

Irena Rachůnková

On a Kneser problem for a system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 31 (1981), No. 1, 114–126

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101730>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON A KNESER PROBLEM FOR A SYSTEM OF NONLINEAR
ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

IRENA RACHŮNKOVÁ, Olomouc

(Received September 27, 1979)

This paper deals with a problem regarding the existence of a solution of the differential system

$$(0.1) \quad \frac{dx_i}{dt} = f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

defined in $[0, +\infty[$ and satisfying the conditions

$$(0.2) \quad \varphi(x_1(0), \dots, x_n(0)) = 0, \quad x_i(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Problems of such a type for differential equations of the 2nd and higher orders have been studied in [1–5]. Let us recall at this point the works [6], [7] and [8] dealing with analogous problems for differential systems. Unlike [6–8], the existence theorems for (0.1), (0.2) proved in this paper refer to the case when the functions f_1, \dots, f_n change their signs.

1. FORMULATION OF THE EXISTENCE THEOREMS

In what follows

$$\mathbb{R} =]-\infty, +\infty[, \quad \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty[;$$

$L(I)$ is the set of real functions Lebesgue integrable on I ;

$L_{\text{loc}}(I)$ is the set of real functions Lebesgue integrable on each compact interval contained in I ;

$$D_n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)\};$$

$$D_{nm}(r) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D_n : x_i \leq r \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)\}.$$

By writing

$$f \in K_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times D_n)$$

we indicate that the function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times D_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the local Carathéodory conditions, i.e.

$$f(\cdot, x_1, \dots, x_n) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable for arbitrary } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D_n,$$

$$f(t, \cdot, \dots, \cdot) : D_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is continuous for almost all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

and

$$\sup \{|f(\cdot, x_1, \dots, x_n)| : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D_{nm}(\varrho)\} \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$$

for arbitrary $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

We assume throughout the paper that $n \geq 2$, $f_i \in K_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times D_n)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and $\varphi : D_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. We seek solutions of the problem (0.1), (0.2) in the class of the vector functions $(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow D_n$ which are absolutely continuous on each compact interval contained in \mathbb{R}_+ . The existence theorems proved below refer to such cases when f_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) satisfy the conditions

$$(1.1) \quad f_i(t, 0, \dots, 0) = 0, \quad f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \leq 0$$

$$\text{for each } t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \in D_{n-1} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and φ satisfies the conditions

$$(1.2_m) \quad \varphi(0, \dots, 0) < 0, \quad \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0 \quad \text{for } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D_n, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m x_i > r$$

with $r \in]0, +\infty[$ and $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and let the conditions (1.1) and (1.2_m) be fulfilled. Further, let there exist $a \in]0, +\infty[$ and $m_0 \in \{m, \dots, n-1\}$ such that on the set $[0, a] \times D_{nm}(r)$ the following inequalities hold:*

$$(1.3) \quad f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, m_0),$$

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m_k} f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq -\delta(x_{m+k}) \quad (k = 1, \dots, n-m),$$

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{i=m+1}^n |f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq h(t) \sum_{i=m+1}^n (1 + x_i)$$

and on the set $[a, +\infty[\times D_n$ the inequality

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq h(t) \sum_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i)$$

is satisfied, where $m_k = \min \{m_0, m+k-1\}$, $h \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\delta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \delta(x) = +\infty.$$

Then the problem (0.1), (0.2) is solvable.

Remark. The above theorem generalizes Theorem 1 from [8].

Theorem 1.2. Let the conditions (1.1) and (1.2_n) be fulfilled. On the set $\mathbb{R}_+ \times D_n$ let the inequality

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq g(t, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$$

be satisfied, where $g \in K_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times D_1)$, and let the Cauchy problem

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{du}{dt} = g(t, u), \quad u(0) = r$$

have an upper solution u^* defined on the whole \mathbb{R}_+ . Then the problem (0.1), (0.2) is solvable.

Corollary. Let the conditions (1.1) and (1.2_n) be fulfilled and let on the set $\mathbb{R}_+ \times D_n$ the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq h(t) \sum_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i)$$

be satisfied with $h \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Then the problem (0.1), (0.2) is solvable.

Remark. If f_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are negative functions and $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, then the last proposition leads to one theorem due to Hartman-Wintner-Coffman [6, 7].

