

Pavel Krbeč

Weak stability of multivalued differential equations

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 26 (1976), No. 3, 470–476

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101420>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

WEAK STABILITY OF MULTIVALUED DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

PAVEL KRBEČ, Praha

(Received December 12, 1974)

I. Introduction. In this paper we give a version of weak stability theorem for multivalued differential equations of the form

$$(1) \quad \dot{x} \in F(t, x)$$

where F is an upper-semicontinuous mapping.

II. Notation and basic definitions. Let R^n be the Euclidean n -dimensional space, o its zero element, $\varrho(x, y)$ the Euclidean distance from x to y , $\|x\| = \varrho(o, x)$. If A is a subset of R^n , let $\varrho(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} \varrho(x, y)$, $\varrho^*(A, B) = \sup_{x \in A} \varrho(x, B)$ and $U(A, \varepsilon) = \{x \in R^n \mid \varrho(x, A) < \varepsilon\}$. The set of all compact, convex and nonempty subsets of R^n is denoted by X^n , the set of all subsets of R^n by Ω^n . Given $S \subset R^m$, then a mapping $F : S \rightarrow X^n$ is upper-semicontinuous on S if for every $y \in S$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $F(U(y, \delta)) \subset U(F(y), \varepsilon)$. Let $I = \langle 0, T \rangle$, $T > 0$. A mapping $F : I \rightarrow X^n$ is Borel measurable if its graph $\{(t, x) \in R^{n+1} \mid x \in F(t)\}$ is a Borel subset of $I \times R^n$. Let $F : R^m \rightarrow X^n$ be upper-semicontinuous, let $f : I \rightarrow R^m$ be continuous. Then the composition $F \circ f : I \rightarrow X^n$ is upper-semicontinuous and therefore its graph is closed in $I \times R^n$ — see KURATOWSKI [3] p. 187, Theorem 7 and p. 184, Theorem 1. Let A_1, A_2, \dots be subsets of R^n . Then $x \in \underline{\lim} A_k$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a value n such that $U(x, \varepsilon) \cap A_k \neq \emptyset$ for every $k, k \geq n$. See Kuratowski [3]. Let $I = \langle 0, T \rangle$, $T > 0$ and let $F(t) \in \Omega^n$, $F(t)$ nonempty a.e. in I . Let Φ be the set of all point-valued, integrable functions $f, f : I \rightarrow R^n$ with the property $f(t) \in F(t)$ a.e. in I . Following AUMANN [1] we define

$$\int_I F(t) dt = \left\{ \int_I f(t) dt \mid f \in \Phi \right\}.$$

This integral has the following properties (see Aumann [1]):

- i) if F is Borel measurable and integrally bounded (i.e. if there exists an integrable function $h, h : R \rightarrow R$ such that $\|x\| \leq h(t)$ for all x and almost all t in I such that $x \in F(t)$) then $\int_I F(t) dt$ is nonempty;
- ii) if $F(t)$ is closed for almost all t in I and if $F(\cdot)$ is integrally bounded, then $\int_I F(t) dt$ is compact;
- iii) if F_1, F_2, \dots is a sequence of set-valued functions that are all Borel measurable and bounded by the same integrable point-valued function, then

$$\int_I \underline{\lim} F_k(t) dt \subset \underline{\lim} \int_I F_k(t) dt .$$

When proving the weak stability theorem we shall use the standard definition of limit of transfinite sequences of real numbers, see SIERPIŃSKI [5] p. 390.

III. Multivalued differential equations. We shall investigate multivalued differential equations of the form (1), under the following assumptions on the right-hand side F :

- a) the mapping F is upper-semicontinuous on R^{n+1} ;
- b) there exists a constant m such that for each $x \in R^n$ and almost all t in R and each $y \in F(t, x)$ it is $\|y\| \leq m$;
- c) $o \in F(t, o)$ for almost all t in R .

