

Jiří Rosický

Remarks on topologies uniquely determined by their continuous self maps

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 24 (1974), No. 3, 373–377

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101251>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

REMARKS ON TOPOLOGIES UNIQUELY DETERMINED
BY THEIR CONTINUOUS SELF MAPS

Jiří ROSICKÝ, Brno

(Received September, 22, 1972)

In this note, some remarks to Warndorf's paper [7] are given. Let a pair (A, \mathcal{A}) denote a topological space, where A is the set of points in the space and \mathcal{A} is the collection of all open sets of the space. Let $C(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denote the collection of all continuous mappings from (A, \mathcal{A}) into (B, \mathcal{B}) , $C(\mathcal{A}) = C(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$. Warndorf have introduced the concept of the generated space and the special space. A T_1 -space (A, \mathcal{A}) is generated if for every T_1 -topology \mathcal{B} on A such that $C(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq C(\mathcal{B})$, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ holds. A T_1 -space (A, \mathcal{A}) is generated if and only if $\{f^{-1}(x) | f \in C(\mathcal{A}), x \in A\}$ forms a subbasis for closed sets of (A, \mathcal{A}) . A (T_1) -space (A, \mathcal{A}) is (T_1) -special if the only (T_1) -topology \mathcal{B} on A such that $C(\mathcal{A}) = C(\mathcal{B})$ is the topology $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$.

In [5] it is shown that any non-discrete T_1 -special space is special. Further, any space (A, \mathcal{A}) containing a two-point set $C \subseteq A$ with $\{\emptyset, C\} \neq \mathcal{A}|C \neq \exp C$ is special. If for any two-point set $C \subseteq A$ it holds either $\mathcal{A}|C = \{\emptyset, C\}$ or $\mathcal{A}|C = \exp C$, then (A, \mathcal{A}) is special if and only if the T_0 -reflexion of (A, \mathcal{A}) , which is a T_1 -space, is special. This facts imply that to find all special spaces it is sufficient to deal with T_1 -special spaces. Therefore, by a space (topology) we shall always understand a T_1 -space (T_1 -topology).

Let $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ be the system of all closed sets of a space (A, \mathcal{A}) and \mathcal{A}^0 the system of all clopen sets. It is shown in [5] that $\mathcal{A}^0 = \bar{\mathcal{A}}^0$ must hold in the case $C(\mathcal{A}) = C(\bar{\mathcal{A}})$. The closure of $X \subseteq A$ in (A, \mathcal{A}) is denoted by $Cl_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$.

1. Warndorf has proved in [7] that the space (A, \mathcal{A}) , $\mathcal{A} = \{X | \text{card}(A - X) < m\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$ is either special or discrete for any infinite cardinal number m . His result can be generalized.

Theorem 1. *Let (A, \mathcal{A}) be a non-discrete space containing a point p any neighbourhood of which is open. Then (A, \mathcal{A}) is both generated and special.*

Proof. Let $X \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Define $f_X : A \rightarrow A$ as follows: $f_X(x) = p$ for $x \in X$ and $f_X(x) = x$ otherwise. Let $V \in \mathcal{A}$. If $p \notin V$, one gets $f_X^{-1}(V) = V - X \in \mathcal{A}$. If $p \in V$, it holds $f_X^{-1}(V) = V \cup X \in \mathcal{A}$ since $V \cup X$ is a neighbourhood of p . Therefore $f_X \in C(\mathcal{A})$.

We have proved that \mathcal{A} is generated. Let $C(\mathcal{A}) = C(\mathcal{B})$ for a topology \mathcal{B} on A . Let U be a neighbourhood of p in \mathcal{B} . Then we can find $V \in \mathcal{B}$ with $p \in V \subseteq U$. It is $V \cup \{x\} = f_{\{x\}}^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{B}$ for every $x \in U - V$. Therefore $U \in \mathcal{B}$, i.e., any neighbourhood of p in \mathcal{B} is open in \mathcal{B} . Hence \mathcal{B} is generated and \mathcal{A} is special.

