

James Osterburg

The coefficient ring of the skew group ring

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 29 (1979), No. 1, 144–147

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101587>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

THE COEFFICIENT RING OF THE SKEW GROUP RING

JAMES OSTERBURG, Cincinnati

(Received August 10, 1977)

We let R be an associative ring with an identity (unless explicitly stated otherwise). We let G be a finite group of automorphisms of R . We consider two rings associated with R and G . The first is the fixed ring of R under G , $R^G = \{r \text{ in } R \mid r^g = r \text{ for all } g \text{ in } G\}$. The second is the skew group ring or the crossed product, $R * G$, which as a left R module is free with basis $\{u_g \mid g \in G\}$ and $u_g r = r^g u_g$. Now R can be viewed as a left $R * G$ module by defining $\sum_G x_g u_g r = \sum_G x_g r^g$, x_g, r in R . We call a left $R * G$ submodule of R a G -invariant left ideal of R . By the trace of R , $t(R)$, we mean the collection of all elements of R^G of the form $\sum_G r^g$, r in R . $t(R)$ is a two-sided ideal of R^G . Finally, the map that associates $\sum_G x_g u_g$ in $R * G$ to the right R^G homomorphism $f(r) = \sum_G x_g r^g$, r in R is a ring homomorphism from $R * G$ to $\text{End}(R_{R^G})$.

Now $f: R * G \rightarrow R$, $f(\sum_G x_g u_g) = \sum_G x_g$ is a left $R * G$, right R map. Unlike the group ring f is not a ring map, but R is a left $R * G$ homomorphic image of $R * G$. Also the map from R to $R * G$ that sends r to $r(u_1 + u_g + \dots + u_n)$ is a left $R * G$ map. So R is a left $R * G$ submodule of $R * G$.

In [4, Theorem 2.8], J. FISHER and J. OSTERBURG showed that if R^G has the ACC on semiprime ideals, then so does R , as long as $|G|$ is invertible in R .

Theorem 1. *Assume that G is a finite abelian group such that the order of G is invertible in R . If $R * G$ satisfies the ACC on semiprime ideals, then R satisfies the ACC on semiprime ideals.*

Proof. Let $A_1 \subseteq A_2, \dots \subseteq A_i$ be an ascending chain of G -invariant semiprime ideals of R . Then $(R * G) A_1 = A_1(R * G) \subseteq (R * G) A_2 = A_2(R * G) \dots \subseteq (R * G) A_i = A_i(R * G)$ is an ascending chain of two-sided ideals of $R * G$. Now $(R * G) A_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, is a semiprime ideal of $R * G$. Since A_i is G -invariant for each i , G acts on R/A_i . In fact, the map from $R * G$ to $(R/A_i) * G$ that associates $r_g u_g$ to $(r_g + A_i) u_g$ is an epimorphism with kernel $A_i(R * G)$. Now we have $(R/A_i) * G = R * G / (R * G) A_i$. Since G is abelian and R/A_i is semiprime with no order of G torsion, we use [8, Proposition 3.3] to conclude that $(R * G) A_i$ is a semiprime ideal of $R * G$.

By the hypothesis of the theorem, we conclude that the chain of ideals in $R * G$ terminates; hence, we have shown that every chain of G -invariant semiprime ideals of R terminates. Using a result of Joe W. Fisher in [6], we conclude that this implies the ACC on semiprime ideals in R .

The next result is true even if there is order of G torsion, i.e., there is $r \neq 0$ in R such that $|G|r = 0$.

It is shown in [6] that if $R * G$ is Artinian or Noetherian, then R is Artinian or Noetherian (respectively). The if part of the following theorem is due to D. HANDELMAN, J. LAWRENCE, W. SCHELTER [8, Theorem 3.5c]. Our proof is slightly different.

Theorem 2. *Assume that R has no $|G|$ -torsion. Then $R * G$ is a semiprime Goldie ring if and only if R is a semiprime Goldie ring. Moreover, if the quotient ring of R is Q , then the quotient ring of $R * G$ is $Q * G$, the skew group ring of G with Q .*

Proof. Assume R is semiprime Goldie and Q is the quotient ring. Since $|G|$ is regular in R , it is invertible in Q . The action of G in R can be extended to Q by taking $(a^{-1}b)^g = (a^g)^{-1}b^g$. It is easy to see that $R * G$ is an order in $Q * G$.

Since $Q * G$ is f.g. over Q , it is Artinian. All we need to do is show that the Jacobson radical of $Q * G$ is 0. This follows from the fact that $|G|$ is invertible in Q , so $Q * G$ and Q form a projective pair [5, Theorem 3, p. 99]. In this case, the Jacobson radical of $Q * G$ is zero by [12, Theorem 16.3, p. 65]. Thus $R * G$ is an order in a semisimple ring; hence, R is semiprime Goldie.

Now to the converse. We show first that R is semiprime, if $R * G$ is semiprime. Let I be an ideal of R such that $I^2 = 0$. Let $A = I + I^g + \dots + I^h$, $G = \{1, g, \dots, h\}$, then A is G -invariant and $AR * G$ is an ideal of $R * G$. It is easy to see that a power of this ideal is 0. So $I = 0$.

If $R * G$ is semiprime Goldie, then R when viewed as a subring of $R * G$ inherits the ACC on left annihilators. By considering R as a left $R * G$ submodule of $R * G$, we see that R has finite Goldie dimension as an $R * G$ module. By [4, Corollary 1.3], we conclude R is Goldie.

