

Josef Novák

On sequential envelopes defined by means of certain classes of continuous functions

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 18 (1968), No. 3, 450–456

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100845>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1968

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON SEQUENTIAL ENVELOPES DEFINED BY MEANS OF CERTAIN
CLASSES OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

JOSEF NOVÁK, Praha

(Received December 29, 1966)

In this paper the \mathcal{F}_0 sequentially regular convergence spaces L as well as the \mathcal{F}_0 sequential envelopes of such spaces are defined and the existence of such envelopes proved, \mathcal{F}_0 being a subclass of the class of all functions continuous on L . The theory of \mathcal{F}_0 sequential envelope is applied to algebras of sets \mathbf{A} in the case when \mathcal{F}_0 is the class of all probability measures on \mathbf{A} .

According to Čech-Stone compactification theorem each continuous function f defined on a completely regular space P such that $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$, $x \in P$, can be continuously extended on a compactification $\beta(P)$ of P . It is well known that each probability measure defined on an algebra of sets \mathbf{A} can be extended onto the σ -algebra $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$ generated by \mathbf{A} . The problem [1] arises as follows: To define analogous notions as complete regularity and Čech-Stone compactification for systems of sets in order to get $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$ as an envelope of \mathbf{A} . The solution of this problem leads to the notion of \mathcal{F}_0 sequentially regular convergence spaces and \mathcal{F}_0 sequential envelopes of such spaces.

In the section I the \mathcal{F}_0 sequential regularity of a convergence space L is defined, \mathcal{F}_0 being a subclass of the class \mathcal{F} of all continuous functions on L . Further the definition of an \mathcal{F}_0 sequential envelope is given and it is proved that each \mathcal{F}_0 sequentially regular space has an \mathcal{F}_0 sequential envelope. In the section II it is shown that each algebra of sets \mathbf{A} is a \mathcal{P} sequentially regular convergence space, \mathcal{P} denoting the class of all probability measures, and it is proved that the σ -algebra $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$ generated by \mathbf{A} is a \mathcal{P} sequential envelope of \mathbf{A} . An example of a set algebra \mathbf{F} is given showing that the \mathcal{F} sequential envelope can substantially differ from the \mathcal{P} sequential envelope of \mathbf{F} .

I.

A convergence space $(L, \mathcal{Q}, \lambda)$ is a point set L on which a closure operation λ is defined by means of a convergence \mathcal{Q} on L . The convergence \mathcal{Q} is the set of elements

$(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{Q}$ where $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of points $x_n \in L$ and $x \in L$, fulfilling the properties:

$(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{Q}$ and $(\{x_n\}, y) \in \mathfrak{Q}$ implies $x = y$,

$(\{x\}, x) \in \mathfrak{Q}$ for each $x \in L$,

$(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{Q}$ implies $(\{x_{n_i}\}, x) \in \mathfrak{Q}$ for each subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$.

Instead of $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{Q}$ we write $\lim x_n = x$. The closure λA of a set $A \subset L$ is the set of all points $\lim x_n \in L$ such that $\bigcup x_n \subset A$. It is easy to see that $\lambda x = x$ for each $x \in L$, $\lambda(A \cup B) = \lambda A \cup \lambda B$ and $A \subset B$ implies $A \subset \lambda A \subset \lambda B$. In convergence spaces the axiom of the closed closure $\lambda \lambda A = \lambda A$ need not be satisfied. It is possible to construct non decreasing sequences of successive closures $\lambda^\xi A$ where $\lambda^\xi A = \bigcup_{\eta < \xi} \lambda^\eta A$ and $\lambda^0 A = A$. The set $\lambda^{\omega_1} A$ is the smallest closed set containing A as a subset, ω_1 being the least uncountable ordinal. We say that A is sequentially dense in B if $\lambda^{\omega_1} A = B$.

Examples. The sets R_0 of rational and R of real numbers with the usual convergence. The convergence Euclidean space $(E, \mathfrak{E}, \varepsilon)$ (of finite or infinite dimension) with the coordinatewise convergence on E defined by means of the usual convergence on R . The system of sets $(\mathbf{X}, \mathfrak{Q}, \lambda)$ with the convergence \mathfrak{Q} consisting of all elements $(\{A_n\}, A)$ such that $A = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \bigcap_{n \geq k} A_n = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \bigcup_{n \geq k} A_n$.

