Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Alois Švec $\\ \text{On the rigidity of certain surfaces in } E^5$

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 27 (1977), No. 2, 250-257

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101465

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project $\mathit{DML-GZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library } \texttt{http://dml.cz}$

ON THE RIGIDITY OF CERTAIN SURFACES IN E5

ALOIS ŠVEC, Olomouc (Received May 12, 1975)

E. Bompiani [1] has presented the classes of surfaces in E^n which may admit non-trivial higher order deformations (at least locally). In E^5 , the general surfaces of this class are those possessing a conjugate net. In what follows, I just show the global infinitesimal rigidity of a subclass of surfaces with a conjugate net. Of course, Bompiani's results deserve a further study.

1. Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain, ∂G its boundary and $M : \overline{G} \to E^5$, $\overline{G} = G \cup \partial G$, a surface in the 5-dimensional Euclidean space. To each point $m \in M \equiv M(\overline{G})$, associate an orthonormal frame $\{v_1, ..., v_5\}$ such that $v_1, v_2 \in T(M)$. Then

with the usual integrability conditions $d\omega^i = \omega^j \wedge \omega^i_i$, $d\omega^j_i = \omega^k_i \wedge \omega^j_k$. From

$$\omega^3 = \omega^4 = \omega^5 = 0,$$

we get

(3)
$$\omega^{1} \wedge \omega_{1}^{3} + \omega^{3} \wedge \omega_{2}^{3} = 0, \quad \omega^{1} \wedge \omega_{1}^{4} + \omega^{2} \wedge \omega_{2}^{4} = 0,$$

$$\omega^{1} \wedge \omega_{1}^{5} + \omega^{2} \wedge \omega_{2}^{5} = 0$$

and the existence of functions $a_1, ..., c_3$ such that

(4)
$$\omega_1^3 = a_1 \omega^1 + a_2 \omega^2, \quad \omega_2^3 = a_2 \omega^1 + a_3 \omega^2,$$
$$\omega_1^4 = b_1 \omega^1 + b_2 \omega^2, \quad \omega_2^4 = b_2 \omega^1 + b_3 \omega^2,$$
$$\omega_1^5 = c_1 \omega^1 + c_2 \omega^2, \quad \omega_2^5 = c_2 \omega^1 + c_3 \omega^2.$$

Let $T_m^2(M)$ denote the 2-osculating space of M at m, and suppose dim $T_m^2(M) = 4$ for each $m \in M$. The frames $\{v_i\}$ be chosen in such a way that $v_3, v_4 \in T^2(M)$, i.e.,

$$\omega_1^5 = \omega_2^5 = 0.$$

Let $\{w_i\}$ be another field of moving frames, and let

(6)
$$v_1 = \varepsilon_1(\cos\alpha \cdot w_1 - \sin\alpha \cdot w_2), \quad v_2 = \sin\alpha \cdot w_1 + \cos\alpha \cdot w_2,$$
$$v_3 = \varepsilon_2(\cos\beta \cdot w_3 - \sin\beta \cdot w_4), \quad v_4 = \sin\beta \cdot w_3 + \cos\beta \cdot w_4,$$
$$v_5 = \varepsilon_3 w_5; \quad \varepsilon_1^2 = \varepsilon_2^2 = \varepsilon_3^2 = 1.$$

The equations (1) being now $dm = \Omega^i w_i$, $dw_i = \Omega^j_i w_i$, we get

(7)
$$\omega^{1} = \varepsilon_{1}(\cos \alpha \cdot \Omega^{1} - \sin \alpha \cdot \Omega^{2}), \quad \omega^{2} = \sin \alpha \cdot \Omega^{1} + \cos \alpha \cdot \Omega^{2},$$

$$\omega_{1}^{3} = \varepsilon_{1}\varepsilon_{2}(\cos \alpha \cos \beta \cdot \Omega_{1}^{3} - \sin \alpha \cos \beta \cdot \Omega_{2}^{3} - \cos \alpha \sin \beta \cdot \Omega_{1}^{4} +$$