2. LEMMAS ON A PRIORI ESTIMATES

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $m_0 \in \{m, \dots, n-1\}$, $m_k = \min \{m_0, m+k-1\}$ ($k = 1, \dots, n-m$), $0 < a < +\infty$, $0 < r < +\infty$. Let $h \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be a nonnegative function and let $a_* \in]0, a[$ satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^{a_*} h(\tau) d\tau < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let further $\delta_0 : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a nondecreasing continuous function satisfying the condition

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \delta_0(x) > \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^s r_k \quad (s = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m_0 - m),$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \frac{a_*}{m_0 - m + 1}, \quad r_0 = r, \quad r_k = \delta_0^{-1} \left(\frac{r + r_1 + \dots + r_{k-1}}{\varepsilon} \right)$$

($k = 1, \dots, m_0 - m$) and δ_0^{-1} is the inverse function to δ_0 . Then there exists $r^* > r$

such that for arbitrary $b > a$ and for arbitrary absolutely continuous functions $x_i : [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) the inequalities

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m x_i(0) \leq r, \quad x_i(t) \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq b,$$

$$(2.4) \quad x'_i(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a \quad (i = 1, \dots, m_0),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m_k} x'_i(t) \leq -\delta_0(x_{m+k}(t)) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a \quad (k = 1, \dots, n-m),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{i=m+1}^n |x'_i(t)| \leq h(t) \sum_{i=m+1}^n (1 + x_i(t)) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i(t) \leq h(t) \sum_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i(t)) \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b$$

imply the estimate

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(t) \leq r^* \exp \left[\int_0^t h(\tau) d\tau \right] \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq b.$$

Proof. By (2.3) and (2.4) it holds that

$$(2.9) \quad 0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m x_i(t) \leq r \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a.$$

From (2.4), (2.5) and (2.9) we get (for $m_0 > m$)

$$r \geq - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^\varepsilon x'_i(\tau) d\tau \geq \int_0^\varepsilon \delta_0(x_{m+1}(\tau)) d\tau \geq \varepsilon \delta_0(x_{m+1}(\varepsilon)).$$

This implies

$$0 \leq x_{m+1}(t) \leq x_{m+1}(\varepsilon) \leq r_1 \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \leq t \leq a.$$

Similarly (for $m_0 > m + 1$)

$$r + r_1 + \dots + r_{k-1} \geq - \sum_{i=1}^{m_k} \int_{(k-1)\varepsilon}^{k\varepsilon} x'_i(\tau) d\tau \geq \int_{(k-1)\varepsilon}^{k\varepsilon} \delta_0(x_{m+k}(\tau)) d\tau \geq \varepsilon \delta_0(x_{m+k}(k\varepsilon))$$

and

$$0 \leq x_{m+k}(t) \leq x_{m+k}(k\varepsilon) \leq r_k \quad \text{for } k\varepsilon \leq t \leq a \quad (k = 2, \dots, m_0 - m).$$

If we put

$$\varrho_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{m_0-m} r_k$$

then we get (for $m_0 \geq m$)

$$(2.10) \quad 0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} x_i(t) \leq \varrho_0 \quad \text{for } (m_0 - m)\varepsilon \leq t \leq a.$$

Multiplying (2.5) (for $k = m_0 + 1 - m, \dots, n - m$) by -1 and integrating from $(m_0 - m)\varepsilon$ to a_* we have in accordance with (2.10)

$$\varrho_0 \geq \int_{(m_0 - m)\varepsilon}^{a_*} \delta_0(x_i(t)) dt \quad (i = m_0 + 1, \dots, n).$$

This implies the existence of points

$$t_i \in [(m_0 - m)\varepsilon, a_*] \quad (i = m_0 + 1, \dots, n)$$

such that

$$(2.11) \quad 0 \leq x_i(t_i) \leq \delta_0^{-1} \left(\frac{\varrho_0}{\varepsilon} \right) \quad (i = m_0 + 1, \dots, n).$$

Put

$$t_i = a_* \quad (i = m + 1, \dots, m_0),$$

$$\varrho_1 = \varrho_0 + n\delta_0^{-1} \left(\frac{\varrho_0}{\varepsilon} \right).$$

Then (2.10) and (2.11) imply

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{i=m+1}^n x_i(t_i) \leq \varrho_1.$$

From (2.6) and (2.12) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=m+1}^n x_i(t) \leq \varrho_1 + \int_0^{a_*} h(\tau) \sum_{i=m+1}^n (1 + x_i(\tau)) d\tau \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a_*.$$

