

Josef Král

A note on perimeter and measure

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 13 (1963), No. 1, 139–147

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100556>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1963

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A NOTE ON PERIMETER AND MEASURE

JOSEF KRÁL, Praha

(Received January 25, 1961)

Several sufficient conditions are given for a compact set of finite perimeter to be of measure zero.

1. Introductory remark. Simple examples may be given of an open set $G \subset E_m$ such that both G and \bar{G} are of finite perimeter and $F = \bar{G} - G$ has positive volume. Moreover, for $m \geq 3$, G may be assumed connected and uniformly locally connected (cf. section 6.3). Such a situation cannot occur if certain topological restrictions are imposed on F or on G . In the relatively simple case $m = 2$, F is of (plane) measure zero provided G is a domain or a uniformly locally connected open set and F has finite perimeter. If F is a simple closed curve and G is its complementary domain then F has measure zero whenever G is of finite perimeter (for the perimeter of G coincides with the length of F).¹⁾ More complicated situations arise if $m \geq 3$. In the well-known example of A. S. BESICOVITCH of a topological sphere F in E_3 of finite Lebesgue area and of positive volume (as constructed in [2]) the bounded complementary domain G of F has finite perimeter. However, this is no longer true about \bar{G} . Generally, if F is a closed surface in E_3 , G one of its complementary domains and if both G and \bar{G} have finite perimeter, then F is of (3-dimensional) measure zero. (As W. H. FLEMING noticed in [6], remark on p. 437, this was pointed out by H. FEDERER; the same result was announced in [8] and proved in [9].) Similar conclusion remains in force if only $F = \bar{G} - G$ is assumed to be of finite perimeter. The present note deals with conditions which, imposed on a closed set F (in E_3 or E_2) of finite perimeter and on an open set G disjoint with F and "close" to F , imply that F has measure zero.

2. Notation. Given an open set $G \subset E_m$ and an integer i with $0 \leq i < m$, we shall denote by $\mathcal{A}_i G$ the set of all x in \bar{G} ($=$ closure of G) with the following property: To any neighbourhood $U_0(x)$ of x (in E_m) there can be assigned a neighbourhood $U_1(x) \subset U_0(x)$ of x such that every i -cycle (with integer coefficients)²⁾ in $G \cap U_1(x)$

¹⁾ Cf. J. MAŘÍK [12].

²⁾ To be interpreted in the sense of § 3, chap. XIV of P. S. ALEKSANDROFF'S (П. С. Александров) monograph [1] (cf. also chap. XV, 0 : 1).

bounds in $G \cap U_0(x)$. (For a general study of analogous properties, the reader is referred to R. L. WILDER's monograph [13].)

2.1. Lemma. For every open set $G \subset E_m$ and every integer $i \in \langle 0, m \rangle$ the set $\mathcal{A}_i G$ is an $F_{\sigma\delta}$.

Proof. Fix G and i . Write $U(x, r)$ for $\{y; y \in E_m, |x - y| < r\}$. Given positive integers $n < k$, denote by H_{nk} the set of all $x \in \bar{G}$ for which the following condition is satisfied: For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every i -cycle z^i in $G \cap U(x, 1/k)$ there is an $(i + 1)$ -chain c^{i+1} in $G \cap U(x, 1/n + \varepsilon)$ bounded by z^i . H_{nk} is closed. To see this it is sufficient to observe that, given $\varepsilon > 0$ and an i -cycle z^i with $\bar{z}^i \subset U(x, 1/k) \cap G^3$ we have $U(y, 1/n + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon) \subset U(x, 1/n + \varepsilon)$ and $\bar{z}^i \subset U(y, 1/k)$ for every y sufficiently close to x . Since, clearly, $\mathcal{A}_i G = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n+1}^{\infty} H_{nk}$, we see that $\mathcal{A}_i G$ is an $F_{\sigma\delta}$.