$$+ \sin \alpha \sin \beta \cdot \Omega_{2}^{4}),$$

$$\omega_{1}^{4} = \varepsilon_{1}(\cos \alpha \sin \beta \cdot \Omega_{1}^{3} - \sin \alpha \sin \beta \cdot \Omega_{2}^{3} + \cos \alpha \cos \beta \cdot \Omega_{1}^{4} -$$

$$- \sin \alpha \cos \beta \cdot \Omega_{2}^{4}),$$

$$\omega_{2}^{3} = \varepsilon_{2}(\sin \alpha \cos \beta \cdot \Omega_{1}^{3} + \cos \alpha \cos \beta \cdot \Omega_{2}^{3} - \sin \alpha \sin \beta \cdot \Omega_{1}^{4} -$$

$$- \cos \alpha \sin \beta \cdot \Omega_{2}^{4}),$$

$$\omega_{2}^{4} = \sin \alpha \sin \beta \cdot \Omega_{1}^{3} + \cos \alpha \sin \beta \cdot \Omega_{2}^{3} + \sin \alpha \cos \beta \cdot \Omega_{1}^{4} +$$

$$+ \cos \alpha \cos \beta \cdot \Omega_{2}^{4}.$$

Consider the functions

(8)
$$K = a_1 a_3 - a_2^2 + b_1 b_3 - b_2^2$$
, $k = a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 + a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2$ defined by

(9)
$$\omega_1^3 \wedge \omega_2^3 + \omega_1^4 \wedge \omega_2^4 = K\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2$$
, $\omega_1^3 \wedge \omega_1^4 + \omega_2^3 \wedge \omega_2^4 = k\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2$ resp. Similarly, K^* and k^* be defined by

$$(10) \quad \Omega_1^3 \, \wedge \, \Omega_2^3 \, + \, \Omega_1^4 \, \wedge \, \Omega_2^4 \, = \, K^*\Omega^1 \, \wedge \, \Omega^2 \, , \quad \Omega_1^3 \, \wedge \, \Omega_1^4 \, + \, \Omega_2^3 \, \wedge \, \Omega_2^4 \, = \, k^*\Omega^1 \, \wedge \, \Omega^2$$

resp. From (7), we get

(11)
$$K^* = K, \quad k^* = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 k.$$

Thus K is an invariant; it is easy to see that it is the Gauss curvature of M. The expression k depends just on the orientation of the moving frames. Let us look for the existence of a tangent vector field $V = \xi v_1 + \eta v_2$ such that there is a non-trivial tangent vector field $W = \xi' v_1 + \eta' v_2$ satisfying $WV \subset T(M)$. Because of

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}V &= \, \mathrm{d}x \, . \, v_1 \, + \, \mathrm{d}y \, . \, v_2 \, + \, x \big(\omega_1^2 v_2 \, + \, \omega_1^3 v_3 \, + \, \omega_1^4 v_4 \big) \, + \\ &+ \, y \big(- \, \omega_1^2 v_2 \, + \, \omega_2^3 v_3 \, + \, \omega_2^4 v_4 \big) \, , \end{split}$$

we get

$$WV \equiv (a_1 \xi \xi' + a_2 \xi \eta' + a_2 \eta \xi' + a_3 \eta \eta') v_3 + + (b_1 \xi \xi' + b_2 \xi \eta' + b_2 \eta \xi' + b_3 \eta \eta') v_4 \pmod{T(M)};$$

because of $WV \equiv 0$ and $\xi'^2 + \eta'^2 \neq 0$, we get

$$(12) \qquad (a_1b_2 - a_2b_1)\,\xi^2 + (a_1b_3 - a_3b_1)\,\xi\eta + (a_2b_3 - a_3b_2)\,\eta^2 = 0.$$

The equation (12) is the equation of the so-called conjugate directions $\{\xi v_1 + \eta v_2\}$ of M.

