

Věra Radochová

Differential inequalities for a nonlinear partial differential equation of the fourth order

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 27 (1977), No. 1, 7–13

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101442>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES FOR A NONLINEAR PARTIAL
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF THE FOURTH ORDER

VĚRA RADOCHOVÁ, Brno

(Received July 9, 1973)

In this paper, some results for the solution and the upper and lower functions of the nonlinear partial differential equation

$$(1) \quad u_{xxtt} = f(t, x, u, u_{xx}, u_{tt})$$

are derived by means of the methods of differential inequalities [1], [2].

If we define:

$$Pu = u_{xxtt} - f(t, x, u, u_{xx}, u_{tt})$$

and

$$D = \{(t, x) : 0 \leq x \leq L, 0 \leq t \leq T\},$$

then we can prove the following

Theorem 1. Assume that

- 1) $v, w \in C[D, R]$; the partial derivatives $v_{xxtt}, v_{xx}, v_{tt}, w_{xxtt}, w_{xx}, w_{tt}$ exist and are continuous in D .
- 2) $f \in C[D \times R^3, R]$; for $(t, x) \in D$ the function $f(t, x, u, p, q)$ is nondecreasing in u, p, q and fulfils the inequality $Pv < Pw$.
- 3) For $0 \leq x \leq L, 0 \leq t \leq T$ the functions v, w satisfy the inequalities

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} v(t, 0) &< w(t, 0), & v_x(t, 0) &< w_x(t, 0), \\ v_{tt}(t, 0) &< w_{tt}(t, 0), & v_{ttx}(t, 0) &< w_{ttx}(t, 0), \\ v(0, x) &< w(0, x), & v_t(0, x) &< w_t(0, x), \\ v_{xx}(0, x) &< w_{xx}(0, x), & v_{xxt}(0, x) &< w_{xxt}(0, x). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have in D :

$$(3) \quad v(t, x) < w(t, x), \quad v_{xx}(t, x) < w_{xx}(t, x), \quad v_{tt}(t, x) < w_{tt}(t, x).$$

Proof. Assume that the contrary is true. Let y_0 be the greatest lower bound of the numbers $y = t + x$ such that for the points (t, x) , $t + x < y_0$ all the three inequalities (3) are satisfied.

For (t_0, x_0) , $t_0 + x_0 = y_0$ the inequality $v_{xx} < w_{xx}$, for instance, is not true, so that

$$(4) \quad v_{xx}(t_0, x_0) = w_{xx}(t_0, x_0),$$

$$(5) \quad v_{tt}(t_0, x_0) \leq w_{tt}(t_0, x_0), \quad v(t_0, x_0) \leq w(t_0, x_0).$$

Since $t_0 > 0$, we have for $h > 0$

$$(6) \quad v_{xx}(t_0 - h, x_0) < w_{xx}(t_0 - h, x_0).$$

From (4) and (6) we obtain

$$(7) \quad v_{xxt}(t_0, x_0) \geq w_{xxt}(t_0, x_0).$$

From (4), (5) and from the assumption 2) it follows that

$$(8) \quad v_{xxtt}(t_0, x_0) < w_{xxtt}(t_0, x_0).$$

Since for $0 \leq t < t_0$ we have $v_{xx}(t, x_0) < w_{xx}(t, x_0)$, $v_{tt}(t, x_0) < w_{tt}(t, x_0)$, $v(t, x_0) < w(t, x_0)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{xxtt}(t, x_0) - f[t, x_0, v(t, x_0), v_{xx}(t, x_0), v_{tt}(t, x_0)] < \\ & < w_{xxtt}(t, x_0) - f[t, x_0, w(t, x_0), w_{xx}(t, x_0), w_{tt}(t, x_0)], \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$(9) \quad v_{xxtt}(t, x_0) < w_{xxtt}(t, x_0)$$

for $0 \leq t < t_0$.

Because v_{xxtt} and w_{xxtt} are continuous in D , we obtain from (8) and (9)

$$\int_0^{t_0} v_{xxtt}(t, x_0) dt < \int_0^{t_0} w_{xxtt}(t, x_0) dt,$$

so that, with regard to the assumption 3), we have

$$v_{xxt}(t_0, x_0) < w_{xxt}(t_0, x_0),$$

which is a contradiction with (7). Hence $v_{xx}(t_0, x_0) = w_{xx}(t_0, x_0)$ cannot hold.

It is clear that if we suppose that $v_{tt}(t_0, x_0) = w_{tt}(t_0, x_0)$ holds instead of (4), an argument similar to the foregoing one leads to a contradiction.

