Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Jaroslav Milota Interpolation in a Banach space

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 26 (1976), No. 1, 84-92

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101375

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-GZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

INTERPOLATION IN A BANACH SPACE

JAROSLAV MILOTA, Praha (Received March 25, 1974)

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E be a Banach space and let Φ be a linear subspace of its dual space E^* . A linear subspace $L \subset E$ is said to be Φ -interpolative if for every $x \in E$ there exists one and only one $y \in L$ such that $\langle x, \varphi \rangle = \langle y, \varphi \rangle$ for all $\varphi \in \Phi$. If it is this case we denote by J_L the operator $J_L: x \to y$. It is obvious that J_L is a projection onto L.

In Section 2 we shall prove some simple conditions on L in order to be Φ -interpolative and closed (in this case J_L is continuous). If Φ is a finite dimensional subspace of a reflexive space E we shall show that there exists a Φ -interpolative subspace with smallest possible norm of J_L and in such a way we shall generalize a result due to Aubin [1]. We shall also give a dual interpretation of this fact.

To relate the notion of Φ -interpolative subspace with the notion of the *n*-width (see e.g. [5], [6], [9]) we define for $M \subset E$ and a Φ -interpolative L

(1)
$$\sigma_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(M,L) = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in M} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{J}_L \mathbf{x}\|$$

and

(2)
$$\sigma_{\Phi}(M) = \inf_{L} \sigma_{\Phi}(M, L),$$

where the greatest lower bound is taken over all Φ -interpolative L's. We shall say that $\sigma_{\Phi}(M)$ is the Φ -interpolative width of M. Using a similar method to that of Garkavi [4], who has proved the existence of the best n-dimensional approximation for bounded M, we shall prove in Section 3 that this fact is valid in a reflexive space E also for the Φ -interpolative width if the dimension of Φ is finite.

2. Φ-INTERPOLATIVE SUBSPACES

Throughout the paper we shall use the following notation: If $L \subset E$ then $L^{\perp} = \{f \in E^*; \langle x, f \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x \in L\}$, if $\Phi \subset E^*$ then $\Phi_{\perp} = \{x \in E; \langle x, \varphi \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in \Phi\}$. It is easy to prove that L^{\perp} is a w*-closed subspace of E^* and Φ_{\perp} is a w-closed subspace of E.

Lemma 1. Let L be a linear subspace of E. Then $(L^{\perp})_{\perp}$ is the closure of L.

Proof. It was noted that $(L^{\perp})_{\perp}$ is w-closed and therefore closed. As $L \subset (L^{\perp})_{\perp}$ it is $\overline{L} \subset (L^{\perp})_{\perp}$. If there exists $x_0 \in (L^{\perp})_{\perp} \setminus \overline{L}$ then, by using the Hahn-Banach theorem, we can find $f \in E^*$ such that $\langle x_0, f \rangle \neq 0$ and $f(\overline{L}) = 0$, what contradicts $x_0 \in (L^{\perp})_{\perp}$.

Lemma 2. Let Φ be a linear subspace of E^* . Then $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$ is the w*-closure of Φ . If E is moreover reflexive then $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$ is the closure of Φ .

Proof. It was noted that $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$ is w^* -closed. Let Ψ denote the w^* -closure of Φ . Then $\Psi \subset (\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$. If $f_0 \notin \Psi$ then, by virtue of one theorem of Banach (see e.g. [2], p. 122, or [8]), there exists $x_0 \in \Psi_{\perp}$ such that $\langle x_0, f_0 \rangle = 1$. The element x_0 belongs to Φ_{\perp} and therefore $f_0 \notin (\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$. Thus $\Psi = (\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$. The second statement follows now from the first one by using the Mazur theorem.

The following proposition yields a very simple condition for L in order to be Φ -interpolative.

Proposition 1. Let L be a linear subspace of E and let Φ be a linear subspace of E*. Then L is Φ -interpolative if and only if $E = L \oplus \Phi_1$ (algebraic direct sum).

Proof. Let L be Φ -interpolative. From the definition of J_L it is obvious that $x-J_Lx\in\Phi_\perp$ for all $x\in E$. If $x_0\in L\cap\Phi_\perp$ then $\langle x,\phi\rangle=\langle J_Lx+x_0,\phi\rangle$ for all $x\in E,\ \phi\in\Phi$. From the requirement of the uniqueness of J_Lx it follows that $x_0=0$. Hence $E=L\oplus\Phi_\perp$. The sufficient part of the proposition is obvious.