If we denote

$$\varrho^* = \max \left\{ \sum_{i=m+1}^n (1 + x_i(t)) : 0 \leq t \leq a_* \right\}$$

then we get from the last inequality with respect to (2.1) the relation

$$\varrho^* \leq \varrho_1 + n + \frac{\varrho^*}{2}$$

and thus

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{i=m+1}^n (1 + x_i(t)) \leq \varrho^* \leq 2(\varrho_1 + n) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a_*.$$

(2.6) and (2.13) imply the inequality

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{i=m+1}^n (1 + x_i(t)) \leq 2(\varrho_1 + n) \exp \left[\int_{a_*}^t h(\tau) d\tau \right] \quad \text{for } a_* \leq t \leq a.$$

In accordance with (2.9), (2.13) and (2.14) we have

$$(2.15) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i(t)) \leq r^* \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a$$

(remember that $\varrho_1 > \varrho_0 > r$),

where

$$r^* = 3(\varrho_1 + n) \exp \left[\int_0^a h(\tau) d\tau \right].$$

Integrating (2.7) from a to t we get by (2.15)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i(t)) \leq r^* \exp \left[\int_a^t h(\tau) d\tau \right] \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b.$$

Thus, we can conclude that the estimate (2.8) is valid, where r^* is constant independent both of (x_1, \dots, x_n) and of b .

By means of Lemma on differential inequalities (see [9], p. 48, Lemma 4.3) we obtain

Lemma 2.2. *Let $g \in K_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times D_1)$ and let the problem (1.9) have an upper solution u^* defined on the whole \mathbb{R}_+ . Then for arbitrary $b > 0$ and for arbitrary absolutely continuous functions $x_i : [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) satisfying the inequalities*

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(0) \leq r, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i'(t) \leq g(t, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(t)) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq b$$

the estimate

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(t) \leq u^*(t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq b$$

holds.

3. LEMMA ON SOLVABILITY OF A CERTAIN AUXILIARY BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

In what follows we will use the following

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $f_{ip} \in K_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times D_n)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$, $p = 1, 2, \dots$) and the following relations are satisfied on the set $\mathbb{R}_+ \times D_n$:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |f_{ip}(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq f_0(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (p = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} f_{ip}(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

where $f_0 \in K_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times D_n)$. For each natural p let the differential system

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = f_{ip}(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

have a solution (x_1, \dots, x_n) satisfying (0.2) and let $\psi(t) \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be such that the inequality

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{ip}(t)| : p = 1, 2, \dots \right\} \leq \psi(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

holds. Then the sequence of the vector functions $\{(x_{1p}, \dots, x_{np})\}_{p=1}^{+\infty}$ contains a uniformly converging subsequence such that its limit is a solution of the problem (0.1), (0.2).

Proof. See [9], p. 43–48.

For the system (0.1) we consider an auxiliary boundary value problem

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi(x_1(0), \dots, x_n(0)) = 0, \quad x_i(b) = 0 \quad (i = 2, 3, \dots, n),$$

where $b > 0$.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that the conditions (1.1) and (1.2₁) hold and

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq h^*(t) \sum_{i=2}^n (1 + x_i)$$

on the set $[0, b] \times D_n$, where $h^* \in L([0, b])$. Then the problem (0.1), (3.1) has at least one solution (x_1, \dots, x_n) such that

$$(3.3) \quad x_i(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq b \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Proof. First let us prove Lemma under the additional assumption that the right-hand sides of the system (0.1) satisfy the local Lipschitz conditions with respect to their last n arguments, i.e., for arbitrary $\varrho > 0$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) - f_i(t, y_1, \dots, y_n)| \leq l_\varrho(t) \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|$$

for $0 \leq t \leq b$, $0 \leq x_i \leq \varrho$, $0 \leq y_i \leq \varrho$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$),

where $l_\varrho \in L([0, b])$.

Put

$$\sigma(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } s \leq 0, \\ s & \text{for } s > 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.5) \quad \tilde{f}_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_i(t, \sigma(x_1), \dots, \sigma(x_n)) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and consider the system

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{dx_i}{dt} = \tilde{f}_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

under initial conditions

$$(3.7) \quad x_1(b) = \alpha, \quad x_i(b) = 0 \quad (i = 2, \dots, n).$$

According to (3.2) and (3.4), for arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ the problem (3.6), (3.7) has a unique solution $(x_1(\cdot; \alpha), \dots, x_n(\cdot; \alpha))$ defined on the whole segment $[0, b]$.