3. Notation. Fix a positive integer m . Given an integer $i \in \langle 1, m \rangle$, we denote by \mathfrak{G}_i the system of all Lebesgue measurable subsets A in E_m for which there exists a finite signed Borel measure Φ_i^A over the boundary $\mathcal{B}A$ of A , such that

$$(1) \quad \int_A \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} dx = \int_{\mathcal{B}A} \varphi(x) d\Phi_i^A$$

for every infinitely differentiable function φ with compact support. Let $\|A\|_i$ stand for the total variation of Φ_i^A on $\mathcal{B}A$ and put $\|A\|_i = +\infty$ for every Lebesgue measurable $A \subset E_m$ which does not belong to \mathfrak{G}_i . We have thus

$$\|A\|_i = \sup_{\varphi} \int_A \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} dx,$$

φ ranging over the class of all infinitely differentiable functions φ with compact support for which $\max_x |\varphi(x)| \leq 1$. We shall denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_i$ the system of all Lebesgue measurable A such that $A \cap K \in \mathfrak{G}_i$ for every cube $K \subset E_m$. (Thus $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_i$ coincides with the system of all Lebesgue measurable A for which there exists a locally finite signed Borel measure Φ_i^A over $\mathcal{B}A$ such that (1) holds whenever φ is an infinitely differentiable function with compact support on E_m .) Further, put

$$\mathfrak{G} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{G}_i, \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{G}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_i.$$

Defining (for Lebesgue measurable $A \subset E_m$)

$$\|A\| = \sup_v \int_A \operatorname{div} v(x) dx,$$

v ranging over the class of all (m -dimensional) infinitely differentiable vector-valued

³⁾ Cf. [1] (chap. XV, 0 : 1) for notation.

functions v with compact support for which $\max_x |v(x)| \leq 1$, we see that \mathfrak{G} is the system of all Lebesgue measurable $A \subset E_m$ such that $\|A\| < +\infty$. \mathfrak{G} is an algebra. $\|E_m - A\| = \|A\|$ for every Lebesgue measurable $A \subset E_m$. Given a monotone sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of elements of \mathfrak{G} with $\sup_n \|A_n\| < +\infty$, we have $\lim_n A_n \in \mathfrak{G}$. $\|A\|$ will be termed the perimeter of A . (For bounded A the notation $\|A\|_i$, $\|A\|$ was introduced by J. MAŘÍK in [11]. Another equivalent definition of perimeter for Borel subsets in E_m was given by E. DE GIORGI in [3]; cf. also [4] and H. FEDERER [5]. The reader is referred to [7] for further bibliography on the subject.)

4. We shall collect here several known results to be used later. Suppose there is given a set $M \subset E_1$. A point $a \in E_1$ will be termed an εM -point provided both $(E_1 - M) \cap I$ and $M \cap I$ have positive outer linear measure for every open interval $I \subset E_1$ containing a . The number (possibly zero or infinite) of all εM -points will be denoted by $\varepsilon(M)$. Further we shall use the following notation. Given positive integers $i \leq m$, a subset A in E_m and a point $x = [x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}] \in E_{m-1}$, we write A_x^i for the set of all $\zeta \in E_1$ with $[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \zeta, x_i, \dots, x_{m-1}] \in A$. The following assertion is known ([7]; cf. also J. MAŘÍK [11] and chap. 7 of K. KRICKEBERG [10]).

4.1. Let A be a Lebesgue measurable subset in E_m . Then $\varepsilon(A_x^i)$, considered as a function of the variable x on E_{m-1} , is Lebesgue measurable and

$$\|A\|_i = \int_{E_{m-1}} \varepsilon(A_x) dx.$$

Write $A^\zeta = \{x; x \in E_{m-1}, \zeta \in A_x^m\}$ ($A \subset E_m, \zeta \in E_1$). Using Fubini's theorem, we obtain from 4.1 the following assertion:

4.2. Let A be a Lebesgue measurable subset in E_m , i a positive integer with $i < m$. Then $\|A^\zeta\|_i$ is a Lebesgue measurable function of the variable ζ on E_1 and

$$\|A\|_i = \int_{E_1} \|A^\zeta\|_i d\zeta$$

(cf. also W. H. FLEMING [6], p. 455, and K. KRICKEBERG [10], p. 125). Hence it follows, in particular, that $A^\zeta \in \mathfrak{G}_i$ (in E_{m-1} ; $i < m$) for almost every $\zeta \in E_1$ provided $A \in \mathfrak{G}_i$ (in E_m).

