminus A\varphi$, $d \notin B$, $D = B \cup \{d\}$, $\mathcal{U} = \{\text{id}_D\}$. Denote by $\chi_1, \chi_2 : B \rightarrow D$ such mappings that $x_1 = 1_{B,D}$, $\chi_2 \upharpoonright (B \setminus \{b\}) = \chi_1 \upharpoonright (B \setminus \{b\})$, $b\chi_2 = d$. Then $\text{id}_D \chi_1^{-1} = \text{id}_B \in \mathcal{X}$, $\text{id}_D \chi_2^{-1} = \text{id}_{B \setminus \{b\}} \cup \{(b, b)\} = \text{id}_B \in \mathcal{X}$. But this implies that φ is not an epimorphism.

Theorem 3.3. *The category \mathbf{Y} is concrete.*

Proof. Let $A = \{a\}$, $\mathcal{Y} = \{(a, a)\}$. Consider an arbitrary $(B, \mathcal{X}) \in \text{Ob } \mathbf{Y}$. Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping. Every relation of \mathcal{X} is reflexive, hence φ is a morphism from (A, \mathcal{Y}) to (B, \mathcal{X}) and hereby it is the unique extension of the mapping φ . Therefore (A, \mathcal{Y}) is a free object over \mathbf{Y} , and so \mathbf{Y} is concrete.

Lemma 3.4. *If $(A_\gamma, \mathcal{Y}_\gamma)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, are objects in \mathbf{Y} and if $\text{card } \Gamma > 1$, then the product of the objects $(A_\gamma, \mathcal{Y}_\gamma)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, exists if and only if $\text{card } A_\gamma = 1$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

Proof. Let $(A_\gamma, \mathcal{Y}_\gamma) \in \text{Ob } \mathbf{Y}$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\text{card } \Gamma > 1$ and let there exist $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ such that $\text{card } A_{\gamma_1} > 1$. Suppose that $(A, \mathcal{Y}) \in \text{Ob } \mathbf{Y}$ together with $\varphi_\gamma : (A, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow (A_\gamma, \mathcal{Y}_\gamma) \in \text{Mor } \mathbf{Y}$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, form the product of $(A_\gamma, \mathcal{Y}_\gamma)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $x, y \in A_{\gamma_1}$, $x \neq y$. Consider

$(\{a\}, \{(a, a)\}) \in \text{Ob } \mathbf{Y}$ and choose $z_\gamma \in A_\gamma$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{\gamma_1\}$. Then the mappings $\psi_1^x, \psi_1^y : \{a\} \rightarrow A_{\gamma_1}$ and $\psi_\gamma : \{a\} \rightarrow A_\gamma$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{\gamma_1\}$) defined by $a\psi_1^x = x$, $a\psi_1^y = y$ and $a\psi_\gamma = z_\gamma$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{\gamma_1\}$) are morphisms of the corresponding objects in \mathbf{Y} . Since (A, \mathcal{A}) is the product of the objects $(A_\gamma, \mathcal{A}_\gamma)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there exist unique morphisms $\psi^x, \psi^y : (\{a\}, \{(a, a)\}) \rightarrow (A, \mathcal{A}) \in \text{Mor } \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\psi^x \varphi_{\gamma_1} = \psi_1^x, \quad \psi^x \varphi_\gamma = \psi_\gamma$$

and

$$\psi^y \varphi_{\gamma_1} = \psi_1^y, \quad \psi^y \varphi_\gamma = \psi_\gamma.$$

Now, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{\gamma_1\}$ the equality $\psi^x \varphi_\gamma = \psi^y \varphi_\gamma$ holds and since φ_γ is a monomorphism, $\psi^x = \psi^y$. But this means that $\psi_1^x = \psi_1^y$, a contradiction.