Since v_{xx} and w_{xx} are continuous in D and the inequality $v_{xx} < w_{xx}$ holds, we have with regard to the assumption 3),

$$v(t, x) < w(t, x) \quad \text{if } (t, x) \in D.$$

Hence, the inequalities (3) are true.

Definition 1. Consider the differential equation (1) and functions $\varphi_0(x), \varphi_1(x) \in C^2[I_1, R]$, $\psi_0(t), \psi_1(t) \in C^2[I_2, R]$, where $I_1 = \{x : 0 \leq x \leq L\}$, $I_2 = \{t : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$. Let $u(t, x) \in C[D, R]$ and let its derivatives u_{xxtt}, u_{xx}, u_{tt} be continuous in D .

Let

$$(10) \quad u_{xxtt} = f(t, x, u, u_{xx}, u_{tt}),$$

$$(11) \quad u(0, x) = \varphi_0(x), \quad u_t(0, x) = \varphi_1(x) \quad \text{if } x \in I_1,$$

$$(12) \quad u(t, 0) = \psi_0(t), \quad u_x(t, 0) = \psi_1(t) \quad \text{if } t \in I_2,$$

where

$$\varphi_0(0) = \psi_0(0), \quad \varphi_1(0) = \psi_0'(0), \quad \varphi_0'(0) = \psi_1(0), \quad \varphi_1'(0) = \psi_1'(0).$$

Then the function $u(t, x)$ is called a solution of the problem (10), (11), (12).

If the function $u(t, x)$ fulfils in D the inequalities

$$(13) \quad u_{xxtt} > f(t, x, u, u_{xx}, u_{tt}),$$

$$u(0, x) > \varphi_0(x), \quad u_t(0, x) > \varphi_1(x), \quad u(t, 0) > \psi_0(t), \quad u_x(t, 0) > \psi_1(t),$$

then it is said to be an *upper function* of the problem (10), (11), (12).

If the function $u(t, x)$ fulfils in D the inequalities

$$(14) \quad u_{xxtt} < f(t, x, u, u_{xx}, u_{tt}),$$

$$u(0, x) < \varphi_0(x), \quad u_t(0, x) < \varphi_1(x), \quad u(t, 0) < \psi_0(t), \quad u_x(t, 0) < \psi_1(t),$$

it is said to be a *lower function* of the problem (10), (11), (12).

Applying the foregoing Theorem 1, we obtain for the upper and lower functions the following

Theorem 2. Let $u(t, x)$ be a solution, $v(t, x)$ a lower function and $w(t, x)$ an upper function of the problem (10), (11), (12). Let the function f of the differential equation (10) fulfil the assumptions of Theorem 1 and let

$$v_{xx}(0, x) < u_{xx}(0, x) < w_{xx}(0, x), \quad v_{xxt}(0, x) < u_{xxt}(0, x) < w_{xxt}(0, x),$$

$$v_{tt}(t, 0) < u_{tt}(t, 0) < w_{tt}(t, 0), \quad v_{ttx}(t, 0) < u_{ttx}(t, 0) < w_{ttx}(t, 0).$$

Then in the domain D we have the inequalities

$$(15) \quad v(t, x) < u(t, x) < w(t, x),$$

$$v_{xx}(t, x) < u_{xx}(t, x) < w_{xx}(t, x), \quad v_{tt}(t, x) < u_{tt}(t, x) < w_{tt}(t, x).$$

We can derive some estimates for the solution of the problem (10), (11), (12) in terms of the upper functions.

Theorem 3. Assume that

1) $f \in C[D \times R^3, R]$ and for $(t, x) \in D$ it is

$$(16) \quad |f(t, x, u, p, q)| \leq g(t, x, |u|, |p|, |q|),$$

where $g \in C[D \times R_+^3, R_+]$ is nondecreasing in u, p, q if $(t, x) \in D$.

2) $h(t, x) \in C[D, R_+]$ is an upper function of the problem

$$(17) \quad z_{xxtt} = g(t, x, z, z_{xx}, z_{tt}),$$

$$(18) \quad |\varphi_0(x)| = z(0, x), \quad |\varphi_1(x)| = z_t(0, x) \quad \text{if } x \in I_1,$$

$$|\psi_0(t)| = z(t, 0), \quad |\psi_1(t)| = z_x(t, 0) \quad \text{if } t \in I_2,$$

where $h_{xx}(t, x) > 0, h_{tt}(t, x) > 0$ if $(t, x) \in D$.