A map g on a convergence space $(L, \mathfrak{Q}, \lambda)$ into a convergence space (M, \mathfrak{M}, μ) is continuous at a point $x_0 \in L$ if $\lim x_n = x_0$ in L implies $\lim g(x_{n_i}) = g(x_0)$ in M , $\{x_{n_i}\}$ being a suitable subsequence of $\{x_n\}$. It is easy to see that a real valued function f on L into R is continuous on L if and only if $\lim x_n = x$ implies $\lim f(x_n) = f(x)$ for each point $x \in L$. The class of all continuous real valued functions on L will be denoted $\mathcal{F}(L)$ or simply \mathcal{F} .

In [1] I defined the notion of a sequentially regular space. Now we are going to generalize this notion as follows¹⁾. Let L be a convergence space and \mathcal{F}_0 a subclass of $\mathcal{F}(L)$. The space L is \mathcal{F}_0 sequentially regular if for any point $x_0 \in L$ and any sequence of points $x_n \in L$ no subsequence of which converges to x_0 there is a continuous function $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$ such that $\{f(x_n)\}$ does not converge to $f(x_0)$. Now we shall prove

Theorem 1. Each \mathcal{F}_0 sequentially regular space is homeomorphic to a subspace of the convergence Euclidean space $(E, \mathfrak{E}, \varepsilon)$ of the dimension²⁾ $\text{card } \mathcal{F}_0$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{F}_0 consist of all f_α , $\alpha \in I$, I being an index set of the same power as \mathcal{F}_0 . Consider the map $\varphi_0(x) = (f_\alpha(x))_{\alpha \in I}$ on L into $(E, \mathfrak{E}, \varepsilon)$. Then φ_0 is a homeomorphism on L onto the subspace $\varphi_0(L)$ of $(E, \mathfrak{E}, \varepsilon)$. The proof of this assertion is analogous as in [1] and may be omitted.

¹⁾ Instead of \mathcal{F}_0 the Greek letter α is used in [1]. If $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}$, then the symbol \mathcal{F}_0 will be omitted and we shall speak simply of a sequentially regular space instead of an \mathcal{F} sequentially regular space; the same concerns the \mathcal{F} sequential envelope.

²⁾ The power of a set A will be denoted by $\text{card } A$.

The homeomorphism φ_0 will be called an \mathcal{F}_0 homeomorphism³⁾ and always denoted by a thick Greek letter.

In [1] I defined the sequential envelope of a sequentially regular space $(L, \mathcal{Q}, \lambda)$ to be a largest sequentially regular overspace S of L such that L is sequentially dense in S and each continuous function on L can be continuously extended onto S . Now we shall generalize this definition.

Definition. Let $(L, \mathcal{Q}, \lambda)$ be an \mathcal{F}_0 sequentially regular space. Let $(S, \mathfrak{S}, \sigma)$ be a convergence space. We say that S is an \mathcal{F}_0 sequential envelope of the space L if

1° L is a sequentially dense subspace of S .

2° Each continuous function $f \in \mathcal{F}_0(L)$ can be extended to a continuous function $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{F}(S)$ and the space S is $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(S)$ sequentially regular, $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(S)$ being the class of all $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{F}(S)$ such that $\bar{f}|L \in \mathcal{F}_0(L)$.

3° There is no convergence space (T, \mathfrak{T}, τ) containing S as a proper subspace and fulfilling 1° and 2° with regard to L and T .

Now we shall proceed analogously as in [1] to show that each \mathcal{F}_0 sequentially regular space has an \mathcal{F}_0 sequential envelope. The proofs will be shortened accordingly.

Theorem 2. Let $(L, \mathcal{Q}, \lambda)$ be an \mathcal{F}_0 sequentially regular space. Let φ_0 be an \mathcal{F}_0 homeomorphism on L into the convergence Euclidean space $(E, \mathfrak{E}, \varepsilon)$ of the dimension card \mathcal{F}_0 . Let the space L be sequentially dense in a convergence overspace $(S, \mathfrak{S}, \sigma)$. Then 2° holds true if and only if there is a homeomorphism h on S into $\varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$ such that $h(x) = \varphi_0(x)$, $x \in L$.