Now, we immediately obtain

Theorem 3.5. *The category \mathbf{Y} is not complete.*

Now let Y contain either exactly the identity of reflexivity and the quasi-identity of antisymmetry or exactly the identity of reflexivity and the quasi-identities of antisymmetry and transitivity. Then the following theorem holds:

Theorem 3.6. *The category \mathbf{Y} is not cocomplete.*

Proof. Consider $(A_1, \mathcal{A}_1), (A_2, \mathcal{A}_2) \in \text{Ob } \mathbf{Y}$ such that $A_1 = \{a_1, a_2\}$, $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{\text{id}_{A_1}, \text{id}_{A_1} \cup \{(a_1, a_2)\}\}$, $A_2 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$, $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{\text{id}_{A_2}, \text{id}_{A_2} \cup \{(b_1, b_2), (b_1, b_3)\}\}$. Let (C, \mathcal{C}) together with $\varphi_1 : (A_1, \mathcal{A}_1) \rightarrow (C, \mathcal{C})$ and $\varphi_2 : (A_2, \mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow (C, \mathcal{C})$ be the coproduct of (A_1, \mathcal{A}_1) and (A_2, \mathcal{A}_2) in \mathbf{Y} . Since each morphism in \mathbf{Y} is an injection, $\text{card } C \geq 3$. On the other hand, there exists $(D, \mathcal{D}) \in \text{Ob } \mathbf{Y}$ such that $\text{card } D = 3$ and that the sets of morphisms from (A_1, \mathcal{A}_1) to (D, \mathcal{D}) and from (A_2, \mathcal{A}_2) to (D, \mathcal{D}) are non-empty. (For example, if $\mathcal{D} = \{\text{id}_D\}$.) Hence $\text{card } C = 3$. Moreover, $\chi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ defined by $a_1\chi = b_1$, $a_2\chi = b_2$ is a morphism from (A_1, \mathcal{A}_1) to (A_2, \mathcal{A}_2) . Therefore if $C = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$, then either $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{id}_C, \text{id}_C \cup \{(c_1, c_2), (c_1, c_3)\}\}$ or \mathcal{C} is different from this form only by a permutation on the index set $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

Let us suppose that $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{id}_C, \text{id}_C \cup \{(c_1, c_2), (c_1, c_3)\}\}$. Let $a_i\varphi_1 = c_i$, $i = 1, 2$, $b_i\varphi_2 = c_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Evidently $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{Mor } \mathbf{Y}$. Let $(D, \mathcal{D}) \in \text{Ob } \mathbf{Y}$, $D = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$, $\mathcal{D} = \{\text{id}_D, \text{id}_D \cup \{(d_1, d_2), (d_1, d_3)\}\}$. Denote $\psi_1 : A_1 \rightarrow D$, $\psi_2 : A_2 \rightarrow D$ such that $a_i\psi_1 = d_i$, $i = 1, 2$, $b_1\psi_2 = d_2$, $b_2\psi_2 = d_3$, $b_3\psi_2 = d_2$. Then ψ_1 is a morphism from (A_1, \mathcal{A}_1) to (D, \mathcal{D}) and ψ_2 is that from (A_2, \mathcal{A}_2) to (D, \mathcal{D}) . Hereby it exists $\psi : (C, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow (D, \mathcal{D}) \in \text{Mor } \mathbf{Y}$ such that $\psi_1 = \varphi_1\psi$ and $\psi_2 = \varphi_2\psi$. Indeed, $a_2\psi_1 = d_2$, $(a_2\varphi_1)\psi = c_2\psi$ and $b_2\psi_2 = d_3$, $(b_2\varphi_2)\psi = c_2\psi$, hence $d_2 = d_3$, a contradiction.

Similarly we obtain a contradiction for all other injections of A_1 and A_2 into C .

In the sequel, Y again means a general system of quasi-identities.

Theorem 3.7. *The category \mathbf{Y} has no injective objects.*

Proof. Suppose that $(A, \mathcal{Y}) \in \text{Ob } \mathbf{Y}$. Let $b \notin A$, $B = A \cup \{b\}$, $\mathcal{X} = \{\varrho \cup \{(b, b)\}; \varrho \in \mathcal{Y}\}$. It is evident that $(B, \mathcal{X}) \in \text{Ob } \mathbf{Y}$. Let us consider the mappings $1_A : A \rightarrow A$ and $1_{A,B} : A \rightarrow B$. Then $1_A : (A, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow (A, \mathcal{Y})$, $1_{A,B} : (A, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{X}) \in \text{Mor } \mathbf{Y}$ and $1_{A,B}$ is a monomorphism. However, there exists no injection $\varphi : B \rightarrow A$ for which $1_{A,B}\varphi = 1_A$, hence there exists no morphism from (B, \mathcal{X}) to (A, \mathcal{Y}) with this property. Therefore (A, \mathcal{Y}) is not injective in \mathbf{Y} .