2. Let Φ be an infinitesimal second order deformation of our surface M; Φ is obviously given by (1) where we have to replace ω_3^4 , ω_3^5 , ω_4^5 by $\omega_3^4 + t\varphi_4^3 + ...$, $\omega_3^5 + t\varphi_3^5 + ...$, $\omega_4^5 + t\varphi_4^5 + ...$ resp. Comparing the terms at t in the integrability conditions, we get

(13)
$$\omega_{1}^{4} \wedge \varphi_{3}^{4} + \omega_{1}^{5} \wedge \varphi_{3}^{5} = 0, \quad \omega_{1}^{3} \wedge \varphi_{3}^{4} - \omega_{1}^{5} \wedge \varphi_{4}^{5} = 0,$$

$$\omega_{2}^{4} \wedge \varphi_{3}^{4} + \omega_{2}^{5} \wedge \varphi_{3}^{5} = 0,$$

$$\omega_{2}^{3} \wedge \varphi_{3}^{4} - \omega_{2}^{5} \wedge \varphi_{4}^{5} = 0, \quad \omega_{1}^{3} \wedge \varphi_{3}^{5} + \omega_{1}^{4} \wedge \varphi_{4}^{5} = 0,$$

$$\omega_{2}^{3} \wedge \varphi_{3}^{5} + \omega_{2}^{4} \wedge \varphi_{4}^{5} = 0;$$

$$(14) \qquad \qquad d\varphi_{3}^{4} = -\omega_{3}^{5} \wedge \varphi_{4}^{5} - \varphi_{3}^{5} \wedge \omega_{4}^{5},$$

$$d\varphi_{3}^{5} = \omega_{3}^{4} \wedge \varphi_{4}^{5} + \varphi_{3}^{4} \wedge \omega_{4}^{5}, \quad d\varphi_{4}^{5} = -\omega_{3}^{4} \wedge \varphi_{3}^{5} - \varphi_{3}^{4} \wedge \omega_{3}^{5}.$$

Because of (4) and (13), we get the existence of functions A_1, \ldots, C_4 such that

$$\begin{array}{lll} (15) & b_{1}\varphi_{3}^{4}+c_{1}\varphi_{3}^{5}=A_{1}\omega^{1}+A_{2}\omega^{2}\,, & a_{1}\varphi_{3}^{4}-c_{1}\varphi_{4}^{5}=B_{1}\omega^{1}+B_{2}\omega^{2}\,,\\ & b_{2}\varphi_{3}^{4}+c_{2}\varphi_{3}^{5}=A_{2}\omega^{1}+A_{3}\omega^{2}\,, & a_{2}\varphi_{3}^{4}-c_{2}\varphi_{4}^{5}=B_{2}\omega^{1}+B_{3}\omega^{3}\,,\\ & b_{3}\varphi_{3}^{4}+c_{3}\varphi_{3}^{5}=A_{3}\omega^{1}+A_{4}\omega^{2}\,, & a_{3}\varphi_{3}^{4}-c_{3}\varphi_{4}^{5}=B_{3}\omega^{1}+B_{4}\omega^{2}\,,\\ & a_{1}\varphi_{3}^{5}+b_{1}\varphi_{4}^{5}=C_{1}\omega^{1}+C_{2}\omega^{2}\,,\\ & a_{2}\varphi_{3}^{5}+b_{2}\varphi_{4}^{5}=C_{2}\omega^{1}+C_{3}\omega^{2}\,,\\ & a_{3}\varphi_{3}^{5}+b_{3}\varphi_{4}^{5}=C_{3}\omega^{1}+C_{4}\omega^{2}\,. \end{array}$$

Write

(16)
$$\varphi_3^4 = x_1\omega^1 + x_2\omega^2$$
, $\varphi_3^5 = y_1\omega^1 + y_2\omega^2$, $\varphi_4^5 = z_1\omega^1 + z_2\omega^2$; from (15), we obtain

(17)
$$b_2x_1 - b_1x_2 + c_2y_1 - c_1y_2 = 0,$$

$$b_3x_1 - b_2x_2 + c_3y_1 - c_2y_2 = 0,$$

$$a_2x_1 - a_1x_2 - c_2z_1 + c_1z_2 = 0,$$

$$a_3x_1 - a_2x_2 - c_3z_1 + c_2z_2 = 0,$$

$$a_2y_1 - a_1y_2 + b_2z_1 - b_1z_2 = 0,$$

$$a_3y_1 - a_2y_2 + b_3z_1 - b_2z_2 = 0.$$

We are now in the position to prove the following local

Theorem 1. Let $M \equiv M(G) \subset E^5$ be a surface such that dim $T_m^2(M) = 5$ for each $m \in M$. Then each infinitesimal second order deformation Φ of M is trivial.