Given a set $A \subset E_m$, we denote by A^* the set of all $[x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, \zeta] = [x, \zeta]$ ($x \in E_{m-1}, \zeta \in E_1$) for which ζ belongs to the interior of A_x^m (in E_1). Further we write L_k for the k -dimensional Lebesgue measure.

4.3. Let $A \subset E_m$ be a closed set, $A \in \mathfrak{G}_m$. Then A^* is an F_σ -set and $L_m(A - A^*) = 0$. (Cf. [7].)

⁴) Here $\| \dots \|_i$ is considered in E_{m-1} .

5. Theorem. Let F be a locally compact subset in E_3 and suppose that $F \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_i \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_j$, where $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$. Further suppose that G is an open subset in E_3 with $G \cap F = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{A}_0 G \supset F$. Then either $L_3 F = 0$ or $L_3(F - \mathcal{A}_1 G) > 0$.

Proof. Let $i = 2, j = 3$. We may clearly assume that F is compact and $F \in \mathfrak{G}_2 \cap \mathfrak{G}_3$. Suppose, if possible, that

$$L_3(F - \mathcal{A}_1 G) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_3 F > 0.$$

Then a $\zeta \in E_1$ can be chosen such that

$$L_2 F^\zeta > 0, \quad \|F^\zeta\|_2 < +\infty, \quad L_2(F - F^*)^\zeta = 0 = L_2(F - \mathcal{A}_1 G)^\zeta.$$

Write $B = F^\zeta$. Let B_n stand for the set of all $[\xi, \eta] \in E_2$ with

$$\{\xi\} \times \left\langle \eta - \frac{1}{n}, \eta + \frac{1}{n} \right\rangle \subset B,$$

so that $B^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$. Clearly, every B_n is closed. We have $L_2(B - B^*) = 0$ because $\|B\|_2 < +\infty$. Taking into account that $L_2 B > 0$, we fix a positive integer n with $L_2 B_n > 0$, so that

$$L_2(B_n \cap (F^*)^\zeta \cap (\mathcal{A}_1 G)^\zeta) > 0.$$

Consequently, we have a

$$[\xi_0, \eta_0] \in (F^*)^\zeta \cap (\mathcal{A}_1 G)^\zeta \cap B_n$$

such that $[\xi_0, \eta_0]$ is a point of density of B_n . Fix a sequence $\{[\xi_k, \eta_k]\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of points in B_n such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [\xi_k, \eta_k] = [\xi_0, \eta_0], \quad \xi_{2l-1} < \xi_0, \quad \xi_{2l} > \xi_0 \quad (l = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Further fix an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the segment

$$E = \{\xi^0\} \times \{\eta^0\} \times \langle \zeta - \varepsilon, \zeta + \varepsilon \rangle$$

is completely contained in F and write U_0 for the (open) sphere of center $[\xi_0, \eta_0, \zeta]$ and radius ε . Let U_1 be a sphere of center $[\xi_0, \eta_0, \zeta]$ and radius $\delta < \varepsilon$ such that any 1-cycle in $G \cap U_1$ bounds in $G \cap U_0$. Put $q = \min(1/n, \frac{1}{2}\delta)$ and write S_1, S_2 for the sphere of radius $\frac{1}{4}q$ and of center

$$[\xi_0, \eta_0 - \frac{1}{2}q, \zeta], \quad [\xi_0, \eta_0 + \frac{1}{2}q, \zeta]$$

respectively. Clearly, $S_1 \cup S_2 \subset U_1 - E$. Further, write \hat{S}_h for the sphere concentric with S_h ($h = 1, 2$) and of radius $\varepsilon_1 < \frac{1}{4}q$ small enough to secure that any 0-cycle in $G \cap \hat{S}_h$ bounds in $G \cap S_h$. Put

$$H_k = \{\xi_k\} \times \langle \eta_k - \frac{1}{2}q, \eta_k + \frac{1}{2}q \rangle \times \{\zeta\}$$

and fix a p such that

$$\begin{aligned} [\xi_p, \eta_p - \frac{1}{2}q, \zeta] &\in \hat{S}_1 \ni [\xi_{p+1}, \eta_{p+1} - \frac{1}{2}q, \zeta], \\ [\xi_p, \eta_p + \frac{1}{2}q, \zeta] &\in \hat{S}_2 \ni [\xi_{p+1}, \eta_{p+1} + \frac{1}{2}q, \zeta]. \end{aligned}$$