Proof. Let 2° hold. Since $\varphi_0(L) = \{(f_\alpha(x)) \in E : f_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_0(L), x \in L, \alpha \in I\}$ and because there is a one-to-one correspondence on $\mathcal{F}_0(L)$ onto $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(S)$ (a function $g \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(S)$ corresponds to $f \in \mathcal{F}_0(L)$ if $g|L = f$) there is an $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_0$ homeomorphism ψ_0 on S onto $\psi_0(S) = \{(g_\alpha(x)) \in E : g_\alpha \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(S), x \in S, \alpha \in I\}$ such that $\psi_0(x) = \varphi_0(x)$, $x \in L$, g_α being the corresponding continuous extension of f_α , $\alpha \in I$. Using the method of transfinite induction it is easy to prove that $\psi_0(S) \subset \varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$. Consequently it suffices to put $h = \psi_0$.

Now, let h be a homeomorphism on S into $\varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$ such that $h(x) = \varphi_0(x)$, $x \in L$. If $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_0(L)$, then the function ph on S is a continuous extension of the function f_α , p being a projection function: $p((z_\alpha)) = z_\alpha$, for each $(z_\alpha) \in \varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$. The $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_0$ sequential regularity of the space S remains to be proved. Evidently, it suffices to show that $h(x) = (\bar{f}_\alpha(x))$, $x \in S$, $\alpha \in I$, where $\bar{f}_\alpha \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_0$ and \bar{f}_α corresponds to $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_0(L)$.

Suppose (transfinite induction) that $h(x) = (\bar{f}_\alpha(x))$, $x \in \sigma^\eta L$, for all $\eta < \xi$, where $0 < \xi \leq \omega_1$. Let y be any point belonging to the set $\sigma^\xi L - \bigcup_{\eta < \xi} \sigma^\eta L$. Then ξ is isol-

³⁾ If $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}$, then in [1] an \mathcal{F}_0 homeomorphism is called a special homeomorphism.

ated and there is a sequence of points $y_n \in \sigma^{\xi-1}L$ such that $\lim y_n = y$; therefore $\lim h(y_n) = h(y) \in E$. Denote $h(y) = (t_\alpha)$. Since $h(y_n) = (\bar{f}_\alpha(y_n))$, it follows $\lim \bar{f}_\alpha(y_n) = t_\alpha, \alpha \in I$. On the other hand, $\lim \bar{f}_\alpha(y_n) = \bar{f}_\alpha(y)$ and so $h(y) = (\bar{f}_\alpha(y))$.

Theorem 3. *Let (L, Ω, λ) be an \mathcal{F}_0 sequentially regular space. Let $\varphi_0(x), x \in L$, be an \mathcal{F}_0 homeomorphism into the convergence Euclidean space $(E, \mathfrak{E}, \varepsilon)$ of the dimension card \mathcal{F}_0 . Let L be a sequentially dense subspace of a convergence space $(S, \mathfrak{S}, \sigma)$. Then S is an \mathcal{F}_0 sequential envelope of L if and only if there is a homeomorphic map h on S onto $\varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$ such that $h(x) = \varphi_0(x), x \in L$.*

Proof. The necessity. From Theorem 2 it follows that there is a homeomorphism h on S into $\varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$ such that $h(x) = \varphi_0(x), x \in L$. We are to prove that $h(S) = \varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$. Suppose that, on the contrary, $\varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L) - h(S) \neq \emptyset$. Let γ be the least ordinal such that there is a point $b \in \varepsilon^\gamma \varphi_0(L) - h(S)$. Add a new element a to the set S , denote $S' = S \cup a$, put $h'(x) = h(x), x \in S$ and $h'(a) = b$ and define the convergence \mathfrak{S}' on $S' : (\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{S}'$ if $\lim h'(x_n) = h'(x)$ in E . Then h' is a homeomorphism on S' into $\varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$ such that $h'(x) = \varphi_0(x), x \in L$. It is easy to see that L is a sequentially dense subspace in $(S', \mathfrak{S}', \sigma')$. Consequently 1° and also 2° (by Theorem 2) hold with regard to L and S' . This contradicts 3° .

