Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Pavel Doktor

Remark to the dependence of solution of nonlinear operator equation on the space in which it is solved

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 25 (1975), No. 3, 475–479

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101341

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1975

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 25 (100) 1975, Praha

REMARK TO THE DEPENDENCE OF SOLUTION OF NONLINEAR OPERATOR EQUATION ON THE SPACE IN WHICH IT IS SOLVED

PAVEL DOKTOR, Praha

(Received May 31, 1974)

In this paper, we shall consider reflexive Banach space S and its dual space S', with the norm $\|u\|$ and $|||f|||$, respectively, and with the pairing $\langle u, f\rangle$ $(u \in S, f \in S')$. Throughout the paper, we shall denote the weak convergence in these spaces by w-lim $v_n = v_0$ or $v_n \rightharpoonup v_0$; if the sequence $\{v_n\}$ tends to v_0 in the norm we shall write $v_0 = \lim v_n$ or $v_n \to v_0$.

Let us now introduce the notion of convergence of subspaces; cf. e.g. [3].

Definition 1. Let $H_n \subset S$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ be closed linear subspaces of the space S. We say that $\lim H_n = H_0$ or $H_n \to H_0$ if the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$(1, \text{i}) \qquad (n_k < n_{k+1},\ v_k \in H_{n_k},\ v_k \rightharpoonup v_0) \Rightarrow v_0 \in H_0\,,$$

$$(1, \text{ii}) \qquad \forall w_0 \in H_0\ \exists \{w_n\},\quad w_n \in H_n\,,\quad w_n \to w_0\,.$$

Lemma 1. *Let* $H_0 = \bigcap_n^\infty \overline{[\bigcup_{i=n}^\infty H_i]}$. *Then* (1, i) *holds.* (By $[M]$ we denote the minimal linear space which contains M.)

Proof. Let $v_k \in H_{n_k}$, $v_k \rightharpoonup v_0$. Then there exists a constant K such that $\|v_k\| \leqq K$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. The set $B_n = \{x \in \overline{[\bigcup_{i=n}^\infty H_i]} \mid \|x\| \leqq K\}$ is a convex closed set and hence it is weakly closed, too. This implies that $v_n \in B_n$ for arbitrary n, which proves the lemma.

Example 1. Let $\Omega \subset R_n$ be a bounded domain with infinitely differentiable boundary, and let $S = W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ be the Sobolev space. Let Γ_0 be a relatively open subset of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ with infinitely differentiable relative boundary; let $\Gamma_n \subset \partial\Omega$ be a sequence of relatively open sets such that

(i) $$\Gamma_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{i=n}^{\infty} \Gamma_i = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} \Gamma_i ;$$

(ii) for any neighbourhood U of Γ_0 there exists n_0 such that for $n \geqq n_0$, $\Gamma_n \subset U$.

Let us put $H_n = \{u \in S \mid u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_n\}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

Then $H_n \to H_0$ in the sense of Definition 1 (see [1]).

Theorem 1. *Let S be a reflexive Banach space. Let the sequence of functionals Φ_n over S, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ be given with the following properties:*
(i) (*differenciability*): *Φ_n have Gateaux differentials $T_n(x)$ at every point $x \in S$;*
(ii) (*Strong monotonicity*):

$$\forall x, y \in S : \langle x - y,\ T_n x - T_n y \rangle \geqq C \|x - y\|^p , \quad C > 0 , \quad p > 1 ;$$

(iii) (*boundedness*): *Operators T_n are bounded uniformly with respect to n, i.e., for any K_1 there exists K_2 such that $\|u\| \leqq K_1 \Rightarrow |||T_n(u)||| \leqq K_2$;*
(iv) *for any $u \in S$*

$$T_n u \to T_0 u .$$

Let H_n, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ be a sequence of closed subspaces of S; let $\omega_n \in S$, $f_n \in S'$ be given, $\omega_n \to \omega_0$, $f_n \to f_0$, $H_n \to H_0$.

Then the problem

(2) $$\forall v \in H_n \langle v,\ T_n(\omega_n + w) \rangle = \langle v, f_n \rangle , \quad w \in H_n$$

has a unique solution w_n for any n and

(3) $$w_n \to w_0 .$$

Proof. Conditions (i), (ii), (iii) quarantee coercivity of T_n, boundedness, continuity and convexity of Φ_n; of course, it is sufficient to consider the relation

(4) $$\Phi_n(x) - \Phi_n(y) = \int_0^1 \langle x - y,\ T_n(\tau x + (1 - \tau)\, y) \rangle \, d\tau ,$$

which holds for arbitrary $x, y \in S$. Hence the existence and unicity of solutions w_n of the problem (2) follows from the general theory, and

(5) $$w_n = v_n - \omega_n ,$$

where

(6) $$\alpha_n = \Phi_n(v_n) - \langle v_n, f_n \rangle = \min_{v \in \omega_n + H_n} \left(\Phi_n(v) - \langle v, f_n \rangle \right) ,$$

see [2] or [4].