Put

$$k_i(\alpha, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \tilde{f}_i(t, x_1(t; \alpha), \dots, x_n(t; \alpha)),$$

$$l_i(t; \alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{k_i(\alpha, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - k_i(\alpha, x_1, \dots, x_n)}{x_i(t; \alpha)} & \text{for } x_i(t; \alpha) \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x_i(t; \alpha) = 0 \end{cases}$$

($i = 1, \dots, n$). Using (1.1) and (3.5) we have

$$\frac{dx_i(t; \alpha)}{dt} \leq -l_i(t; \alpha) x_i(t; \alpha) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq b \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Consequently

$$x_1(t; \alpha) \geq \alpha \exp \left[\int_t^b l_1(\tau; \alpha) d\tau \right] \geq 0, \quad x_i(t; \alpha) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq b, \quad \alpha \geq 0$$

$$(i = 2, \dots, n).$$

This implies that the vector function $(x_1(\cdot; \alpha), \dots, x_n(\cdot; \alpha))$ is a solution of the system (0.1) for arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$. On the other hand, the relation (3.2) implies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=2}^n [1 + x_i(t; \alpha)] \leq -h^*(t) \sum_{i=2}^n (1 + x_i(t; \alpha)) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq b, \quad \alpha \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{i=2}^n [1 + x_i(t; \alpha)] \leq \sum_{i=2}^n [1 + x_i(b; \alpha)] \exp \left[\int_t^b h^*(\tau) d\tau \right] \leq n \exp \left[\int_0^b h^*(\tau) d\tau \right]$$

$$\text{for } 0 \leq t \leq b, \quad \alpha \geq 0.$$

Put

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha) = \varphi(x_1(0; \alpha), \dots, x_n(0; \alpha)),$$

$$\alpha^* = r + n \int_0^b h^*(\tau) d\tau \cdot \exp \left[\int_0^b h^*(\tau) d\tau \right].$$

Following (3.2) and (3.8),

$$x_1(0; \alpha^*) = \alpha^* - \int_0^b f_1(\tau, x_1(\tau, \alpha^*), \dots, x_n(\tau, \alpha^*)) d\tau \geq$$

$$\geq \alpha^* - \int_0^b h^*(\tau) \sum_{i=2}^n [1 + x_i(\tau; \alpha^*)] d\tau \geq$$

$$\geq \alpha^* - n \int_0^b h^*(\tau) d\tau \cdot \exp \left[\int_0^b h^*(\tau) d\tau \right] = r.$$

Thus, it follows from (1.2₁) that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha^*) \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is continuous on $[0, \alpha^*]$ and

$$\tilde{\varphi}(0) = \varphi(x_1(0, 0), \dots, x_n(0, 0)) = \varphi(0, 0, \dots, 0) < 0.$$

So there exists $\alpha_0 \in]0, \alpha^*]$ such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha_0) = 0.$$

Obviously, $(x_1(\cdot; \alpha_0), \dots, x_n(\cdot; \alpha_0))$ is a solution of the problem (0.1), (3.1) and it satisfies the conditions (3.3). To complete the proof of Lemma we must get rid of the additional assumption (3.4). Let \tilde{f}_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be the functions given by the identities (3.5) and let $\omega_m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) be the sequence of continuously differentiable functions such that

$$\omega_m(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq \frac{1}{m}, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega_m(x) dx = 1 \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} g_{im}(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega_m(y_1 - x_1) dy_1 \dots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega_m(y_n - x_n) \tilde{f}_i(t, y_1, \dots, y_n) dy_n \\ &\quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \\ h_{im}(t, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) &= \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega_m(y_1 - x_1) dy_1 \dots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega_m(y_{i-1} - x_{i-1}) dy_{i-1} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega_m(y_{i+1} - x_{i+1}) dy_{i+1} \dots \\ &\quad \dots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega_m(y_n - x_n) \tilde{f}_i(t, y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, 0, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n) dy_n \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1), \\ h_{nm}(t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &= \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega_m(y_1 - x_1) dy_1 \dots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega_m(y_{n-2} - x_{n-2}) dy_{n-2} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega_m(y_{n-1} - x_{n-1}) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \tilde{f}_n(t, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, 0) dy_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{im}(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= g_{im}(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) - g_{im}(t, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - \\ &\quad - |h_{im}(t, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - h_{im}(t, 0, \dots, 0)| \quad (i = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (3.9) \quad f_{im}(t, 0, \dots, 0) &= 0, \quad f_{im}(t, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \leq 0 \\ &\quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq b, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |f_{im}(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)| = 4h^*(t) \sum_{i=2}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{m} + |x_i|\right) = 8h^*(t) \sum_{i=2}^n (1 + |x_i|)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq b$, $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Moreover, for any $t \in [0, +\infty[$