Let O_p and O_{p+1} be convex neighbourhoods of H_p and H_{p+1} respectively, such that $O_p \cup O_{p+1} \subset U_1 - E$. Since $H_p \subset \mathcal{A}_0 G$, we can fix an $\alpha > 0$ such that any 0-cycle $o_1 - o_2$ bounds in $O_p \cap G$ provided o_1, o_2 are points in G with

$$\alpha > \varrho(o_1, o_2) + \varrho(o_2, H_p). \quad ^5)$$

Suppose now that H_p is naturally ordered from $[\xi_p, \eta_p - \frac{1}{2}q, \zeta] = u_{p0}$ to $[\xi_p, \eta_p + \frac{1}{2}q, \zeta]$, and decompose H_p into segments of length not exceeding $\frac{1}{4}\alpha$ by means of points

$$u_{p0} < u_{p1} < \dots < u_{ps} = [\xi_p, \eta_p + \frac{1}{2}q, \zeta].$$

Let us associate with every u_{pj} a point $o_{pj} \in G$ such that

$$\varrho(u_{pj}, o_{pj}) < \frac{1}{4}\alpha, \quad o_{p0} \in S_1, \quad o_{ps} \in S_2.$$

We have thus

$$\varrho(o_{p,j-1}, o_{pj}) + \varrho(o_{pj}, H_p) < \alpha$$

and, consequently, the 0-cycle $o_{pj} - o_{p,j-1}$ bounds a 1-chain c_{pj}^1 ($1 \leq j \leq s$) in $G \cap O^p$. In a similar way we fix points $o_{p+1,j} \in O_{p+1} \cap G$ ($0 \leq j \leq t$) such that $o_{p+1,0} \in \hat{S}_2$, $o_{p+1,t} \in \hat{S}_1$ and such that the 0-cycle $o_{p+1,j} - o_{p+1,j-1}$ bounds a 1-chain $c_{p+1,j}^1$ ($1 \leq j \leq t$) in $G \cap O_{p+1}$. Since $o_{p+1,0} \in S_2 \cap G \ni o_{ps}$, the 0-cycle $o_{p+1,0} - o_{ps}$ bounds a 1-chain c_2^1 in $S_2 \cap G$. Similarly, the 0-cycle $o_{p0} - o_{p+1,t}$ bounds a 1-chain c_1^1 in $S_1 \cap G$. Put

$$z^1 = c_{p1}^1 + \dots + c_{ps}^1 + c_2^1 + c_{p+1,1}^1 + \dots + c_{p+1,t}^1 + c_1^1.$$

Clearly, z^1 is a 1-cycle in

$$(O_1 \cup S_2 \cup O_2 \cup S_1) \cap G \subset U_1 \cap G.$$

Thus z^1 should bound in $G \cap U_0 \subset U_0 - E$. Put

$$v_1 = [\xi_{p+1}, \eta_{p+1} - \frac{1}{2}q, \zeta], \quad v_2 = [\xi_{p+1}, \eta_{p+1} + \frac{1}{2}q, \zeta]$$

and let $\tilde{c}_1^1, \tilde{c}_2^1, \tilde{c}_3^1, \tilde{c}_4^1$ be 1-chains corresponding naturally to the oriented segments $\overrightarrow{u_{p0}u_{ps}}, \overrightarrow{u_{ps}v_2}, \overrightarrow{v_2v_1}, \overrightarrow{v_1u_{p0}}$ respectively. It is easily seen that z^1 is homologous to $\tilde{c}_1^1 + \tilde{c}_2^1 + \tilde{c}_3^1 + \tilde{c}_4^1 = \tilde{z}_1$ in

$$O_1 \cup S_2 \cup O_2 \cup S_1 \subset U_0 - E.$$

Since $[\xi_0, \eta_0]$ belongs to the interior of the parallelogram with vertices