Proof. From (1), we obtain

$$(18) v_1 m = v_1 , v_2 m = v_2 ,$$

(19)
$$v_1v_1m = (\cdot) v_2 + a_1v_3 + b_1v_4 + c_1v_5,$$
$$v_2v_1m = (\cdot) v_2 + a_2v_3 + b_2v_4 + c_2v_5,$$
$$v_2v_2m = (\cdot) v_1 + a_3v_3 + b_3v_4 + c_3v_5.$$

The vectors (19) are linearly independent because of the condition dim $T_m^2(M) = 5$, and we may choose the frames in such a way that

(20)
$$b_1 = c_1 = c_2 = 0, \quad a_1 b_2 c_3 \neq 0.$$

The system (17) is then reduced to

(21)
$$b_2x_1 = 0, \quad b_3x_1 - b_2x_2 + c_3y_1 = 0, \quad a_2x_1 - a_1x_2 = 0,$$

$$a_3x_1 - a_2x_2 - c_3z_1 = 0,$$

$$a_2y_1 - a_1y_2 + b_2z_1 = 0, \quad a_3y_1 - a_2y_2 + b_3z_1 - b_2z_2 = 0,$$

and we get $x_1 = x_2 = y_1 = y_2 = z_1 = z_2 = 0$, i.e.,

(22)
$$\varphi_3^4 = \varphi_3^5 = \varphi_4^5 = 0.$$

Thus Φ is trivial. QED.

3. Now, let us prove a global result.

Theorem 2. Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain and let $M: G \cup \partial G \to E^5$ be a surface such that: (i) dim $T_m^2(M) = 4$ for each $m \in M$; (ii) there is $K \neq k$ or $K \neq -k$ on M; (iii) there are no real conjugate directions on M. Let Φ be an infinitesimal second order deformation of M which is trivial on the boundary of M. Then Φ is trivial on M.

Proof. Choose moving frames $\{v_i\}$ of M and suppose $K \neq k$ on M; of course, we have (5). From (17) and (i), $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, i.e.,

(23)
$$\varphi_3^4 = 0$$
.

From (13), (14) and (17),

(24)
$$\omega_1^3 \wedge \varphi_3^5 + \omega_1^4 \wedge \varphi_4^5 = 0, \quad \omega_2^3 \wedge \varphi_3^5 + \omega_2^4 \wedge \varphi_4^5 = 0,$$

(25)
$$d\varphi_3^5 = \omega_3^4 \wedge \varphi_4^5, \quad d\varphi_4^5 = -\omega_3^4 \wedge \varphi_3^5,$$

(26)
$$a_2y_1 - a_1y_2 + b_2z_1 - b_1z_2 = 0$$
, $a_3y_1 - a_2y_2 + b_3z_1 - b_2z_2 = 0$.

From $(16_{2,3})$ and (25), we get the existence of functions S_1, \ldots, S_6 such that

(27)
$$dy_{1} - y_{2}\omega_{1}^{2} - z_{1}\omega_{3}^{4} = S_{1}\omega^{1} + S_{2}\omega^{2},$$

$$dy_{2} + y_{1}\omega_{1}^{2} - z_{2}\omega_{3}^{4} = S_{2}\omega^{1} + S_{3}\omega^{2},$$

$$dz_{1} - z_{2}\omega_{1}^{2} + y_{1}\omega_{3}^{4} = S_{4}\omega^{1} + S_{5}\omega^{2},$$

$$dz_{2} + z_{1}\omega_{1}^{2} + y_{2}\omega_{3}^{4} = S_{5}\omega^{1} + S_{6}\omega^{2}.$$

From $(4_{1-4}) + (5)$, we get the existence of functions $\alpha_1, \ldots, \beta_4$ such that