We shall show that all the points v_n lie in some ball. Of course, putting $y = 0$ we obtain from (ii)

$$\frac{\langle x, T_n(x)\rangle}{\|x\|} \geqq C\|x\|^{p-1} - |||T_n(0)|||\,.$$

Putting, in the case of necessity, $\tilde{\Phi}_n = \Phi_n - \Phi_n(0)$, we can suppose $\Phi_n(0) = 0$ and using the equality (4) we obtain

$$\Phi_n(x) \geqq C_1\|x\|^p - C_2\|x\|\,.$$

We have $\omega_n \to \omega_0$, $f_n \to f_0$ and thus we obtain that there exist two constants M, R such that

$$|||f_n||| \leqq M\,, \quad |||T_n(0)||| \leqq M\,, \quad \|\omega_n\| \leqq R \quad \text{and} \quad (\|v\| \leqq R \Rightarrow |\Phi_n(v)| \leqq M)\,.$$

Thus we have

$$\Phi_n(\omega_n) - \langle \omega_n, f_n\rangle \leqq M(1 + R)\,,$$

$$\Phi_n(x) \;-\; \langle x, f_n\rangle \;\geqq C_1\|x\|^p - (M + C_2)\,\|x\|\,.$$

It follows that for Q sufficiently large

$$\|x\| \geqq Q \Rightarrow \Phi_n(x) - \langle x, f_n\rangle \geqq \Phi_n(\omega_n) - \langle \omega_n, f_n\rangle$$

and hence $\|v_n\| \leqq Q$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

The theorem will be proved if we show that for arbitrary subsequence of $\{v_n\}$ we can choose a "subsubsequence", which tends weakly to v_0. For the sake of simplicity of notation, we shall consider the original sequence v_n instead of its subsequence.

We have $\|v_n\| \leqq Q$; thanks to the reflexivity of S we can choose a subsequence v_{k_n}, which tends weakly to an element $\bar{v}_0 \in S$. It follows from (1, i) that $\bar{v}_0 \in H_0$. Moreover, α_n form a bounded sequence $(|\alpha_n| \leqq \max_{n=1,2}(|\Phi_n(\omega_n)| + MR))$ and so we can suppose that our choice is such that $\alpha_{k_n} \to \bar{\alpha}$.

Rewriting $T_n(\tau x + (1 - \tau)\,y) = T_n(y) + \{T_n(y + \tau(x - y)) - T_n(y)\}$ we obtain from (4) and (ii)

$$\Phi_n(x) \geqq \Phi_n(y) + \langle x - y,\; T_n(y)\rangle\,. \tag{7}$$

So we can write

$$\alpha_{k_n} = \Phi_{k_n}(\bar{v}_0) + \{\Phi_{k_n}(v_{k_n}) - \Phi_{k_n}(\bar{v}_0)\} - \langle v_{k_n}, f_{k_n}\rangle \geqq$$
$$\geqq \Phi_{k_n}(\bar{v}_0) + \langle v_{k_n} - \bar{v}_0,\; T_{k_n}(\bar{v})\rangle - \langle v_{k_n}, f_{k_n}\rangle\,.$$

It follows from (4), (iii) and (iv) that $\Phi_n(\bar{v}_0) \to \Phi_0(\bar{v}_0)$ and so $\bar{\alpha} = \liminf \alpha_{k_n} \geqq \geqq \Phi_0(\bar{v}_0) - \langle \bar{v}_0, f_0\rangle \geqq \alpha_0$. (Remember that we have supposed $\Phi_n(0) = 0$.)