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} f_{im}(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \tilde{f}_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

uniformly on each bounded set of the space \mathbb{R}^n . It is obvious from the structure of the functions f_{im} ($i = 1, \dots, n$) that these functions satisfy the local Lipschitz conditions with respect to their last n arguments. Thus in accordance with the results proved above, for each natural m the system

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{dx_i}{dt} = f_{im}(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

has a solution (x_{1m}, \dots, x_{nm}) which satisfies (3.1) and (3.3). Using (1.2₁), (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) we can prove that the systems (3.12) and their solutions (x_{1m}, \dots, x_{nm}) satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.1 and thus the sequence of the vector functions $\{(x_{1m}, \dots, x_{nm})\}_{m=1}^{+\infty}$ contains a subsequence uniformly convergent on $[0, b]$. The limit of the subsequence is a solution of the problem (0.1), (3.1).

4. PROOFS OF THE EXISTENCE THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let r^* , ε , r_k ($k = 0, \dots, m_0 - m$) be the constants appearing in Lemma 2.1. Choose a number $c_0 \in]r, +\infty[$ and a nondecreasing continuous function $\delta_0 : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\delta(x) \geq \delta_0(x) \quad \text{for } x \geq 0$$

and

$$\delta_0(x) = \delta_0(c_0) > \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^{m_0-m} r_k \quad \text{for } x \geq c_0.$$

Put

$$\varrho(t) = r^* \exp \left[\int_0^t h(\tau) d\tau \right] + c_0,$$

$$\sigma(t, s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq \varrho(t), \\ \varrho(t) & \text{for } s > \varrho(t), \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{f}_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_i(t, \sigma(t, x_1), \dots, \sigma(t, x_n)) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and consider the differential system

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{dx_i}{dt} = \tilde{f}_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

The definition of \tilde{f}_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) together with the conditions (1.3)–(1.6) yields

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{f}_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$\text{for } t \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \varrho(t), \quad x_i \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{f}_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, m_0),$$

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m_k} \tilde{f}_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq -\delta_0(x_{m+k}) \quad (k = 1, \dots, n - m),$$

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{i=m+1}^n |\tilde{f}_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq h(t) \sum_{i=m+1}^n (1 + x_i)$$

$$\text{for } (t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, a] \times D_{nm}(r)$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{f}_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = h(t) \sum_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i(t))$$

$$\text{for } (t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [a, +\infty[\times D_n.$$

Further

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |\tilde{f}_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq h^*(t) \quad \text{for } (t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, b] \times D_n,$$

where

$$h^*(t) = \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)| : 0 \leq x_i \leq \varrho(t), (i = 1, \dots, n) \right\}$$

and $h^* \in L_{loc}([0, +\infty[)$.

According to Lemma 3.2, for each natural p the system (4.1) has a solution (x_{1p}, \dots, x_{np}) defined on $[0, a + p]$ and satisfying the conditions

$$(4.8) \quad \varphi(x_{1p}(0), \dots, x_{np}(0)) = 0, \quad x_{ip}(t) \geq 0$$

$$\text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a + p \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

(1.3) and (1.2_m) imply

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ip}(t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ip}(0) \leq r \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a.$$

On the other hand, since (4.3)–(4.6) hold we have

$$(4.10) \quad x'_{ip}(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a \quad (i = 1, \dots, m_0).$$

$$(4.11) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m_k} x'_{i_p}(t) \leq -\delta_0(x_{m+k,p}(t)) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a \quad (k = 1, \dots, n - m),$$

$$(4.12) \quad \sum_{i=m+1}^n |x'_{i_p}(t)| \leq h(t) \sum_{i=m+1}^n (1 + x_{i_p}(t)) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a$$

and

$$(4.13) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x'_{i_p}(t) \leq h(t) \sum_{i=1}^n (1 + x_{i_p}(t)) \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq a + p.$$

On the basis of Lemma 2.1 we get from (4.8)–(4.13) the estimate

$$(4.14) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i_p}(t) \leq r^* \exp \left[\int_0^t h(\tau) d\tau \right] \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a + p.$$

We can deduce from (4.2) and (4.14) that (x_{1p}, \dots, x_{np}) is a solution of the system (0.1) on $[0, a + p]$.