Corollary. Let Φ be a finite dimensional subspace of E^* with a base $\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) L is a Φ -interpolative subspace of E.
- (ii) There exists a base $x_1, ..., x_n$ of L such that

(3)
$$\langle x_i, \varphi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, ..., n.$$

(iii) $E = L + \Phi_{\perp}$ and dim L = n.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). It is a well known fact that there exists a biorthogonal sequence $y_1, ..., y_n$ to $\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n$. Put $x_i = J_L y_i$, i = 1, ..., n. These elements belong to L, satisfy the condition (3) and therefore they are linearly independent. Now

$$\langle J_L x - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, \varphi_i \rangle x_i, \varphi_j \rangle = 0$$

for j = 1, ..., n and all $x \in E$. Hence

$$J_L x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle x, \varphi_i \rangle x_i$$

and this proves that $x_1, ..., x_n$ form a base of L.

- (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). We have only to prove the first condition. But it is obvious from (3), (4) that L is Φ -interpolative. It remains to use Proposition 1.
- (iii) \Rightarrow (i). By the assumption on the dimension of Φ , it follows that $\Phi = (\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$ (Lemma 2). Being $(E/\Phi_{\perp})^*$ isomorphic to $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp} = \Phi$, E/Φ_{\perp} is a space of the dimension n. Therefore L cannot contain a proper subspace that is a direct complement of Φ_{\perp} . This proves that $E = L \oplus \Phi_{\perp}$ and, by Proposition 1, L is a Φ -interpolative subspace.

Proposition 2. Let Φ be a subspace of E^* . Then a linear subspace of E is Φ -interpolative if and only if it is $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$ -interpolative.

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 2, it is $\Phi_{\perp} = [(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}]_{\perp}$ and therefore the statement follows immediately from Proposition 1.

We remark that J_L is a bounded linear operator if L is a closed Φ -interpolative subspace (evidently, the finite dimension of Φ is sufficient for this). This fact is a simple consequence of the Banach closed graph theorem. Further, it is known (see [7]) that there exists a Banach space E (e.g. ℓ_p , $p \neq 2$) with a closed linear subspace X having no closed complement. Setting $X^\perp = \Phi$ we obtain an example of a w^* -closed subspace of E^* having no closed Φ -interpolative subspaces, what follows directly from Proposition 1 and Lemma 1.

Proposition 3. Let Φ be a subspace of E^* . Then a Φ -interpolative subspace L is closed if and only if $E^* = (\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp} \oplus L^{\perp}$.

Proof. Suppose first L is a closed Φ -interpolative subspace and let $f \in E^*$. As J_L is a continuous linear map the functional $g = f \circ J_L$ is an element of E^* and moreover $g \in (\Phi_\perp)^\perp$. Further, for $x \in L$ we have $\langle x, g \rangle = \langle J_L x, f \rangle = \langle x, f \rangle$ and therefore $f - g \in L^\perp$. Now, if $f \in (\Phi_\perp)^\perp \cap L^\perp$ then $\langle x, f \rangle = \langle x - J_L x, f \rangle + \langle J_L x, f \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in E$. Thus f = 0 what finishes the proof of the necessity part.

Let now the condition of Proposition be satisfied. By Proposition 2, we can suppose that Φ is w^* -closed and therefore $E^* = \Phi \oplus L^\perp$. For $f \in E^*$ we have f = g + h, where $g \in \Phi$ and $h \in L^\perp$. If x is an element of the closure of L it follows from the assumptions and Lemma 1 that $\langle x, f \rangle = \langle x, g \rangle = \langle J_L x, g \rangle = \langle J_L x, f \rangle$. Hence $x = J_L x$ and $x \in L$.

Corollary. Let L be a closed subspace of E and Φ be a subspace of E^* . Then the decomposition $E^* = (\Phi_\perp)^\perp \oplus L^\perp$ is a necessary condition for L to be Φ -interpolative. If E is moreover a reflexive space then this condition is also sufficient.

Proof. We have to prove only the second statement. By the decomposition of E^* , L^{\perp} is a closed Φ_{\perp} -interpolative subspace of E^* (Φ_{\perp} is considered as a subset of E^{**}). Proposition 3 and reflexivity of E yield the decomposition of E in the form $E = [(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}]_{\perp} \oplus (L^{\perp})_{\perp}$. Using now Lemma 1 and Proposition 1 we finish the proof.