Let us suppose $\bar{\alpha} > \alpha_0$. We obtain from (1, ii) that there exists a sequence $u_n \in H_{k_n}$, $u_n \to v_0 - \omega_0$ and hence $z_n = u_n + \omega_n \to v_0$. We have now

$$\Phi_{k_n}(z_n) = \Phi_{k_n}(v_0) + \Phi_{k_n}(z_n) - \Phi_{k_n}(v_0) ;$$

but from (4) and (iii) we have

$$|\Phi_{k_n}(z_n) - \Phi_{k_n}(v_0)| = \left| \int_0^1 \langle z_n - v_0, T_{k_n}(\tau z_n + (1 - \tau) v_0) \rangle \, d\tau \right| \leqq$$

$$\leqq \|z_n - v_0\| \int_0^1 |||T_{k_n}(\tau z_n + (1 - \tau) v_0)||| \, d\tau \to 0 ,$$

and so

$$\Phi_{k_n}(z_n) - \langle z_n, f_{k_n} \rangle \to \Phi_0(v_0) - \langle v_0, f_0 \rangle = \alpha_0 .$$

On the other hand, $\Phi_{k_n}(z_n) - \langle z_n, f_{k_n} \rangle \geqq \alpha_{k_n}$, $\lim (\Phi_{k_n}(z_n) - \langle z_n, f_{k_n} \rangle) \geqq \bar{\alpha} > \alpha_0$, which is a contradiction. Thus we have

$$\Phi_0(\bar{v}_0) - \langle \bar{v}_0, f_0 \rangle = \Phi_0(v_0) - \langle v_0, f_0 \rangle$$

and thanks to the unicity of the point in which the minimum is attained, $\bar{v}_0 = v_0$ which proves the theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let S be a reflexive Banach space and let $\Phi_n, f_n, \omega_n, H_n$, satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1. Let us denote by w_n the solutions of the problem (2) for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.*

Then $w_n \to w_0$.

Proof. Because of strong convergence of ω_n it is sufficient to prove that $w_n + \omega_n = v_n \to v_0$. In virtue of Theorem 1, $v_n \to v_0 = w_0 + \omega_0$. On the other hand, we have

$$C\|v_n - v_0\|^p \leqq \langle v_n - v_0, T_n(v_n) - T_n(v_0) \rangle$$

and hence, if we show that $\langle v_n - v_0, T_n(v_n) - T_n(v_0) \rangle \to 0$, the theorem will be proved.

There exists a sequence $\{z_n\}$, $z_n \in H_n + \omega_n$, $z_n \to v_0$. We have

$$\langle v_n - v_0, T_n v_n - T_n v_0 \rangle = \langle v_n - z_n, T_n v_n \rangle + \langle z_n - v_0, T_n v_n \rangle +$$

$$+ \langle v_n - v_0, -T_n v_0 \rangle = \langle v_n - z_n, f_n \rangle + \langle z_n - v_0, T_n v_n \rangle + \langle v_n - v_0, -T_n v_0 \rangle \to 0$$

which proves the theorem.

Example 2. Let S, H_n be the Banach spaces defined in Example 1. Let the function $P = P(x, \xi, \eta)$ be given, defined for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N) \in R_{N+1}$, $\eta \in R_1$, with the following properties:

(i) P has all derivatives up to the second order continuous and bounded in $\bar{\Omega} \times \times R_{N+1} \times R_1$.

(ii) $$\exists C > 0 \; \forall x \in \Omega \; \forall \zeta \in R_{N+1} \; \forall \eta \in R_1 \; \forall \xi \in R_{N+1}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{\partial^2 P(x, \zeta, \eta)}{\partial \zeta_i \, \partial \zeta_j}, \xi_i \xi_j \geqq C \sum_{i=0}^{N} \xi_i^2 .$$

Let us define

$$\Phi_n(u) = \int_\Omega P(x, u, \nabla u, \alpha_n) \, dx ,$$

where $\alpha_n \in L_2(\Omega)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \alpha_n \to \alpha_0$.

Than the assumptions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled and

$$\langle v, T_n u \rangle = \int_\Omega v \frac{\partial P}{\partial \xi_0}(x, u, \nabla u, \alpha_n) \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_\Omega \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \xi_i}(x, u, \nabla u, \alpha_n) \, dx .$$

Together with Example 1, we obtain a result concerning the dependence of solution of a boundary value problem not only on the boundary conditions but on the type of these conditions, too.

References

[1] *P. Doktor:* On the density of smooth functions in certain subspaces of Sobolev space, CMUC *14,* 4 (1973), 609—622.

[2] *S. Fučík, J. Nečas, V. Souček:* Úvod do variačního počtu. Lecture notes of Prague university, 1972.

[3] *M. M. Вайнберг*: Вариационный метод и метод монотонных операторов. Наука, Москва 1972.

[4] *F. Stummel:* Perturbation Theory for Sobolev Spaces. To appear in the Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh.

Author's address: 186 00 Praha 8 - Karlín, Sokolovská 83, ČSSR (Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta UK).