(28)
$$da_{1} - 2a_{2}\omega_{1}^{2} - b_{1}\omega_{3}^{4} = \alpha_{1}\omega^{1} + \alpha_{2}\omega^{2},$$

$$da_{2} + (a_{1} - a_{3})\omega_{1}^{2} - b_{2}\omega_{3}^{4} = \alpha_{2}\omega^{1} + \alpha_{3}\omega^{2},$$

$$da_{3} + 2a_{2}\omega_{1}^{2} - b_{3}\omega_{3}^{4} = \alpha_{3}\omega^{1} + \alpha_{4}\omega^{2},$$

$$db_{1} - 2b_{2}\omega_{1}^{2} + a_{1}\omega_{3}^{4} = \beta_{1}\omega^{1} + \beta_{2}\omega^{2},$$

$$db_{2} + (b_{1} - b_{3})\omega_{1}^{2} + a_{2}\omega_{3}^{4} = \beta_{2}\omega^{1} + \beta_{3}\omega^{2},$$

$$db_{3} + 2b_{2}\omega_{1}^{2} + a_{3}\omega_{3}^{4} = \beta_{3}\omega^{1} = \beta_{4}\omega^{2}.$$

The differential consequences of (26) are then

(29)
$$a_{2}S_{1} - a_{1}S_{2} + b_{2}S_{4} - b_{1}S_{5} = -\alpha_{2}y_{1} + \alpha_{1}y_{2} - \beta_{2}z_{1} + \beta_{1}z_{2},$$

$$a_{2}S_{2} - a_{1}S_{3} + b_{2}S_{5} - b_{1}S_{6} = -\alpha_{3}y_{1} + \alpha_{2}y_{2} - \beta_{3}z_{1} + \beta_{2}z_{2},$$

$$a_{3}S_{1} - a_{2}S_{2} + b_{3}S_{4} - b_{2}S_{5} = -\alpha_{3}y_{1} + \alpha_{2}y_{2} - \beta_{3}z_{1} + \beta_{2}z_{2},$$

$$a_{3}S_{2} - a_{2}S_{3} + b_{3}S_{5} - b_{2}S_{6} = -\alpha_{4}y_{1} + \alpha_{3}y_{2} - \beta_{4}z_{1} + \beta_{2}z_{2},$$

Consider the system

(32)

(30)
$$a_1X + b_1Y - a_2Z - b_2T = Q_1$$
, $a_2X + b_2Y - a_3Z - b_3T = Q_2$

for X, Y, Z, T. It is easy to show that the convenable combinations of the equations (30) lead to

(31)
$$(K - k) X = (a_3b_2 - a_2b_3 + b_1b_3 - b_2^2)(X + T) +$$

$$+ (a_2b_2 - a_3b_1)(Y - Z) + (a_3 - b_2)Q_1 + (b_1 - a_2)Q_2 ,$$

$$(K - k) Y = (a_2b_2 - a_1b_3)(X + T) + (a_1a_3 - a_2^2 + a_3b_2 - a_2b_3)(Y - Z) +$$

$$+ (a_2 + b_3)Q_1 - (a_1 + b_2)Q_2 ,$$

$$(K - k) Z = (a_2b_2 - a_1b_3)(X + T) + (a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 - b_1b_3 + b_2^2)(Y - Z) +$$

$$+ (a_2 + b_3)Q_1 - (a_1 + b_2)Q_2 ,$$

$$(K - k) T = (a_1a_3 - a_2^2 - a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)(X + T) + (a_3b_1 - a_2b_2)(Y - Z) +$$

$$+ (b_2 - a_3)Q_1 + (a_2 - b_1)Q_2 .$$

Applying this auxiliary result to the systems (26), (29_{1,3}) and (29_{2,4}) resp., we get $(K-k) v_2 = (a_3b_2 - a_2b_3 + b_1b_3 - b_2^2)(v_2 + z_1) +$