A function $x(\cdot)$ is called a solution of equation (1) on the interval $I = \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle$, $T_1 < T_2$, if it is absolutely continuous on I and if $x(t) \in F(t, x(t))$ a. e. in I . It is well-known that if $F, F : I \times R^n \rightarrow X^m$ satisfies the assumptions a) and b) then for each $(t_0, x_0) \in R^{n+1}$ there exists a solution $x(\cdot, t_0, x_0)$ of equation (1) on the interval $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ satisfying the initial condition $x(t_0, t_0, x_0) = x_0$. See OLECH [4]. The assumption c) implies that o is a solution of equation (1) on $(-\infty, +\infty)$. This solution will be called trivial. We shall use the following lemma (proved in Cellina's work [2] p. 534):

Lemma 1. *Let $F : R^{m+1} \rightarrow X^n$ be upper-semicontinuous. Then a continuous function $x(\cdot)$ is a solution of (1) on the interval I if and only if for each pair $t_1, t_2 \in I, t_1 < t_2$ it holds*

$$(2) \quad x(t_2) - x(t_1) \in \int_{t_1}^{t_2} F(s, x(s)) ds .$$

IV. Weak stability. Definition 1. The trivial solution of equation (1) is called *weakly stable* if for each t_0 and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for each $y \in U(o, \delta)$ there exists a solution $x(\cdot, t_0, y)$ satisfying $\|x(t, t_0, y)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $t, t \geq t_0$.

Definition 2. A function $V: R^{n+1} \rightarrow R$ satisfying the conditions

i) V is lower-semicontinuous on R^{n+1} , i.e.

$$\liminf_{(\tau, y) \rightarrow (t, x)} V(\tau, y) \geq V(t, x) \quad \text{for every } (t, x) \in R^{n+1};$$

ii)

$$\inf_{\xi \in F(t, x)} \lim_{\substack{\tau \searrow 0 \\ \|y\| \rightarrow 0}} \frac{V(t + \tau, x + \tau \cdot \xi + \tau \cdot y) - V(t, x)}{\tau} \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } (t, x) \in R^{n+1},$$

is called a *weak Liapunov function* for equation (1).

Theorem. Let V be a weak Liapunov function for equation (1). Then for every initial condition (t_0, x_0) there exists a solution $x(\cdot, t_0, x_0)$ of equation (1) defined on $\langle t_0, +\infty \rangle$ such that

$$V(t, x(t, t_0, x_0)) \leq V(t_0, x_0) \quad \text{for each } t, \quad t \in \langle t_0, +\infty \rangle.$$

Corollary. If V is a weak Liapunov function for (1) and if V satisfies the additional conditions

iii) $V(t, o) = o$ and $V(t, \cdot)$ is continuous at o for each t ;

iv) V is positively definite, i.e. there exists a function $\varphi: \langle 0, \infty \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ such that $\varphi(r) > 0$ for every $r > 0$ and $V(t, x) \geq \varphi(\|x\|)$ for all $(t, x) \in R^{n+1}$,

then the trivial solution is weakly stable.

The proof follows from the above theorem by a standard argument.

Proof of the theorem. Let an initial condition (t_0, x_0) and a positive number T , $T > t_0$, be given. We shall construct a solution $x(\cdot, t_0, x_0)$ of (1) with the property

$$V(t_0, x_0) \geq V(\eta, x(\eta, t_0, x_0)) \quad \text{for each } \eta, \quad \eta \in \langle t_0, T \rangle.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By the assumption ii) in Definition 2, for each $(t, x) \in R^{n+1}$ there exists a positive number τ and vectors $\xi, \zeta \in F(t, x)$ and y such that the inequalities

$$(3) \quad \tau \leq \varepsilon, \quad \|y\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad V(t + \tau, x + \tau(\xi + y)) - V(t, x) \leq \varepsilon \cdot \tau$$

are satisfied simultaneously. Let $\tau(t, x) = \sup \{ \tau \mid \text{there are } \xi \text{ and } y \text{ such that } \zeta \in F(t, x) \text{ and (3) is valid} \}$. Since $F(t, x)$ is compact and V is lower-semicontinuous we obtain that there exist $\zeta(t, x)$ and $y(t, x)$ such that

$$(4) \quad \zeta(t, x) \in F(t, x)$$

and such that the inequalities (3) are valid with $\tau = \tau(t, x)$, $\zeta = \zeta(t, x)$ and $y = y(t, x)$.

