

Syed A. Huq

A note on mutants in semigroups

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 23 (1973), No. 2, 229–230

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101161>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## A NOTE ON MUTANTS IN SEMIGROUPS

SYED A. HUQ, Canberra

(Received September 6, 1971)

*Dedicated to the memory of Professor HANNA NEUMANN.*

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this work is to confirm the following conjecture of JIN BAI KIM [3].

**Conjecture.** Any semigroup  $S$  has no decomposition  $S = \bigcup M_i$  into a finite number of disjoint mutants  $M_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) of  $S$ .

We acknowledge thanks to R. M. BRYANT who suggested that the crucial step of the proof must be some sort of use of Van der Waerden's theorem without which no proof could have been completed.

### 2. PROOF OF THE CONJECTURE

**Definition.** Let  $M$  be a subset of a semigroup  $S$ , then  $M$  is a *mutant* of  $S$  if and only if  $M \cdot M \subset S \setminus M$ .

It is clear that the mutant of a semigroup  $S$ , do not contain any idempotent of  $S$  and the union of two mutants need not be a mutant.

Also if  $T \subseteq S$ , we define  $E(T) = \{e \in T, e^2 = e\}$  and  $A \setminus B = \{a \in A : a \notin B\}$ .

Following Kim [3], we have to assume  $S$  is infinite and  $E(S) = \emptyset$ .

We notice that for any  $s \in S$ ,  $\{s, s^2, \dots\}$  are all distinct. Hence the semigroup contains a set  $N$ , isomorphic to the set of positive integers  $Z^+$ .

If  $S$  admits a decomposition as  $S = \bigcup_i M_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) of disjoint mutants  $M_i$ , so will do the set  $N$ , as  $N = \bigcup_i N_i^*$ ;  $N_i^* = N \cap M_i$  are the disjoint mutant components of  $N$ .

Hence it is sufficient to prove that the semigroup of positive integers  $Z^+$ , has no decomposition as  $Z^+ = \bigcup M_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), the union of finite number of disjoint mutants  $M_i$ . To prove this we appeal to the following theorem [4], [5].

**Van der Waerden's Theorem.** *Let  $k$  and  $l$  be two arbitrary natural numbers. Then there exists a natural number  $h(l, k)$  such that, if an arbitrary segment of length  $h(l, k)$  of the sequence of natural numbers is divided in any manner into  $k$  classes (some of which may be empty), then an arithmetic progression of length  $l$ , appears in at least one of these classes.*

and prove the

**Theorem.** *For each positive integer  $n$ , there exists a positive integer  $l(n)$  such that if*

$\{1, 2, \dots, l(n)\} = M_1 \dot{\cup} M_2 \dots \dot{\cup} M_n$ , *is a decomposition into disjoint subsets  $M_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then at least one  $M_i$  is not a mutant.*

This confirms our result in particular; since if the set of positive integers is decomposable as a union of disjoint mutants, so will be the subset  $\{1, 2, \dots, l(n)\}$ .

*Proof.* For  $n = 1$ , choose  $l(1) = 2$ , then  $M_1 = \{1, 2\}$  is not a mutant, since  $1 + 1 \in M_1$ .

Next assume  $n > 1$ , and that the assertion is true for  $n - 1$ .

Choose  $h = h(l(n-1), n)$  in Van der Waerden's theorem and assume  $\{1, 2, \dots, h\} = M_1 \dot{\cup} M_2 \dots \dot{\cup} M_n$  is a decomposition into disjoint subsets.

By the theorem, one of the  $M_i$  say  $M_1$  has an arithmetic progression of length  $l(n-1)$ .

Hence  $M_1$  contains  $a, a + d, \dots, a + l(n-1)d$ . If  $M_i$  are all mutants,  $M_1$  must be disjoint with the set  $\{d, 2d, \dots, l(n-1)d\}$  i.e.,  $D = \{d, 2d, \dots, l(n-1)d\} \subseteq M_2 \dot{\cup} M_3 \dots \dot{\cup} M_n$  i.e.,  $\{d, 2d, \dots, l(n-1)d\} = K_2 \dot{\cup} K_3 \dots \dot{\cup} K_n$  where  $K_i$  are mutants, being  $M_i \cap D = K_i$  i.e.,  $\{1, 2, \dots, l(n-1)\} = L_2 \dot{\cup} L_3 \dots \dot{\cup} L_n$  where  $L_i$  ( $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ ) are disjoint mutants – a contradiction to the fact that the theorem is true for  $n - 1$ .

Further studies on mutants, like open ones in topological semigroups and  $(m, n)$  mutants in semigroups due to ISEKI [1] – will be left for the future.

#### References

- [1] K. Iseki: On mutant sets in semigroups, Proc. Japan Acad. 38 (1962), p. 478–479.
- [2] A. A. Mullin: Properties of mutants, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1961), p. 82.
- [3] J. B. Kim: Mutants in semigroups, Czech. Math. Journal 19 (94), (1969), p. 86–90.
- [4] A. Y. Khinchin: Three pearls of number theory, Garylock Press, Rochester, New York, (1962).
- [5] B. L. Van der Waerden: Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung Nieuw. Arch. Wiskunde, 15 (1927), p. 212–216.

*Author's address:* Department of Mathematics, Institute of Advanced Studies, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2600, Australia.