Corollary 1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a proper free filter on a set A . Then the topology $\mathcal{F} \cup \{\emptyset\}$ is both generated and special.*

Corollary 2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a proper free filter on a set A and $x \in A$. Then the topology $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, x) = \mathcal{F} \cup \exp(E - \{x\})$ is both generated and special.*

If \mathcal{F} is a free ultrafilter, then $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, x)$ is called a free ultraspace. Free ultraspaces are precisely the dual atoms of the lattice of all topologies. Magill has shown in [4] that any completely regular space is a subspace of a generated space.

Corollary 3. *Any space (A, \mathcal{A}) is a subspace of a generated special space.*

Proof. Let $a \notin A$, $B = A \cup \{a\}$. Define $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cup \{A - X/X \text{ finite}\}$. (A, \mathcal{A}) is a subspace of (B, \mathcal{B}) and (B, \mathcal{B}) is generated and special because any neighbourhood of a is open.

Theorem 2. *The topological sum of special spaces is a special space.*

Proof: Let (A_i, \mathcal{A}_i) be special for any $i \in I$ and $(A, \mathcal{A}) = \sum_{i \in I} (A_i, \mathcal{A}_i)$. Let $C(\mathcal{A}) = C(\mathcal{B})$ for a topology \mathcal{B} on A . $C(\mathcal{A}_i) \subseteq C(\mathcal{B}|A_i)$ because any $f \in C(\mathcal{A}_i)$ is a restriction of a suitable $g \in C(\mathcal{A})$. Since $C(\mathcal{A}) = C(\mathcal{B})$ and A_i is clopen in \mathcal{A} , A_i is clopen in \mathcal{B} (see [5]). Therefore $\mathcal{B} = \sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}|A_i$. Hence $C(\mathcal{B}|A_i) \subseteq C(\mathcal{A}_i)$. As \mathcal{A}_i is special, we have $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{B}|A_i$, i.e. $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$.

Corollary 4. *Any topological space is a quotient of a generated special space.*

Proof. Since any space is an intersection of free ultraspaces, one gets that any space is a quotient of a topological sum of free ultraspaces. This follows, for instance, from the characterizations of coreflective subcategories of the category of topological spaces (see [2]). By Corollary 1 any space is a quotient of a topological sum of generated special spaces. It remains to prove that the topological sum of generated spaces is generated. However, this follows from [7], Th. 1.5, because a topological sum of a family of spaces is a subspace of the product of spaces of this family and a discrete space (see [2]).

2. Theorem 3. *Any space of ordinals is either special or discrete.*

Proof. Let $\alpha > \omega_0$, $A = W(\alpha) = \{\beta/\beta < \alpha\}$ and let \mathcal{A} be the interval topology on A . Let \mathcal{B} be a topology on A with $C(\mathcal{A}) = C(\mathcal{B})$. \mathcal{A} is zero-dimensional and thus $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ (see [4]). Suppose that there exists $X \in \overline{\mathcal{B}} - \overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Let β be the least element of the set $Cl_{\mathcal{A}}(X) - X$. β is limit.

Suppose that there exists $\gamma < \beta$ such that any $\xi \in (\gamma, \beta) \cap X$ is isolated. Put $V = W(\gamma + 1) \cup [(\gamma, \beta) \cap X] \cup (\beta, \alpha)$. Clearly $V \in \mathcal{A}$. Further $A - V = (\gamma, \beta + 1) - X \in \mathcal{B}$. V is clopen in \mathcal{B} and therefore V is clopen in \mathcal{A} . This contradicts to $\beta \in Cl_{\mathcal{A}}(X) - X$. Thus there exists a limit $\xi \in (\gamma, \beta) \cap X$ for every $\gamma < \beta$.