$$(K - k) z_{2} = (a_{2}b_{2} - a_{1}b_{3})(y_{2} + z_{1}) +$$

$$+ (a_{1}a_{3} - a_{2}^{2} + a_{3}b_{2} - a_{2}b_{3})(z_{2} - y_{1}),$$

$$(K - k) y_{1} = (a_{2}b_{2} - a_{1}b_{3})(y_{2} + z_{1}) +$$

$$+ (a_{1}b_{2} - a_{2}b_{1} - b_{1}b_{3} + b_{2}^{2})(z_{2} - y_{1}),$$

$$(K - k) z_{1} = (a_{1}a_{3} - a_{2}^{2} - a_{1}b_{2} + a_{2}b_{1})(y_{2} + z_{1}) +$$

$$+ (a_{3}b_{1} - a_{2}b_{2})(z_{2} - y_{1});$$

$$(33) \quad (K - k) S_{2} = (a_{3}b_{2} - a_{2}b_{3} + b_{1}b_{3} - b_{2}^{2})(S_{2} + S_{4}) + (a_{2}b_{2} - a_{3}b_{1}).$$

$$\cdot (S_{5} - S_{1}) + (a_{3} - b_{2}) q_{1} + (b_{1} - a_{2}) q_{2},$$

$$(K - k) S_{5} = (a_{2}b_{2} - a_{1}b_{3})(S_{2} + S_{4}) + (a_{1}a_{3} - a_{2}^{2} + a_{3}b_{2} - a_{2}b_{3}).$$

$$\cdot (S_{5} - S_{1}) + (a_{2} + b_{3}) q_{1} - (a_{1} + b_{2}) q_{2},$$

$$(K - k) S_{1} = (a_{2}b_{2} - a_{1}b_{3})(S_{2} + S_{4}) + (a_{1}b_{2} - a_{2}b_{1} - b_{1}b_{3} + b_{2}^{2}).$$

$$\cdot (S_{5} - S_{1}) + (a_{2} + b_{3}) q_{1} - (a_{1} + b_{2}) q_{2},$$

$$(K - k) S_{4} = (a_{1}a_{3} - a_{2}^{2} - a_{1}b_{2} + a_{2}b_{1})(S_{2} + S_{4}) + (a_{3}b_{1} - a_{2}b_{2}).$$

$$\cdot (S_{5} - S_{1}) + (b_{2} - a_{3}) q_{1} + (a_{2} - b_{1}) q_{2}$$

with

$$q_{1} = \alpha_{2}y_{1} - \alpha_{1}y_{2} + \beta_{2}z_{1} - \beta_{1}z_{2}, \quad q_{2} = \alpha_{3}y_{1} - \alpha_{2}y_{2} + \beta_{3}z_{1} - \beta_{2}z_{2};$$

$$(34) \quad (K - k) S_{3} = (a_{3}b_{2} - a_{2}b_{3} + b_{1}b_{3} - b_{2}^{2})(S_{3} + S_{5}) + (a_{2}b_{2} - a_{3}b_{1}).$$

$$. (S_{6} - S_{2}) + (a_{3} - b_{2}) q'_{1} + (b_{1} - a_{2}) q'_{2},$$

$$(K - k) S_{6} = (a_{2}b_{2} - a_{1}b_{3})(S_{3} + S_{5}) + (a_{1}a_{3} - a_{2}^{2} + a_{3}b_{2} - a_{2}b_{3}).$$

$$. (S_{6} - S_{2}) + (a_{2} + b_{3}) q'_{1} - (a_{1} + b_{2}) q'_{2},$$

$$(K - k) S_{2} = (a_{2}b_{2} - a_{1}b_{3})(S_{3} + S_{5}) + (a_{1}b_{2} - a_{2}b_{1} - b_{1}b_{3} + b_{2}^{2}).$$

$$. (S_{6} - S_{2}) + (a_{2} + b_{3}) q'_{1} - (a_{1} + b_{2}) q'_{2},$$

$$(K - k) S_{5} = (a_{1}a_{3} - a_{2}^{2} - a_{1}b_{2} + a_{2}b_{1})(S_{3} + S_{5}) + (a_{3}b_{1} - a_{2}b_{2}).$$

$$. (S_{6} - S_{2}) + (b_{2} - a_{3}) q'_{1} + (a_{2} - b_{1}) q'_{2}$$

with

$$q'_1 = \alpha_3 y_1 - \alpha_2 y_2 + \beta_3 z_1 - \beta_2 z_2$$
, $q'_2 = \alpha_4 y_1 - \alpha_3 y_2 + \beta_4 z_1 - \beta_3 z_2$.