Taking (4.7) and (4.14) into consideration we can prove (by Lemma 3.1) that from the sequence of the vector functions $\{(x_{1p}, \dots, x_{np})\}_{p=1}^{+\infty}$ we can choose a subsequence $\{(x_{1p_m}, \dots, x_{np_m})\}_{m=1}^{+\infty}$ such that this subsequence converges uniformly on each segment from $[0, +\infty[$ and

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (x_{1p_m}, \dots, x_{np_m})$$

is a solution of the system (0.1) on $[0, +\infty[$. On the other hand, it is obvious from (4.8) that (x_1, \dots, x_n) satisfies the conditions (0.2).

Proof of theorem 1.2. Put

$$\sigma(t, s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq u^*(t), \\ 2 - \frac{s}{u^*(t)} & \text{for } u^*(t) < s < 2u^*(t), \\ 0 & \text{for } s \geq 2u^*(t), \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{f}_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sigma(t, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

where u^* is an upper solution of the problem (1.9) and consider the differential system

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{dx_i}{dt} = \tilde{f}_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

The definition of \tilde{f}_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) together with (1.8) implies that

$$(4.16) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{f}_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq g(t, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$$

on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times D_n$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\hat{f}_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq f^*(t),$$

where

$$f^*(t) = \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)| : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq 2 u^*(t) \right\}$$

and $f^* \in L_{\text{loc}}([0, +\infty[)$.

According to Lemma 3.2, for each natural p the system (4.15) has a solution (x_{1p}, \dots, x_{np}) defined on $[0, a + p]$ and satisfying (4.8). Further, using (1.2_n) and (4.16) we get

$$(4.17) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ip}(0) \leq r, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x'_{ip}(t) \leq g(t, \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ip}(t)) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a + p.$$

From (4.17) by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ip}(t) \leq u^*(t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a + p.$$

The rest of the proof is analogous to that of Theorem 1.1.

References

- [1] *A. Kneser*: Untersuchung und asymptotische Darstellung der Integrale gewisser Differentialgleichungen bei grossen reellen Werthen des Arguments, Journ. für die reine und angew. Math., 116 (1896), 178—212.
- [2] *A. Mambriani*: Sul un teorema relative alle equazioni differenziali ordinarie del 2^{do} ordine, Rend. R. Acc. Naz. dei Lincei, 9 (1929), 620—622.
- [3] *P. Hartman, A. Wintner*: On the non-increasing solutions of $y'' = f(x, y, y')$, Amer. Journ. of Math., 73 (1951), 390—404.
- [4] *I. T. Kiguradze*: On the non-negative non-increasing solutions of non-linear second order differential equations, Ann. di Mat. pura ed appl., 81 (1969), 169—192.
- [5] *I. T. Kiguradze*: O monotonných řešeních nelinejnych obyknovenných diferencial'nych uravnenij n -go porjadka, Izv. AN SSSR, ser. matem., 33 (1969), 1373—1398.
- [6] *P. Hartman, A. Wintner*: On monotone solutions of systems of non-linear differential equations, Amer. Journ. of Math., 76 (1954), 860—866.
- [7] *C. V. Coffman*: Non-linear differential equations on cones in Banach spaces, Pacific Journ. Math., 14 (1964), 9—16.
- [8] *T. A. Čanturija*: O zadače tipa Knesera dlja sistemy obyknovenných diferencial'nych uravnenij, Matem. zametki, 15 (1974), 897—906.
- [9] *I. T. Kiguradze*: Někotoryje singuljarnyje krajevnye zadači dlja obyknovenných diferencial'nych uravnenij, Izd. Tbilisskogo univ., Tbilisi, 1975.

Author's address: 771 46 Olomouc, Gottwaldova 15, ČSSR (Přírodovědecká fakulta UP).