The sufficiency. 1° holds by the supposition and 2° by Theorem 2. Suppose (indirect proof) that 3° is not fulfilled. Then there is a convergence overspace $(\bar{S}, \bar{\mathfrak{S}}, \bar{\sigma})$ of $(S, \mathfrak{S}, \sigma)$, $\bar{S} \neq S$, fulfilling 1° and 2° with regard to L and \bar{S} . By Theorem 2, there is a homeomorphism $\bar{h}(x)$ on \bar{S} into $\varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$ such that $\bar{h}(x) = \varphi_0(x), x \in L$. Then $\bar{h}(x) = h(x), x \in S$. As a matter of fact, assume that $\bar{h}(x) = h(x), x \in \sigma^\xi L$, for each $\xi < \zeta$ where $0 < \zeta \leq \omega_1$. If $x_0 \in \sigma^\zeta L - \sigma^{\zeta-1}L$, then there is a sequence of points $x_n \in \sigma^{\zeta-1}L$ such that $(\{x_n\}, x_0) \in \mathfrak{S}$; consequently $h(x_0) = \lim h(x_n) = \lim \bar{h}(x_n) = \bar{h}(x_0)$. Since $S \subset \bar{S} = \bar{\sigma}^{\omega_1}L \neq S$ and $L \subset S \cap \bar{S}$, there is a point $\bar{a} \in \bar{S} - S$ and a sequence of points $t_n \in \bar{S} \cap S$ such that $(\{t_n\}, \bar{a}) \in \bar{\mathfrak{S}}$. Denote $b = \bar{h}(\bar{a})$. Then $b \in \varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$ and $b = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{h}(t_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(t_n)$. Since h^{-1} is continuous on $\varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$, it follows that $h^{-1}(b) \in \sigma \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n = S \cap \sigma \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n$ so that $(\{t_{n_i}\}, h^{-1}(b)) \in \bar{\mathfrak{S}}$ for a suitable subsequence $\{t_{n_i}\}$ of $\{t_n\}$. However, $(\{t_{n_i}\}, \bar{a}) \in \bar{\mathfrak{S}}$ and so $\bar{a} = h^{-1}(b)$. This is a contradiction.

Theorem 4. *Let (L, Ω, λ) be an \mathcal{F}_0 sequentially regular space. Then there exists an \mathcal{F}_0 sequential envelope of L .*

Proof. Let S be a point set containing L as a subset and such that $\text{card}(S - L) = \text{card}(\varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L) - \varphi_0(L)$, φ_0 being an \mathcal{F}_0 homeomorphism. Let g be a one-to-one map of S onto $\varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$ such that $g(x) = \varphi_0(x), x \in L$. Define the convergence \mathfrak{S} on $S : (\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{S}$ if $\lim g(x_n) = g(x)$ in $\varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$. Then g is a homeomorphism on $(S, \mathfrak{S}, \sigma)$ onto $\varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$ and $(S, \mathfrak{S}, \sigma)$ is an \mathcal{F}_0 sequential envelope of (L, Ω, λ) , by Theorem 3.

Theorem 5. Let $(S_1, \mathfrak{S}_1, \sigma_1)$ and $(S_2, \mathfrak{S}_2, \sigma_2)$ be \mathcal{F}_0 sequential envelopes of an \mathcal{F}_0 sequentially regular space $(L, \mathfrak{Q}, \lambda)$. Then there is a homeomorphism h on S_1 onto S_2 such that $h(x) = x, x \in L$.

Proof. According to Theorem 3 there are homeomorphisms h_i on S_i onto $\varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$ such that $h_i(x) = \varphi_0(x), x \in L, i = 1, 2$, where φ_0 denotes an \mathcal{F}_0 homeomorphism on L onto $\varphi_0(L)$. Consequently it suffices to put $h = h_2^{-1} h_1$.

Theorem 6. Let $(L, \mathfrak{Q}, \lambda)$ be an \mathcal{F}_0 sequentially regular space. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}(L)$. Let $(S_0, \mathfrak{S}_0, \sigma_0)$ and $(S_1, \mathfrak{S}_1, \sigma_1)$ be \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{F}_1 sequential envelopes of L . Then there is a continuous map m on S_1 into S_0 such that $m(x) = x, x \in L$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}_i = \{f_\alpha; \alpha \in I_i\}, i = 0, 1$, where $I_0 \subset I_1$. Let φ_i be an \mathcal{F}_i homeomorphism on L onto $\varphi_i(L) \subset E_i, (E_i, \mathfrak{E}_i, \varepsilon_i)$ being the convergence Euclidean space of the dimension card I_i . By Theorem 3, there is a homeomorphism h_i on S_i onto $\varepsilon_i^{\omega_1} \varphi_i(L)$ such that $h_i(x) = \varphi_i(x), x \in L, i = 0, 1$. It suffices to put $m(x) = h_0^{-1} \pi h_1(x), x \in S_1$, where π denotes the projection map on $\varepsilon_1^{\omega_1} \varphi_1(L)$ onto $\varepsilon_0^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(L)$.