Now let ω_1 be the ordinal number of the set of all ordinal numbers of classes one and two, which is ordered according to their magnitude (i.e. ω_1 is the first non-denumerable ordinal number) and let $\tau^*(t, x) = \min(\tau(t, x), T - t)$. We shall need the following definition:

Definition 3. Let α be an ordinal number, $\alpha < \omega_1$, and let $W(\alpha)$ be the set of all ordinal numbers which are smaller than α . Then a mapping

$$Z: W(\alpha) \cup \{\alpha\} \rightarrow \langle t_0, T \rangle \times R^n.$$

is called a *generalized sequence* (with the length $\omega(Z) = \alpha$). The mapping $Z: W(\omega_1) \rightarrow \langle t_0, T \rangle \times R^n$ is called a generalized sequence with the length ω_1 .

Definition 4. Let \mathcal{M} be the set of all generalized sequences Z with the property $\omega(Z) < \omega_1$, satisfying the following conditions:

- 1) for $\beta = 0$ it is $Z(\beta) = (t_0, x_0)$;
- 2) if β is an ordinal number of the 1-st kind, $\beta > 0$ and $Z(\beta - 1) = (t_{\beta-1}, x_{\beta-1})$, $Z(\beta) = (t_\beta, x_\beta)$ then $t_\beta = t_{\beta-1} + \tau^*(t_{\beta-1}, x_{\beta-1})$ and $x_\beta = x_{\beta-1} + \tau^*(t_{\beta-1}, x_{\beta-1}) \cdot (\xi(t_{\beta-1}, x_{\beta-1}) + y(t_{\beta-1}, x_{\beta-1}))$;
- 3) if β is a number of the 2-nd kind and $Z(\beta) = (t_\beta, x_\beta)$, $Z(\gamma) = (t_\gamma, x_\gamma)$ for $\gamma < \beta$ then $t_\beta = \sup_{\gamma < \beta} t_\gamma$ and $x_\beta = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \beta} x_\gamma$;
- 4) if $\beta < \gamma \leq \omega(Z)$ and $Z(\beta) = (t_\beta, x_\beta)$, $Z(\gamma) = (t_\gamma, x_\gamma)$ then $t_\beta < t_\gamma \leq T$.

For $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ we define $Z_1 \leq Z_2$ if $\omega(Z_1) \leq \omega(Z_2)$ and if $Z_1(\beta) = Z_2(\beta)$ for each β , $0 \leq \beta \leq \omega(Z_1)$.

It is easy to see that $Z_1 \leq Z_1$ holds, $Z_1 \leq Z_2$, $Z_2 \leq Z_1$ implies $Z_1 = Z_2$, and $Z_1 \leq Z_2$, $Z_2 \leq Z_3$ implies $Z_1 \leq Z_3$, i.e. the relation \leq is a partial order relation.

If a set $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}$ is such that for each $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{M}_1$ it is either $Z_1 \leq Z_2$ and/or $Z_2 \leq Z_1$ we shall say that the relation \leq is a simple ordering on the set \mathcal{M}_1 .

By means of the generalized sequences we shall construct an "approximate" solution $x_\varepsilon^Z(\cdot)$ of equation (1) on the interval $\langle t_0, t_{\omega(Z)} \rangle$ in the following way. Let $Z \in \mathcal{M}$ and let $\varphi_\varepsilon^Z(\cdot)$ be such that if α is an ordinal number, $Z(\alpha) = (t_\alpha, x_\alpha)$, $t_\alpha < T$ and $t \in \langle t_\alpha, t_{\alpha+1} \rangle$, then $\varphi_\varepsilon^Z(t) = \xi(t_\alpha, x_\alpha) + y(t_\alpha, x_\alpha)$. It is easy to see that such a function $\varphi_\varepsilon^Z(\cdot)$ exists for every $Z, Z \in \mathcal{M}$ and that $\varphi_\varepsilon^Z(\cdot)$ is defined, bounded, and Borel measurable on the interval $\langle t_0, t_{\omega(Z)} \rangle$. By means of $\varphi_\varepsilon^Z(\cdot)$ we define the "approximate" solution $x_\varepsilon^Z(\cdot)$ on $\langle t_0, t_{\omega(Z)} \rangle$ by

$$x_\varepsilon^Z(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \varphi_\varepsilon^Z(\tau) d\tau.$$

Hence, the function $x_\varepsilon^Z(\cdot)$ is absolutely continuous on $\langle t_0, t_{\omega(Z)} \rangle$ and it is easy to see that $x_\varepsilon^Z(t_\alpha) = x_\alpha$.