For each g in G , we let $C_g = \{r \in R \mid rx = x^g r \text{ for all } x \text{ in } R\}$. Now C_1 is the center of R and each C_g is a module over C_1 . We say g is *inner*, if C_g contains a regular element, r . Note $rx = x^g r$ is the left common multiple property. Thus if C_g contains a regular element we can form a classical quotient ring that contains r^{-1} . In this quotient ring $x^g = rxr^{-1}$. We call an automorphism *outer*, if it is not inner. G is called outer, if every automorphism, except the identity, is outer. In our next result, we allow G torsion.

Theorem 3. *Let R be a prime Goldie ring and G an outer group of automorphisms of R . Then $R * G$ is a prime Goldie ring.*

Proof. Put Q equal to the quotient ring of R . As usual, we extend the action of G to Q . Since regular elements of Q are invertible in Q , G remains outer as a group of

automorphisms of Q . By [8, Proposition 1.1], the skew group ring of Q with G , $Q * G$ is simple. Thus $R * G$ is an order of $Q * G$, a simple Artinian ring; hence, $R * G$ is prime Goldie.

The following example shows that the converse is not quite true. Let $R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, \mathbb{Z} the integers, a semiprime Goldie ring with quotient ring $T = \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$, \mathbb{Q} the rationals. Let $g(a, b) = (b, a)$ and $G = \langle g \rangle$. Now $T * G$ is simple Artinian, hence $R * G$ is prime Goldie, but R is not prime.

In [9, p. 350], V. K. KHARCHENKO defined the notion of G -prime, if A, B are G -invariant ideals of R such that $AB = 0$, then $A = 0$ or $B = 0$. Furthermore, R is G -prime if and only if $\bigcap_G P^g = 0$, P a prime ideal of R . We note that R is G -prime means R is a subdirect sum of G isomorphic prime rings. See [9, Lemma 1, p. 450].

Theorem 4. *Let $R * G$ be a prime Goldie ring, then R is a G -prime Goldie ring. So R is semiprime Goldie.*

Proof. Just as the proof of Theorem 3.

The left Krull dimension of R we denote by $K \dim R$. The reader should consult [7] for all of the relevant facts concerning Krull dimension.

Theorem 5. *Assume $|G|$ is invertible in R . Then R is semiprime with Krull dimension if and only if $R * G$ is semiprime with Krull dimension.*

Proof. (only if) By [7, Corollary 3.4, p. 20] R is semiprime Goldie. Thus by Theorem 2 $R * G$ is semiprime. Since $R * G$ has Krull dimension as a left R module, it has Krull dimension as a left $R * G$ module.

(if) Clearly as a left $R * G$ module R has Krull dimension. Since $|G|$ is invertible in R , we conclude that the fixed ring has Krull dimension by [5, Theorem 2.2, p. 104]. Now, D. FARKAS and R. SNIDER show in [3] that R is a submodule of a f.g. R^G module. Hence, if R^G has Krull dimension so does R .

We now consider left perfect rings. These are rings such that modulo the Jacobson radical, $J(R)$, they are Artinian. Also $J(R)$ is left T -nilpotent. We will use the following characterization of an ideal A , being left T -nilpotent, for any left R module $M \neq 0$, AM is a proper submodule of M . See [1, Lemma 28.3, p. 314].

Theorem 6. *Assume R has no $|G|$ -torsion. Then R is left perfect if and only if $R * G$ is left perfect.*

Proof. It is well-known that left perfect rings have the DCC on principal right ideals. Thus $|G|$ is invertible in R . Each automorphism of R , g , induces an automorphism on $\bar{R} = R/J(R)$ as follows, $g(r + J(R)) = r^g + J(R)$. We denote this map by \bar{g} . The association g to \bar{g} is a group homomorphism from G to the group automorphism of \bar{R} . Let H be the kernel of this map and $\bar{G} = G/H$. We form $\bar{R} * \bar{G}$, which is a homomorphic image of $R * G$. Namely, apply the map $\bar{\cdot} : R \rightarrow \bar{R}$ to the

coefficients of $R * G$. The kernel of this homomorphism is $J(R)R * G$, but by [11, Theorem 16.3, p. 65], $J(R * G)$ is the kernel. Thus we have $R * G/J(R * G)$ is Artinian.

We now consider T -nilpotence. To this end let M be an arbitrary left $R * G$ module. Now $J(R * G)M$ is $J(R)M$ from the above, and $J(R)M$ is a proper submodule, since $J(R)$ is T -nilpotent. Hence $J(R * G)$ is left T -nilpotent and we have shown $R * G$ is left perfect, if R is left perfect. The converse follows from $J(R)$ is contained in $J(R * G)$.

References

- [1] *F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller: Rings and Categories of Modules*, Springer-Verlag, Inc., New York, 1973.
- [2] *G. M. Bergman and I. M. Isaacs: Rings with fixed point free group actions*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 27 (1973), 69—87.
- [3] *D. Farkas and R. Snider: Noetherian fixed rings*, to appear in Pac. J. of Math.
- [4] *J. W. Fisher and J. Osterburg: Semiprime ideals in rings with finite group action*, to appear in the J. of Algebra.
- [5] *J. W. Fisher and J. Osterburg: Some results on rings with finite group actions*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 25 (1976), Marcel-Dekker, New York, 95—111.
- [6] *J. W. Fisher: private communications to appear.*
- [7] *F. Gordan and J. C. Robson: Krull dimension*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc., 133 (1973).
- [8] *D. Handelman, J. Lawrence and W. Schleiter: Skew Group Rings*, to appear.
- [9] *V. K. Kharchenko: Ring Identities Involving Automorphisms*, Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal, 17 (1976), 446—467.
- [10] *J. Lambek: Lectures on Rings and Modules*, Blaisdell Publishing Co., London, 1966.
- [11] *D. Passman: Infinite Group Rings*, Marcel-Dekker, Inc., New York, 1971.

Author's address: Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221, U.S.A.