II.

Let X be a point set and \mathbf{X} the system of all subsets of X . Denote $(\mathbf{X}, \mathfrak{Q}, \lambda)$ the convergence space, \mathfrak{Q} being the usual convergence of sets. Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra of sets on X (i.e. $X \in \mathbf{A}$) and $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$ the σ -algebra generated by \mathbf{A} . Since both $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\lambda^{\omega_1} \mathbf{A}$ are convergence subspaces of \mathbf{X} and both are the smallest closed sets in $(\mathbf{X}, \mathfrak{Q}, \lambda)$ containing \mathbf{A} as a subset, evidently [2] $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A}) = \lambda^{\omega_1} \mathbf{A}$. Consequently, the algebra \mathbf{A} is a sequentially dense subspace of the σ -algebra $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$. Denote \mathcal{P} , or more precisely $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A})$, the class of all probability measures defined on the algebra \mathbf{A} . It is known [2] that $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})$.

Lemma 1. Each algebra of sets is a \mathcal{P} sequentially regular space.

Proof. Let A_0 be an element and $\{A_n\}$ a sequence of elements of an algebra of sets \mathbf{A} not converging to A_0 . Choose a point $x_0 \in (A \div \text{Lim sup } A_n) \cup (A \div \text{Lim inf } A_n)$. Then the characteristic function $c_A(x_0), A \in \mathbf{A}$, is a probability measure on \mathbf{A} such that $\{c_{A_n}(x_0)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ does not converge to $c_{A_0}(x_0)$.

Lemma 2. Let \mathbf{B} be a σ -algebra of sets on X and $\{B_n\}$ a sequence of elements $B_n \in \mathbf{B}$. Then $\{B_n\}$ converges in \mathbf{B} if and only if there exists $\lim P(B_n)$ for each probability measure P on \mathbf{B} .

Proof. If $\text{Lim } B_n = B \in \mathbf{B}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{B})$, then $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{B}) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{B})$ implies that $\lim P(B_n) = P(B)$. Now suppose that $\{B_n\}$ does not converge⁴) in \mathbf{B} . Since \mathbf{B} is

⁴) i.e. either $\text{Lim } B_n$ does not belong to \mathbf{B} or $\{B_n\}$ does not converge at all.

closed in \mathbf{X} , then $\{B_n\}$ does not converge in \mathbf{X} . Consequently, there is a point $x_0 \in \text{Lim sup } B_n - \text{Lim inf } B_n$ and $c_B(x_0) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{B})$. It follows that $\{c_{B_n}(x_0)\}$ does not converge.

Theorem 7. *Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra of sets on X . Then the σ -algebra $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$ generated by \mathbf{A} is a \mathcal{P} sequential envelope of \mathbf{A} .*

Proof. It is well known that each probability measure $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A})$ can be extended in a unique way to a probability measure \bar{P} on the σ -algebra $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$; consequently $\bar{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A}))$, $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ denoting the class of all extended probability measures on $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$. By Lemma 1, the convergence space $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$ is $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ sequentially regular so that 1° and 2° hold with respect to \mathbf{A} and $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$. Let $\varphi_0(A)$, $A \in \mathbf{A}$, be a \mathcal{P} homeomorphism on \mathbf{A} into the convergence Euclidean space $(E, \mathfrak{C}, \varepsilon)$ of the dimension card \mathcal{P} . According to Theorem 2 there is a homeomorphism h on $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$ into $\varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(A)$ such that $h(A) = \varphi_0(A)$, $A \in \mathbf{A}$. We are going to prove that h maps $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$ onto $\varepsilon^{\omega_1} \varphi_0(A)$.

Suppose, on the contrary, that there is the least ordinal \mathfrak{g} and a point $(z_\alpha) \in \varepsilon^{\mathfrak{g}} \varphi_0(A)$ such that $(z_\alpha) \neq h(A)$ for each $A \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$. Then evidently $\mathfrak{g} - 1$ exists and there is a sequence of points $(z_\alpha^n) \in \varepsilon^{\mathfrak{g}-1} \varphi_0(A)$ such that $\lim z_\alpha^n = z_\alpha$ for each index α . Denote $B_n = h^{-1}((z_\alpha^n))$. Then $z_\alpha^n = \bar{P}_\alpha(B_n)$ and $\lim \bar{P}_\alpha(B_n) = z_\alpha$ for each probability measure $\bar{P}_\alpha \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}$. By Lemma 2 there is an element $B \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$ such that $\text{Lim } B_n = B$. Consequently $h(B) = (z_\alpha)$. This is a contradiction.