If Φ is a finite dimensional subspace of E we need not to assume reflexivity of E for the validity of the last corollary because of the following proposition.

Proposition 4. Let Φ be a finite dimensional subspace of E^* . Then a subspace L of E is Φ -interpolative if and only if $E^* = \Phi \oplus L^{\perp}$.

Proof. By the assumption on the dimension of Φ and Lemma 2, it follows that $\Phi = (\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$. Suppose L is Φ -interpolative. According to Corollary of Proposition 1 the dimension of L is finite, i.e. L is a closed subspace of E. Hence the decomposition $E^* = \Phi \oplus L^{\perp}$ follows from Proposition 3.

Suppose now $E^* = \Phi \oplus L^1$. Being L^* isomorfic to E^*/L^1 , the dimension of L is finite. For the sake of simplicity we denote $E^* = X$, $L^1 = A$, i.e. we have $X = \Phi \oplus A$. As A is closed $A = (A^1)_{\perp}$ according to Lemma 1. By using Proposition 1, the decomposition of X means that Φ is A^1 -interpolative and therefore, by Proposition 3, we obtain that $X^* = \Phi^1 \oplus A^1$. Let Q denote the canonical imbedding of E into E^{**} . By virtue of Lemma 1 in [3], § I,5, we have $Q(L) = A^1$ (L is a finite dimensional subspace) and the above decomposition of X^* can be rewritten in the form

$$E^{**} = \Phi^{\perp} \oplus Q(L).$$

Let x be an element of E. Then there exist $\xi \in \Phi^{\perp}$ and $z \in L$ such that $Qx = \xi + Qz$. It means that $x - z \in \Phi_{\perp}$ and hence $E = L + \Phi_{\perp}$. By (5), it is obvious that $L \cap \Phi_{\perp} = \{0\}$. Using Proposition 1 it finishes the proof.

Lemma 3. Let Φ be a subspace of E^* and L be a closed Φ -interpolative subspace of E. Then J_L^* (the adjoint operator to J_L) is the projection onto $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$ which is parallel to L^{\perp} .

Proof. J_L is a bounded linear operator and hence J_L^* exists and it is bounded. By the definition,

(6)
$$\langle J_L x, f \rangle = \langle x, J_L^* f \rangle$$
 for all $x \in E$, $f \in E^*$.

Putting x to be an element of Φ_{\perp} we find $\langle x, J_L^* f \rangle = 0$ for all $f \in E^*$ and therefore $J_L^*(E^*) \subset (\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$. Now, let g be an element of $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$. Then $\langle x, g \rangle = \langle J_L x, g \rangle$ for all $x \in E$ (Proposition 2), what proves that $g = J_L^* g$. Thus J_L^* is a projection onto $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$. Setting f to be an element of L^{\perp} in (6) we find $\langle x, J_L^* f \rangle = 0$ for every $x \in E$. It proves the rest of the statement.

Definition. Let Φ be a subspace of E^* . If there exists a closed Φ -interpolative subspace \tilde{L} of E such that

$$||J_L|| = \inf_L ||J_L||,$$

where the greatest lower bound is taken over all Φ -interpolative subspaces L, then \tilde{L} is called the best Φ -interpolative subspace.

The following theorems yield the existence and the characterization of the best Φ -interpolative subspace and they can be considered as a generalization of analogous results due to Aubin [1] for Hilbert spaces.

Theorem 1. Let E be a reflexive Banach space and let Φ be a finite dimensional subspace of E^* . Then there exists the best Φ -interpolative subspace.

Proof. Denote $\sigma=\inf_L\|J_L\|$, where the greatest lower bound is taken over all Φ -interpolative subspaces. As σ is finite there exists a sequence $(L^{(n)})$ of Φ -interpolative subspaces such that

(7)
$$\sigma \leq \|J_{L^{(n)}}\| < \sigma + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Let $\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_m$ be a base of Φ . According to Corollary of Proposition 1 let $x_1^{(n)}, ..., x_m^{(n)}$ be the base of $L^{(n)}$ with the property (3). Then $x_i^{(n)} = J_{L^{(n)}}x_i^{(1)}$, i = 1, ..., m, and therefore

$$||x_i^{(n)}|| \le ||J_{L(n)}|| \cdot ||x_i^{(1)}|| \le (\sigma + 1) ||x_i^{(1)}||, \quad i = 1, ..., m.$$