Theorem 3.8. *An object (A, \mathcal{Y}) is projective in \mathbf{Y} if and only if $\mathcal{Y} = Y_0(A)$.*

Proof. Let $(A, \mathcal{Y}) \in \text{Ob } \mathbf{Y}$ and let $\mathcal{Y} \neq Y_0(A)$. Clearly $(A, Y_0(A)) \in \text{Ob } \mathbf{Y}$. Let $\varphi = \psi = 1_A$. Then $\varphi : (A, Y_0(A)) \rightarrow (A, \mathcal{Y})$, $\psi : (A, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow (A, Y_0(A)) \in \text{Mor } \mathbf{Y}$ and φ is an epimorphism. But $\chi = 1_A$ is not a morphism from (A, \mathcal{Y}) to $(A, Y_0(A))$, hence (A, \mathcal{Y}) is not projective. Therefore if $(A, \mathcal{Y}) \in \text{Ob } \mathbf{Y}$ is projective, then $\mathcal{Y} = Y_0(A)$.

Let A be any set, $(B, \mathcal{X}), (C, \mathcal{Z}) \in \text{Ob } \mathbf{Y}$, $\varphi : (B, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow (C, \mathcal{Z})$, $\psi : (A, Y_0(A)) \rightarrow (C, \mathcal{Z}) \in \text{Mor } \mathbf{Y}$ and let φ be an epimorphism. Then ψ is an injection, φ is a bijection and $\mathcal{X} \supseteq \{\varrho\varphi^{-1}; \varrho \in \mathcal{Z}\}$. If this is the case, then the mapping $\chi : A \rightarrow B$ defined by $a\chi = a\psi\varphi^{-1}$ is an injection, and thus the coimage of each relation from \mathcal{X} is a Y -relation on A . Hence χ is a morphism from $(A, Y_0(A))$ to (B, \mathcal{X}) and $\chi\varphi = \psi$ holds. Therefore $(A, Y_0(A))$ is projective in \mathbf{Y} .

Theorem 3.9. a) *In the category \mathbf{Y} no separator exists.*

b) *$(S, \mathcal{U}) \in \text{Ob } \mathbf{Y}$ is a coseparator in \mathbf{Y} if and only if $\text{card } S = 1$.*

Proof. a) Follows from the fact that each morphism in \mathbf{Y} is an injection.

b) If $\varphi, \psi : (A, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{X}) \in \text{Mor } \mathbf{Y}$ are such that $\varphi \neq \psi$, then $A \neq \emptyset$. Hence again Theorem 3.1 implies that if (S, \mathcal{U}) is a coseparator in \mathbf{Y} , then $\text{card } S = 1$. Let $\varphi, \psi : (A, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{X}) \in \text{Mor } \mathbf{Y}$, $a \in A$, $a\varphi \neq a\psi$ and let $S = \{s\}$. Then the mapping $\xi : S \rightarrow A$ defined by $s\xi = a$ is a morphism from (S, \mathcal{U}) to (A, \mathcal{Y}) and $\xi\varphi \neq \xi\psi$.

References

- [1] *M. Armbrust*: Directed limits of congruence systems, *Colloq. Math.* 27 (1973), 177—185.
- [2] *M. Š. Calenko, E. G. Šulgeifer*: Foundations of the theory of categories (Russian), Moscow 1974.
- [3] *G. Grätzer*: Universal algebra, Princeton 1968.
- [4] *A. I. Malcev*: Algebraic systems (Russian), Moscow 1970.
- [5] *J. Rachůnek*: Categories of systems of X -relations, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* 16 (1980), 175—184.
- [6] *E. T. Schmidt*: Kongruenzrelationen algebraischer Strukturen, Berlin 1969.
- [7] *Z. Semadeni, A. Wiweger*: Introduction into the theory of categories and functors (Polish), Warsaw 1978.

Author's address: 771 46 Olomouc, Leninova 26, ČSSR (Přírodovědecká fakulta UP).