It is not difficult to prove that if \mathcal{M}_1 is a simply ordered set, $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}$, then there exists a Z^* in \mathcal{M} satisfying $Z \leq Z^*$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and $\omega(Z^*) < \omega_1$. Applying Zorn's lemma to \mathcal{M} shows that there exists a maximal element Z in \mathcal{M} such that $\omega(Z) = \eta < \omega_1$ and $Z(\eta) = (t_\eta, x_\eta)$. If there were $Z(\eta) = (t_\eta, x_\eta)$, $t_\eta < T$, it would follow that there exists $Z_1 \in \mathcal{M}$, $Z \leq Z_1$, $Z \neq Z_1$, in contradiction to the maximality of Z . Hence $t_\eta = T$.

Since ε is arbitrary, it follows that for every positive integer n there exists a generalized sequence Z_n such that both functions $\varphi_{1/n}^{Z_n}(\cdot)$ and $x_{1/n}^{Z_n}(\cdot)$ are defined on $\langle t_0, T \rangle$. Let us write $\varphi_n(\cdot)$ and $x_n(\cdot)$ instead of $\varphi_{1/n}^{Z_n}(\cdot)$ and $x_{1/n}^{Z_n}(\cdot)$, respectively. Using the assumption b) concerning the right hand side of equation (1) we conclude that the functions $x_n(\cdot)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are equibounded and equicontinuous. Hence, there exists a subsequence (let us denote it again by $\{x_n(\cdot)\}$) which is uniformly convergent on $\langle t_0, T \rangle$. We shall show that $x^*(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(t)$ is a solution of equation (1) on $\langle t_0, T \rangle$. In virtue of Lemma 1 it is sufficient to prove that the relation

$$(5) \quad x^*(t_2) - x^*(t_1) \in \int_{t_1}^{t_2} F(t, x^*(t)) dt$$

holds for every $t_1, t_2, t_0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T$. To prove (5) we shall follow Cellina's idea, see [2]. The set $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} F(t, x^*(t)) dt$ is compact, hence it is sufficient to prove

$$\varrho \left(x_n(t_2) - x_n(t_1), \int_{t_1}^{t_2} F(s, x^*(s)) ds \right) \rightarrow 0 .$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$ or, equivalently,

$$\varrho \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \varphi_n(s) ds, \int_{t_1}^{t_2} F(s, x^*(s)) ds \right) \rightarrow 0$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us denote $\{z \mid z = \varphi_n(t) - v, v \in F(t, x^*(t))\}$ by $\varphi_n(t) - F(t, x^*(t))$. Then we have (as a consequence of the definition of Aumann's integral)

$$\varrho \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \varphi_n(s) ds, \int_{t_1}^{t_2} F(t, x^*(s)) ds \right) = \varrho \left(o, \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\varphi_n(s) - F(s, x^*(s))) ds \right).$$

Now let $\tau \in \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$ be fixed. The mapping $F(\cdot)$ is upper-semicontinuous, hence, for every $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(6) \quad F(\tau, U(x^*(\tau), \delta)) \subset U(F(\tau, x^*(\tau)), \varepsilon_1) .$$