Define $f : A \rightarrow A$ as follows: $f(\gamma)$ is the least element of the set $\{\zeta/\gamma \leq \zeta, \zeta \text{ limit}, \zeta \in Cl_{\mathcal{A}}(X)\}$ for $\gamma \leq \beta$, $f(\gamma) = \gamma$ otherwise. Let $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2 \leq \beta + 1$. Clearly $f^{-1}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ is convex. Let δ be the least element of $f^{-1}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$. To verify that $f^{-1}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathcal{A}$ it is sufficient to show that δ is isolated. Suppose that δ is limit. If $\delta \in Cl_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$, so $\delta = f(\delta) \in (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$. Hence $\gamma_1 + 1 \in f^{-1}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$, i.e. $\delta = \gamma_1 + 1$, a contradiction. Therefore $\delta \notin Cl_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$. We can find $\gamma < \delta$ such that $(\gamma, \delta + 1) \cap Cl_{\mathcal{A}}(X) = \emptyset$. Hence $f(\gamma) = f(\delta)$, a contradiction. If $\beta \leq \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2$, it holds $f^{-1}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathcal{A}$. In the case $\gamma_1 < \beta < \gamma_2$ we have $f^{-1}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = f^{-1}(\gamma_1, \beta + 1) \cup f^{-1}(\beta, \gamma_2) \in \mathcal{A}$. We have proved that $f \in C(\mathcal{A})$.

Let $\gamma < \beta$. Since there exists a limit $\xi \in (\gamma, \beta) \cap X$, one gets that $f(\gamma) < \beta$. Since $f(\gamma) \in Cl_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$, it follows from the definition of β that $f(\gamma) \in X$. Therefore $f^{-1}(X) = X \cup W(\beta)$, i.e. $A - (X \cup W(\beta)) \in \mathcal{B}$. It is $\{\beta\} = [A - (X \cup W(\beta))] \cap W(\beta + 1) \in \mathcal{B}$. Hence $\{\beta\}$ is clopen in \mathcal{B} , i.e. in \mathcal{A} , a contradiction.

Theorem 4. *Any ordered space is generated.*

Proof. Let A be a chain, \mathcal{A} the interval topology on A . Let $a \in A$. Define $f(x) = x \vee a$ for every $x \in A$. Let $[b, c]$ be a closed interval. If $a \notin [b, c]$, it holds $f^{-1}[b, c] = [b, c]$ or \emptyset . If $a \in [b, c]$, we have $f^{-1}[b, c] = [c]$. Therefore f is continuous. It is $[a] = f^{-1}(a)$. Analogously it may be proved that $[a]$ is a preimage of a in some $f \in C(\mathcal{A})$. Thus \mathcal{A} is generated.

Theorem 5. *Any infinitely distributive complete lattice A is generated in its interval topology.*

Proof. Let $a \in A$. Define $f(x) = x \vee a$ for every $x \in A$. Let $t \in A$. $f^{-1}(t] = \emptyset$ for $a \not\leq t$ and $f^{-1}(t] = (t]$ otherwise. Since $(\bigwedge_{x \vee a \geq t} x) \vee a = \bigwedge_{x \vee a \geq t} (x \vee a) \geq t$, it holds $f^{-1}(t] = [\bigwedge_{x \vee a \geq t} x)$. Therefore f is continuous. It is $f^{-1}(a) = [a]$. Dually we can show that $[a]$ is a preimage of a in some $f \in C(\mathcal{A})$. Therefore \mathcal{A} is generated.

3. A space (A, \mathcal{A}) is called upper special if the only topology \mathcal{B} on A such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and $C(\mathcal{A}) = C(\mathcal{B})$ is the topology $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$. (A, \mathcal{A}) is called full if it has

no isolated points, and the only topology \mathcal{B} on A without isolated points such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and $C(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq C(\mathcal{B})$ is the topology $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$ (see [7]). We define a space (A, \mathcal{A}) to be limited if the only topology \mathcal{B} on A such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{A}^0 = \mathcal{B}^0$ and $C(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq C(\mathcal{B})$ is the topology $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 1. *Any full space is limited and any limited space is upper special.*

In [3] a space (A, \mathcal{A}) is defined to be a V-space if for any points $p, q, x, y \in A$, where $p \neq q$, there exists $f \in C(\mathcal{A})$ such that $f(p) = x$ and $f(q) = y$. (A, \mathcal{A}) is a weak V-space if for any two different points $p, q \in A$ and any non-empty set $P \subseteq A$, there exists $f \in C(\mathcal{A})$ such that $f(p) \in P$ and $f(q) \notin P$. Šneperman has proved in [6] that if (A, \mathcal{A}) is a completely regular space containing an arc $(B, \mathcal{A}/B)$ and \mathcal{A}' a topology on A with $C(\mathcal{A}') = C(\mathcal{A})$, then \mathcal{A}'/B is an arc. This result can be generalized. A space is called \mathcal{S} -regular if it is a subspace of a product of copies of (S, \mathcal{S}) (see [1] and [2]).