By virtue of the Eberlein-Smulyan theorem (see e.g. [3]), the sequences $(x_i^{(n)})_n$, i = 1, ..., m, are w-sequentially compact and, by it, there exist subsequences $(x_i^{(n)})_j$, i = 1, ..., m, such that

(8)
$$w-\lim_{i} x_{i}^{(n_{j})} = \tilde{x}_{i}, \quad i = 1, ..., m.$$

In particular, $\tilde{x}_1, ..., \tilde{x}_m$ is biorthogonal to $\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_m$. By Corollary of Proposition 1, $\tilde{x}_1, ..., \tilde{x}_m$ generate a Φ -interpolative subspace which we denote by \tilde{L} . By (4), (8) we further have

$$w-\lim_{i} J_{L^{(n_{j})}} x = w-\lim_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle x, \varphi_{i} \rangle x_{i}^{(n_{j})} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle x, \varphi_{i} \rangle \tilde{x}_{i}$$

for all $x \in E$. Therefore

$$||J_L x|| \leq \liminf_j ||J_{L^{(n_j)}} x|| \leq \lim_j \left(\sigma + \frac{1}{n_j}\right) ||x||.$$

Thus the estimate $||J_{\mathcal{I}}|| \leq \sigma$ is valid. This inequality completes the proof.

Theorem 2. Let E be a reflexive Banach space and let Φ be such a subspace of E* that $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$ admits a bounded projection onto itself. Then \tilde{L} is the best Φ -interpolative subspace if and only if J_L^* is a projection onto $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$ with the smallest possible norm, i.e. $\|J_L^*\| = \inf_P \|P\|$, where the greatest lower bound is taken over all bounded projections P of E onto $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$.

Proof. First, by the assumptions on Φ , E and Corollary of Proposition 3, there exists at least one closed Φ -interpolative subspace. For, if P is a bounded projection onto $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$ and $N=P_{-1}(0)$ then $N=(N_{\perp})^{\perp}$ (Lemma 2). Using Corollary of Proposition 3 we obtain that $L=N_{\perp}$ is a closed Φ -interpolative subspace. Let now \widetilde{L} be the best Φ -interpolative subspace. By virtue of Lemma 3, J_L^* is a bounded projection onto $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$. Suppose that there exists a projection P onto $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$ such that $\|P\| < \|J_L^*\|$. We put L as above. L is a Φ -interpolative subspace and, by Lemma 3, J_L^* is the projection onto $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$ which is parallel to N and therefore $J_L^*=P$. It means that $\|J_L\|=\|P\|<\|J_L^*\|=\|J_L\|$, a contradiction. To prove the sufficient part suppose \widetilde{P} is a projection onto $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$ with the least possible norm. As above, we obtain $\widetilde{L}=[\widetilde{P}_{-1}(0)]$ which is a closed Φ -interpolative subspace. If here exists a closed Φ -interpolative subspace L such that $\|J_L\|<\|J_L\|$ we get, by using Lemma 3, a projection J_L^* onto $(\Phi_{\perp})^{\perp}$ which norm is less than the norm of \widetilde{P} . This contradiction finishes the proof.

3. **Φ-INTERPOLATIVE WIDTH**

The definition of the Φ -interpolative width was given by (1) and (2). Throughout this section we shall suppose that Φ is of the dimension n and we shall choose some base of Φ which will be denoted by $\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n$. For a subset M of E we use the following notation:

(a) K(M) is the absolute convex hull of M, i.e.

$$K(M) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i; x_1, ..., x_m \in M, \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \le 1, m \text{ is any positive integer} \right\}.$$

(b) If L is a subspace of E then we put

$$d(M, L) = \sup_{x \in M} \inf_{y \in L} ||x - y||.$$

(c) $d_n(M)$ denotes the *n*-width of M (see e.g. [5], [6], [9]), i.e. $d_n(M) = \inf_L d(M, L)$, where the greatest lower bound is taken over all subspaces L of E such that $\dim L = n$.

The following proposition yields very simple properties of the Φ -interpolative width.

Proposition 5. Let Φ be a finite dimensional subspace of E and let M, N be subsets of E. Then:

- (i) If $M \subset N$ then $\sigma_{\Phi}(M) \leq \sigma_{\Phi}(N)$.
- (ii) If N is the closure of M then $\sigma_{\Phi}(M) = \sigma_{\Phi}(N)$.
- (iii) If M is bounded set then $\sigma_{\phi}(M)$ is finite.
- (iv) $\sigma_{\Phi}(M) = \sigma_{\Phi}(K(M))$.