As a consequence of the definition of $x_n(\cdot)$ we have that for every positive integer n there exists an α such that $\tau \in \langle t_\alpha^n, t_{\alpha+1}^n \rangle$, where $t_\alpha^n, t_{\alpha+1}^n$ are such that $Z_n(\alpha) = (t_\alpha^n, x_n(t_\alpha^n))$, $Z_n(\alpha + 1) = (t_{\alpha+1}^n, x_n(t_{\alpha+1}^n))$ and (3) implies that $t_{\alpha+1}^n - t_\alpha^n < 1/n$. The above argu-

ment together with the assumption b) concerning the right hand side of equation (1) yields

$$\|x_n(\tau) - x_n(t_z^n)\| = \left\| \int_{t_z^n}^{\tau} \varphi_n(s) \, ds \right\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(m + \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

It follows that there exists a positive integer n_0 such that for every $n, n \geq n_0$ the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{n} < \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \|x_n(\tau) - x_n(t_z^n)\| \leq \frac{\delta}{4}, \quad \|x_n(\tau) - x^*(\tau)\| \leq \frac{\delta}{4}$$

hold simultaneously. Hence, $\|x_n(t_z^n) - x^*(\tau)\| \leq \delta/2$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n(\tau) = \varphi_n(t_z^n) &\in U \left(F(t_z^n, x_n(t_z^n)), \frac{1}{n} \right) \subset \\ &U \left(F(U(\tau, x^*(\tau)), \delta), \frac{1}{n} \right) \subset U \left(F(\tau, x^*(\tau)), \varepsilon_1 + \frac{1}{n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $o \in \underline{\lim} (\varphi_n(\tau) - F(\tau, x^*(\tau)))$ and using the property iii) of Aumann's integral we have

$$o \in \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \underline{\lim} (\varphi_n(s) - F(s, x^*(s))) \, ds \subset \underline{\lim} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\varphi_n(s) - F(s, x(s))) \, ds.$$

(It is easy to prove that the functions $\varphi_n(\cdot) - F(\cdot, x^*(\cdot))$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are Borel measurable.) So we have

$$\varrho \left(o, \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\varphi_n(s) - F(s, x^*(s))) \, ds \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and hence, $x^*(\cdot)$ is a solution of equation (1) on $\langle t_0, T \rangle$. Let t be fixed, $t \in \langle t_0, T \rangle$. From the construction of $x_n(\cdot)$ and from (3) it follows that in every neighbourhood $U(t, 1/n)$ there exists a point t_z^n such that $(t_z^n, x_z^n) = Z_n(\alpha)$ and

$$V(t_z^n, x_n(t_z^n)) - V(t_0, x_0) \leq \frac{1}{n} T.$$

But $x_n(\cdot) \rightarrow x^*(\cdot)$ uniformly and $t_z^n \rightarrow t$, hence $x_n(t_z^n) \rightarrow x^*(t)$ and the lower-semicontinuity of V implies

$$V(t, x^*(t)) - V(t_0, x_0) \leq \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (V(t_z^n, x_n(t_z^n)) - V(t_0, x_0)) \leq \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} T = 0.$$

The solution $x^*(\cdot)$ can be constructed by the same method on the intervals $\langle T, 2T \rangle$, $\langle 2T, 3T \rangle$, ... which completes the proof.

Note. For a similar theorem concerning ordinary differential equations with continuous right-hand sides see YORKE [6].

References

- [1] *Aumann J. R.*: Integrals of set-valued functions, *Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl.* 12, 1965, pp. 1–12.
- [2] *Cellina A.*: Multivalued differential equations and ordinary differential equations, *SIAM, Journal Appl. Math.* Vol. 18, No. 2, March 1970 pp. 533–538.
- [3] *Kuratowski K.*: *Topology*, vol. I. (Russian), izd. MIR, Moskva 1966.
- [4] *Olech C.*: Existence of solutions of non-convex orientor fields, *Difford 1974, Summer school on ordinary differential equations*, Brno 1974, pp. 61–70.
- [5] *Sierpiński W.*: *Cardinal and ordinal numbers*, PWN, Warszawa 1965.
- [6] *Yorke J.*: Extending Liapunov's second method to non-Lipschitz Liapunov functions. *Seminar on Differential Equations and ...* pp. 31–36, Springer, Berlin, 1968.

Author's address: 199 05 Praha 9 - Letňany, Beranových 130, ČSSR (Výzkumný a zkušební letecký ústav).