Theorem 6. *Let (S, \mathcal{S}) be a connected, generated, limited and weak V-space. Let (A, \mathcal{A}) be an \mathcal{S} -regular space, $S \subseteq A$ and $\mathcal{A}|S = \mathcal{S}$. Let \mathcal{A}' be a topology on A such that $C(\mathcal{A}) = C(\mathcal{A}')$. Then $\mathcal{A}'|S = \mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{S} is generated and \mathcal{A} \mathcal{S} -regular, one easily gets that \mathcal{A} is generated, too. Therefore $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$, i.e. $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}'|S$. Let $Z \in \mathcal{A}'|S$. Let \mathcal{B} be a topology on S with the subbasis $\{f^{-1}(Z) | f \in C(\mathcal{S})\} \cup \mathcal{S}$. It holds $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and $C(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq C(\mathcal{B})$. Assume first that \mathcal{B} is connected. Then $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{B}$ because \mathcal{S} is limited and therefore $Z \in \mathcal{S}$, which completes the proof.

Suppose that \mathcal{B} is not connected. Then there exists a clopen set $X \in \mathcal{B}^0$ with $\emptyset \neq X \neq S$. Let $x \in X$ and $y \in S - X$. Since \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{S} -regular, there exists $h_1 \in C(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})$ with $h_1(x) \neq h_1(y)$. Since \mathcal{S} is a weak V-space, there exists $h_2 \in C(\mathcal{S})$ with $h_2 h_1(x) \in X$ and $h_2 h_1(y) \notin X$. Put $h = h_2 h_1$. It is $h \in C(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}) \subseteq C(\mathcal{A}) = C(\mathcal{A}')$. Let $Y \in \mathcal{B}$. It is $Y = \bigcup_{i \in I} [Y_i \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^n f_{i,k}^{-1}(Z)]$, where $Y_i \in S$ and $f_{i,k} \in C(\mathcal{S})$ for $i \in I$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus $h^{-1}(Y) = \bigcup_{i \in I} [h^{-1}(Y_i) \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^n h^{-1} f_{i,k}^{-1}(Z)]$. $f_{i,k} h \in C(\mathcal{A}) = C(\mathcal{A}')$ and therefore $h^{-1}(Y) \in \mathcal{A}'$. Thus $h \in C(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{B})$. Hence $h^{-1}(X) \in (\mathcal{A}')^0 = \mathcal{A}^0$, i.e. $h^{-1}(X) \cap S \in \mathcal{S}^0$. Since \mathcal{S} is connected, $x \in h^{-1}(X)$ and $y \notin h^{-1}(X)$, we get a contradiction.

References

- [1] R. Engelking and S. Mrówka, On E -compact spaces, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Math. Astr. Phys. 6 (1958), 429–436.
- [2] H. Herrlich, Topologische Reflexionen und Coreflexionen, Lecture Notes 78 (Berlin 1968).
- [3] K. D. Magill, Jr., Some homomorphism theorems for a class of semigroups, Proc. London Math. Soc. 15 (1965), 517–526.

- [4] *K. D. Magil, Jr.*, Another S-admissible class of spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 295–298.
- [5] *J. Rosický and M. Sekanina*, Realizations of topologies by set-systems, Proc. of Colloquium on Topology, Keszthely 1972.
- [6] *L. B. Šneperman*, Полугруппы непрерывных преобразований топологических пространств, Сиб. Мат. Журнал 6 (1965), 221–229.
- [7] *J. C. Warndorf*, Topologies uniquely determined by their continuous self map, Fund. Math. LXVII (1969), 25–43.

Author's address: 662 95 Brno, Janáčkovo nám. 2a, ČSSR (Přírodovědecká fakulta UJEP).