It follows from (32) and $K \neq k$ that any linear combination of y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 may be written as a combination of $y_2 + z_1$ and $y_1 - z_2$.

From (27), we get

(35)
$$d(y_1 - z_2) - (y_2 + z_1)(\omega_1^2 + \omega_3^4) = (S_1 - S_5)\omega^1 + (S_2 - S_6)\omega^2,$$
$$d(y_2 + z_1) + (y_1 - z_2)(\omega_1^2 + \omega_3^4) = (S_2 + S_4)\omega^1 + (S_3 + S_5)\omega^2.$$

Let G be covered by a system of isothermic coordinates (u, v); let

(36)
$$I = r^2(du^2 + dv^2), r(u, v) > 0, \text{ i.e.}, \omega^1 = r du, \omega^2 = r dv.$$

Then

(37)
$$\frac{\partial(y_1 - z_2)}{\partial u} = (S_1 - S_5) r + \varrho_1(y_2 + z_1),$$

$$\frac{\partial(y_1 - z_2)}{\partial v} = (S_2 - S_6) r + \varrho_2(y_2 + z_1),$$

$$\frac{\partial(y_2 + z_1)}{\partial u} = (S_2 + S_4) r + \varrho_3(y_1 - z_2),$$

$$\frac{\partial(y_2 + z_1)}{\partial v} = (S_3 + S_5) r + \varrho_4(y_1 - z_2),$$

 $\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_4$ being easy to calculate. From (37) and (33₁) + (34₃) or (33₂) + (34₄) resp., we get a system of the form (i = 1, 2)

(38)
$$a_{i1} \frac{\partial (y_1 - z_2)}{\partial u} + a_{i2} \frac{\partial (y_1 - z_2)}{\partial v} + b_{i1} \frac{\partial (y_2 + z_1)}{\partial u} + b_{i2} \frac{\partial (y_2 + z_1)}{\partial v} = c_{i1}(y_1 - z_2) + c_{i2}(y_2 + z_1)$$

with

(39)
$$a_{11} = a_2b_2 - a_3b_1$$
, $a_{12} = b_1b_3 - b_2^2 + a_2b_1 - a_1b_2$, $a_{21} = a_1a_3 - a_2^2 + a_3b_2 - a_2b_3$, $a_{22} = a_2b_2 - a_3b_1$, $b_{11} = b_2^2 - b_1b_3 + a_2b_3 - a_3b_2$, $b_{12} = a_2b_2 - a_1b_3$, $b_{21} = a_1b_3 - a_2b_2$. $b_{22} = a_1a_3 - a_2^2 + a_2b_1 - a_1b_2$.

Recall that the system (38) is called elliptic if the quadratic form

(40)
$$\varphi = (a_{12}b_{22} - a_{22}b_{12})\mu^2 - (a_{11}b_{22} - a_{21}b_{12} + a_{12}b_{21} - a_{22}b_{11})\mu\nu + (a_{11}b_{21} - a_{21}b_{11})\nu^2$$

is definite. In our case,

(41)
$$\varphi = (k - K) \{ (a_1b_2 - a_2b_1) \mu^2 + (a_1b_3 - a_3b_1) \mu\nu + (a_2b_3 - a_3b_2) \nu^2 \},$$

and φ is definite because of the suppositions of our Theorem. Thus $y_1 - z_2 = y_2 + z_1 = 0$ in G and (32) implies $y_1 = y_2 = z_1 = z_2 = 0$ in G.

In the case K = -k, we have to use $y_1 + z_2$, $y_2 - z_1$ instead of $y_1 - z_2$, $y_2 + z_1$ resp. QED.

Let us remark that locally Φ does not need to be trivial.

Bibliography

 E. Bompiani: Geometrie riemanniane di specie superiore. R. Acc. Italia, Mem. Cl. di Sci. Fiz., Mat. e Nat., Vol. VI, 1935.

Author's address: 771 46 Olomouc, Leninova 26, ČSSR (Přírodovědecká fakulta University Palackého).