Let

$$(19) \quad |\varphi_0''(x)| < h_{xx}(0, x), \quad |\varphi_1''(x)| < h_{xxt}(0, x),$$

$$|\psi_0''(t)| < h_{tt}(t, 0), \quad |\psi_1''(t)| < h_{ttx}(t, 0)$$

if $t \in I_2, x \in I_1$.

Then the solution $u(t, x)$ of the problem (10), (11), (12) satisfies in D :

$$(20) \quad |u(t, x)| < h(t, x), \quad |u_{xx}(t, x)| < h_{xx}(t, x), \quad |u_{tt}(t, x)| < h_{tt}(t, x).$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 1.

Suppose that the inequalities (20) hold for the points $(t, x), t + x < y_0$, but for $(t_0, x_0), t_0 + x_0 = y_0$ the inequality $|u_{xx}| < h_{xx}$, for instance, is not true, so that

$$(21) \quad |u_{xx}(t_0, x_0)| = h_{xx}(t_0, x_0).$$

It holds

$$|u_{xx}(t_0, x_0)| \leq \left| \int_0^{t_0} \int_0^{t_1} f[t, x_0, u(t, x_0), u_{xx}(t, x_0), u_{tt}(t, x_0)] dt dt_1 \right| + \\ + |u_{xx}(0, x_0)| + t_0 |u_{xxt}(0, x_0)|.$$

Then the assumptions 1 and 2 imply that

$$|u_{xx}(t_0, x_0)| < h_{xx}(0, x) + t_0 h_{xxt}(0, x) + \\ + \int_0^{t_0} \int_0^{t_1} g[t, x_0, |u(t, x_0)|, |u_{xx}(t, x_0)|, |u_{tt}(t, x_0)|] dt dt_1.$$

The inequalities $|u_{xx}(t, x_0)| \leq h_{xx}(t, x_0), |u_{tt}(t, x_0)| \leq h_{tt}(t, x_0), |u(t, x_0)| \leq h(t, x_0)$, which hold for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$, together with the monotonicity of g in u, p, q imply

$$|u_{xx}(t_0, x_0)| < h_{xx}(0, x) + t_0 h_{xxt}(0, x) + \\ + \int_0^{t_0} \int_0^{t_1} g[t, x_0, h(t, x_0), h_{xx}(t, x_0), h_{tt}(t, x_0)] dt dt_1$$

and because the function $h(t, x)$ is an upper function of (17), (18), it is

$$|u_{xx}(t_0, x_0)| < h_{xx}(t_0, x_0),$$

which is a contradiction with (21). Similarly we obtain that also $|u_{tt}(t, x)| < h_{tt}(t, x)$ holds for all $(t, x) \in D$.

For arbitrary $(t_0, x_0) \in D$ it is

$$|u(t_0, x_0)| \leq \int_0^{x_0} \int_0^{x_1} |u_{xx}(t_0, x)| dx dx_1 + |u(t_0, 0)| + x_0 |u_x(t_0, 0)|.$$

From $|u_{xx}| < h_{xx}$ and from the assumption 2 it follows that

$$|u(t_0, x_0)| < \int_0^{x_0} \int_0^{x_1} h_{xx}(t_0, x) dx dx_1 + h(t_0, 0) + x_0 h_x(t_0, 0)$$

which implies the inequality

$$|u(t_0, x_0)| < h(t_0, x_0),$$

so that the assertion of Theorem 3 is true.

Consider now the inequality

$$(22) \quad |u_{xxtt} - f(t, x, u, u_{xx}, u_{tt})| \leq \delta(t, x),$$

where $f \in C[D \times R^3, R]$, $\delta(t, x) \in C[D, R_+]$.

Definition 2. A function $u(t, x) \in C[D, R]$ possessing continuous partial derivatives u_{xxtt} , u_{xx} , u_{tt} if $(t, x) \in D$, and satisfying in D the differential inequality (22) is called a δ -approximate solution of the differential equation (10).

By means of the following theorem we can estimate the difference between a solution of the problem (10), (11), (12) and a δ -approximate solution.

Theorem 4. Assume that

1) $u(t, x)$ is a solution of the problem (10), (11), (12) and $v(t, x)$ is a δ -approximate solution.

2) Let $f \in C[D \times R^3, R]$ and let for $(t, x) \in D$

$$(23) \quad |f(t, x, u, p, q) - f(t, x, \bar{u}, \bar{p}, \bar{q})| \leq g(t, x, |u - \bar{u}|, |p - \bar{p}|, |q - \bar{q}|),$$

where $g \in C[D \times R_+^3, R]$ is in D a nondecreasing function in u, p, q .