(v) If L is a closed Φ -interpolative subspace of E then

$$d(M, L) \leq \sigma_{\phi}(M, L) \leq (1 + ||J_L||) d(M, L).$$

(vi) If dim $\Phi = n$ then $d_n(M) \leq \sigma_{\Phi}(M)$.

Proof. (i) It is clear.

- (ii), (iii) It is also obvious from the continuity of J_L for any Φ -interpolative subspace L.
- (iv) Let L be Φ -interpolative and $x \in K(M)$, i.e. $x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i x_i$, where $x_1, ..., x_m \in M$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} |a_i| \le 1$. Then

$$||x - J_L x|| = ||\sum_{i=1}^m a_i(x_i - J_L x_i)|| \le \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \sigma_{\Phi}(M, L) \le \sigma_{\Phi}(M, L).$$

By (i), we have $\sigma_{\phi}(K(M), L) = \sigma_{\phi}(M, L)$ and taking the greatest lower bound we obtain the result.

(v) The left-hand side inequality is obvious from the definition of d(M, L). Let $x \in M$ and $y_m \in L$ such that

$$||x - y_m|| \le \inf_{y \in L} ||x - y|| + \frac{1}{m}.$$

Then $J_L y_m = y_m$ and we have

$$||x - J_L x|| \le ||x - y_m|| + ||J_L(x - y_m)|| = (1 + ||J_L||) ||x - y_m||.$$

Therefore $||x - J_L x|| \le (1 + ||J_L||) \inf_{y \in L} ||x - y||$. From this inequality the result follows immediately.

(vi) The inequality follows directly from the left-hand side inequality in (v).

Remark. The preceding proofs show that (i), (iv), (v) hold without the assumption upon the dimension of Φ .

Definition. Let Φ be a finite dimensional subspace of E^* and let M be a bounded set of E. If there exists a Φ -interpolative subspace $\stackrel{\sim}{L}$ such that $\sigma_{\Phi}(M,\stackrel{\sim}{L}) = \sigma_{\Phi}(M)$ then $\stackrel{\sim}{L}$ is called the *best* Φ -interpolation for M.

Our next aim is to prove the existence of a best Φ -interpolation. We fix some Φ -interpolative subspace for which we shall keep the notation N. Let $x_1, ..., x_n$ be a base of N with the properties (3), (4). A subset M of E is said to have the Φ -interpolative range m if dim Lin $J_N(M) = m$ (Lin denotes the linear hull). We remark that the Φ -interpolative range does not depend on the choice of N. For, let $y_1, ..., y_m$ be such elements of M that $J_N y_1, ..., J_N y_m$ form a base of Lin $J_N(M)$.

This means that for each $x \in M$ there exist scalars $\xi_1, ..., \xi_m$ such that

$$(9) J_N x = \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i J_N y_i,$$

i.e. $x - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_i y_i \in \Phi_{\perp}$. It follows that $J_L x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_i J_L y_i$ for a Φ -interpolative subspace L and therefore dim Lin $J_L(M) \leq \dim \text{Lin } J_N(M)$. Substituting N for L, we obtain the converse inequality.

We shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 4. Let M be a subset of E with the Φ -interpolative range m. Then there exists a base $z_1, ..., z_n$ of N such that for each Φ -interpolative subspace L there exists a Φ -interpolative subspace L' having the following properties:

- (i) L' has a base $c_1, ..., c_n$ with the decomposition $c_i = z_i + d_i$, i = 1, ..., n, where $d_1, ..., d_m$ are elements of Φ and $d_{m+1} = ... = d_n = 0$.
- (ii) For all $x \in M$ there exist scalars $\xi_1, ..., \xi_m$ which do not depend on L such that

(10)
$$J_{L'}x = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \xi_{j}c_{j} = J_{L}x.$$

Proof. Let $\{y_1, ..., y_m\}$ be the minimal set of M such that (9) is valid. We set $z_j = J_N y_j, j = 1, ..., m$. As these elements are linearly independent we can choose such elements $z_{m+1}, ..., z_n$ that $z_1, ..., z_n$ form a base of N. Let now L be a Φ -interpolative subspace. Then $J_L y_j = z_j + d_j$, j = 1, ..., m, where $d_1, ..., d_m$ belong to Φ_L . We put $c_j = J_L y_j, j = 1, ..., m$ and $c_j = z_j, j = m + 1, ..., n$. By using Proposition 1, it can be easily proved that the subspace L' generated by $c_1, ..., c_n$ is Φ -interpolative. Further, $J_L y_j = J_L y_j, j = 1, ..., m$ what follows that $J_L x = J_L x$ for all $x \in M$. We have (10) with the same $\xi_1, ..., \xi_m$ as in (9).