Hence, in view of Theorem 3, the proof is finished.

Now, consider the relation between the sequential envelope and the \mathcal{P} sequential envelope of the same algebra of sets \mathbf{A} . The example shows that both envelopes can substantially differ from each other.

Example. Let X be an infinite point set. The system \mathbf{F} of all subsets $F \subset X$ such that F or $X - F$ is finite is an algebra of sets on X . The algebra \mathbf{F} is a sequential envelope of \mathbf{F} itself.

As a matter of fact, let $\{F_n\}$ be a sequence of sets not converging in \mathbf{F} . Two cases are possible: either there is a point $x_0 \in \text{Lim sup } F_n - \text{Lim inf } F_n$ or there is a set $S = \text{Lim } F_n$ in X such that both S and $X - S$ are infinite sets. In the first case define a set function g on \mathbf{F} : $g(F) = c_F(x_0)$, c_F being the characteristic function of the set F . In the second case suppose that there is a subsequence $\{G_n\}$ of $\{F_n\}$ such that G_n are finite (if nearly all F_n are infinite, then the procedure is analogous). Use the method of mathematical induction: Choose a point $y_k \in S - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} G_{n_i}$ and an element G_{n_k} containing points y_1, \dots, y_k such that $n_k > n_{k-1} > \dots > n_1$. Now define a set function g on \mathbf{F} : $g(F) = 1$ if there is an even natural m such that $F \supset \bigcup_{i=1}^m y_i$ and $y_{m+1} \notin F$; otherwise put $g(F) = 0$.

It can easily be proved that in both cases g is continuous on \mathbf{F} and the sequence $\{g(F_n)\}$ does not converge at all. From this it follows that if φ is any \mathcal{P} homeomor-

phism on \mathbf{F} onto $\varphi(\mathbf{F})$, then $\varepsilon\varphi(\mathbf{F}) = \varphi(\mathbf{F})$ so that $\varepsilon^{\omega_1}\varphi(\mathbf{F}) = \varphi(\mathbf{F})$. According to Theorem 3 the algebra of sets \mathbf{F} is an \mathcal{F} sequential envelope of itself; it differs from the σ -algebra $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{F})$ generated by \mathbf{F} because $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{F})$ consists of all elements A such that A or $X - A$ are finite or countably infinite subsets of X .

Now, from Theorem 5 it follows that the \mathcal{F} sequential envelope of \mathbf{F} is not homeomorphic to the \mathcal{P} sequential envelope of \mathbf{F} .

In this example the \mathcal{F} sequential envelope of the algebra \mathbf{A} is \mathbf{A} itself. On the other hand, V. KOUTNÍK has shown in [3] that there are convergence rings of sets \mathbf{R} such that the \mathcal{F} sequential envelope of \mathbf{R} is different from \mathbf{R} .

The following problem arises: Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra of sets on X . We define a real valued function $f(A)$, $A \in \mathbf{A}$, to be uniformly continuous on \mathbf{A} if $\text{Lim}(A_n \div B_n) = \emptyset$ implies that $\lim(f(A_n) - f(B_n)) = 0$. Denote \mathcal{U} the class of all bounded uniformly continuous functions on \mathbf{A} . It is easy to show that $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})$. Consequently \mathbf{A} is a \mathcal{U} sequentially regular space and according to Theorem 4 there is a \mathcal{U} sequential envelope of \mathbf{A} . Is the σ -algebra $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A})$ generated by the algebra of sets \mathbf{A} a \mathcal{U} sequential envelope of \mathbf{A} ?

References

- [1] J. Novák: On convergence spaces and their sequential envelopes. Czech. Math. Journ. 15 (90) 1965, p. 74–100.
- [2] J. Novák: Über die eindeutigen stetigen Erweiterungen stetiger Funktionen. Czech. Math. Journ. 8 (83) 1958, p. 344–355.
- [3] V. Koutník: On sequentially regular convergence spaces. Czech. Math. Journ. 17 (92) 1967, 232–247.

Author's address: Praha 1, Žitná 25, ČSSR (Matematický ústav ČSAV).