3) Let $h(t, x) \in C[D, R_+]$ be an upper function of the problem

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} z_{xxtt} &= g(t, x, z, z_{xx}, z_{tt}) + \delta(t, x), \\ z(0, x) &= |\varphi_0(x)|, \quad z_t(0, x) = |\varphi_1(x)| \quad \text{if } x \in I_1, \\ z(t, 0) &= |\psi_0(t)|, \quad z_x(t, 0) = |\psi_1(t)| \quad \text{if } t \in I_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_{xx} > 0$, $h_{tt} > 0$ if $(t, x) \in D$, $h_{xxt}(0, x) > 0$ if $x \in I_1$, $h_{ttt}(t, 0) > 0$ if $t \in I_2$, $\delta(t, x) \in C[D, R_+]$.

4) Let

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} |v_{xx}(0, x) - \varphi_0''(x)| &< h_{xx}(0, x), & |v_{xxt}(0, x) - \varphi_1''(x)| &< h_{xxt}(0, x), \\ |v_{tt}(t, 0) - \psi_0''(t)| &< h_{tt}(t, 0), & |v_{ttt}(t, 0) - \psi_1''(t)| &< h_{ttt}(t, 0) \end{aligned}$$

if $0 \leq x \leq L$, $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Then we have in D

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} |v(t, x) - u(t, x)| &< h(t, x), \\ |v_{xx}(t, x) - u_{xx}(t, x)| &< h_{xx}(t, x), & |v_{tt}(t, x) - u_{tt}(t, x)| &< h_{tt}(t, x). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this theorem is analogous to that of Theorem 3.

Note 1. Let $v(t, x)$ be a δ -approximate solution of the differential equation (10) fulfilling the initial conditions (11), (12) and let $u(t, x)$ be a solution of the problem (10), (11), (12). Let the assumption 2 of Theorem 4 hold. Let $h(t, x) \in C[D, R_+]$ be an upper function of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} z_{xxtt} &= g(t, x, z, z_{xx}, z_{tt}) + \delta(t, x), \\ z(0, x) &= z_t(0, x) = z(t, 0) = z_x(t, 0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta(t, x) \in C[D, R_+]$, and $h_{xx} > 0$, $h_{tt} > 0$ if $(t, x) \in D$, $h_{xxt}(0, x) > 0$, $h_{ttt}(t, 0) > 0$. Then in D the relations (26) hold.

This assertion is an easy consequence of the foregoing Theorem 4.

Note 2. Let the function $f \in C[D \times R^3, R]$ be Lipschitz-continuous in D , so that

$$|f(t, x, u, p, q) - f(t, x, \bar{u}, \bar{p}, \bar{q})| \leq M(|u - \bar{u}| + |p - \bar{p}| + |q - \bar{q}|).$$

For $0 < u < \infty$, $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q < \infty$ the function $g(t, x, u, p, q) = M(u + p + q)$ is nondecreasing in u, p, q if $(t, x) \in D$. Let $u(t, x)$ be a solution and $v(t, x)$ a δ -approximate solution of the equation (10) fulfilling (11), (12), so that $\delta(t, x) = \delta e^{M(t+x)}$, where $\delta > 0$ is a constant and $M > 0$ is the Lipschitz constant. Let $M^4 - 2M^3 - M > 0$, $A \geq \delta/(M^4 - 2M^3 - M)$.

Then we have in D

$$(27) \quad |u(t, x) - v(t, x)| < Ae^{M(t+x)}.$$

Since $h(t, x) = Ae^{M(t+x)}$ is an upper function of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} z_{xxtt} &= M(z + z_{xx} + z_{tt}) + \delta e^{M(t+x)}, \\ z(0, x) &= z_t(0, x) = z(t, 0) = z_x(t, 0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

if $x \in I_1$, $t \in I_2$, the relation (27) is a consequence of the foregoing Note 1 because all the assumptions of this note are fulfilled.

It is evident that Theorem 4 can be used for numerical estimation of errors when solving the problem (10), (11), (12).

References

- [1] *Walter W.*: Differential- und Integral-Ungleichungen, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1964.
- [2] *Lakshmikantham O., Leela S.*: Differential and Integral Inequalities, Academic Press, London 1969.

Author's address: 662 95 Brno, Janáčkovo nám. 2a, ČSSR (Matematický ústav ČSAV, pobočka Brno).