For further purposes we denote by $\mathscr{L}_{\Phi}(K)$ the set of all Φ -interpolative subspaces L such that $\sigma_{\Phi}(M, L) \leq K$.

Lemma 5. Let M be a bounded subset of E with the Φ -interpolative range m. Let K be such that $K > \sigma_{\Phi}(M)$. Then there exists such a positive number A that for all $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\Phi}(K)$ the base c_1, \ldots, c_n of L' from Lemma 4 has the property

$$||d_i|| \le A$$
, $i = 1, ..., n$.

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 4, we have $d_j = (J_L - J_N) y_j = (y_j - J_N y_j) - (y_j - J_L y_j)$ and thus

$$||d_i|| \le \sigma_{\phi}(M, N) + \sigma_{\phi}(M, L) \le \sigma_{\phi}(M, N) + K$$

for j = 1, ..., m.

Theorem 3. Let M be a bounded set of a reflexive Banach space E and let Φ be a finite dimensional subspace of E. Then there exists a best Φ -interpolation for M.

Proof. Let $(L^{(k)})$ be such a sequence of Φ -interpolative subspaces of E that

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(M) \leq \sigma_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(M, L^{(k)}) < \sigma_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(M) + \frac{1}{k}.$$

Let M have the Φ -interpolative range m and let $(L^{(k)'})$ be the sequence of Φ -interpolative subspaces from Lemma 4. We denote the base of $L^{(k)'}$ with the properties of Lemma 4 by $c_1^{(k)}, \ldots, c_n^{(k)}$. Putting $K = \sigma_{\Phi}(M) + 1$ in Lemma 5 we find that $\|d_i^{(k)}\| \leq A$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n, k = 1, \ldots$ By virtue of the w-sequential compactness of the unit ball of E, there exist subsequences $(d_i^{(k)})_i$ $i = 1, \ldots, n$, such that

w-lim
$$c_i^{(k_j)} = z_i + \text{w-lim } d_i^{(k_j)} = z_i + d_i = c_i, \quad i = 1, ..., n.$$

As $d_i^{(k_j)} \in \Phi_\perp$ the elements $\underline{d}_1, \ldots, \underline{d}_n$ lie also in Φ_\perp and therefore $\underline{c}_1, \ldots, \underline{c}_n$ generate the Φ -interpolative subspace \underline{L} . By virtue of the property (ii) of Lemma 4, we have w-lim $J_{L^{(k_j)}}x = J_{\underline{L}}x$ and hence

$$||x - J_{\underline{L}}x|| \le \liminf_{j} ||x - J_{L^{(k_{j})}}x|| = \lim_{j} \sigma_{\Phi}(M, L^{(k_{j})}) = \sigma_{\Phi}(M)$$

for all $x \in M$. Taking the least upper bound over $x \in M$ we obtain the required result.

References

- [1] Aubin J. P.: Interpolation et approximation optimales et "spline functions", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968), 1-24.
- [2] Banach S.: Théorie des opérations linéaires, Warszawa 1932.
- [3] Day M. M.: Normed linear spaces, Springer 1958.
- [4] Garkavi A. L.: On the best net and the best section of a set in a normed linear space (in Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, ser. mat. 26 (1962), 87—106.
- [5] Kolmogorov A. N.: Über die beste Annaherung von Funktionen einer gegeben Funktionenklasse, Ann. of Math. 37 (1936), 107-110.
- [6] Lorentz G. G.: Approximations of functions, Holt, Rinehart and Winston 1966.
- [7] Murray F. J.: On complementary manifolds and projections in spaces \mathcal{L}_p and ℓ_p , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1937), 138–152.
- [8] Singer I.: Quelques applications d'un dual du Théorème de Hahn-Banach, C.R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) 247 (1958), 846—849.
- [9] Tihomirov V. M.: Widths of sets in functional spaces and the theory of best approximations (in Russian), Uspehi mat. nauk 15 (1960), No 3, 81-120.

Author's address: 186 00 Praha 8 - Karlín, Sokolovská 83, ČSSR (Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta UK).