$$[\xi_p, \eta_p - \frac{1}{2}q], \quad [\xi_p, \eta_p + \frac{1}{2}q], \quad [\xi_{p+1}, \eta_{p+1} + \frac{1}{2}q], \quad [\xi_{p+1}, \eta_{p+1} - \frac{1}{2}q],$$

the straight line $\{\xi_0\} \times \{\eta_0\} \times E_1 = P$ prevents \tilde{z}_1 from bounding. Consequently, not even z^1 can bound in $U_0 - E \subset E_3 - P$, which is a contradiction.

6. Corollaries. A set $S \subset E_3$ will be termed a simple surface provided every point $\mathbf{x} \in S$ has a neighbourhood in S which is homeomorphic with E_2 . If, moreover, S is a continuum, then S will be termed a simple closed surface. It follows from known

⁵⁾ We write ϱ for the Euclidean distance function.

theorems in topology and from the above theorem that the following assertions are true.

6.1. Corollary. *Let S be a simple surface in E_3 and suppose that $S \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_i \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_j$, where $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$. Then $L_3S = 0$.*

6.2. Corollary. *Let S be a simple closed surface in E_3 and let G_1, G_2 be its complementary domains. If both G_1 and G_2 belong to $\mathfrak{G}_i \cap \mathfrak{G}_j$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$), then $L_3S = 0$.*

Remark. A result slightly more general than 6.2 was proved in [9].

6.3. Example. Consider a closed cube K in E_3 . For every $n > 1$ divide K into 2^{3n} equal cubes K_i^n ($1 \leq i \leq 2^{3n}$) and denote by D_n the union of the edges of all the cubes K_i^n that are interior to K . Further, fix a descending sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of positive real numbers with $\lim_k \varepsilon_k = 0$ and put

$$U_k = \{x; x \in E_3, \varrho(x, D_k) < \varepsilon_k\},$$

$$G_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^n U_k, \quad G = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n, \quad F = K - G.$$

If ε_k tends rapidly to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $L_3F > 0$; moreover, one can achieve $\sup \|G_n\| < +\infty$. Then G (as a limit of a non-descending sequence of sets having uniformly bounded perimeters) belongs to \mathfrak{G} and the same is true about $\bar{G} = K$ and $F = K - G$. G is easily seen to be connected and uniformly locally connected, so that $F \subset \mathcal{A}_0G$.

7. Theorem. *Let F be a locally compact subset in E_2 , $F \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_i$ ($i = 1$ or 2). Further, let $G \subset E_2$ be an open set, $G \cap F = \emptyset$. Then either $L_2F = 0$ or $L_2(F - \mathcal{A}_0G) > 0$.*

Proof. We may clearly assume that F is compact and $F \in \mathfrak{G}_2$. Suppose, if possible, that $L_2F > 0$ and $L_2(F - \mathcal{A}_0G) = 0$. Write F_n for the set of all $[\xi, \eta]$ with

$$\{\xi\} \times \langle \eta - 1/n, \eta + 1/n \rangle \subset F,$$

so that $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n = F^*$. Every F_n is closed. Since $L_2F > 0$ and $L_2(F - F^*) = 0$, we have $L_2F_n > 0$ for suitably chosen n . Consequently, $L_2(F_n \cap \mathcal{A}_0G) > 0$. Let

$$[\xi_0, \eta_0] = o \in F_n \cap \mathcal{A}_0G$$

be a point of density of the set $F_n \cap \mathcal{A}_0G$. We have then a sequence $\{[\xi_k, \eta_k]\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of points in $F_n \cap \mathcal{A}_0G \subset \bar{G}$ tending to o as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and such that $\xi_{2j-1} < \xi_0$, $\xi_{2j} > \xi_0$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$). Let us associate with every $[\xi_k, \eta_k]$ a point $[\tilde{\xi}_k, \tilde{\eta}_k] = o_k \in G$ such that $\lim_k o_k = o$, $\tilde{\xi}_{2j-1} < \xi_0$, $\tilde{\xi}_{2j} > \xi_0$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$). Write U for the open circular disc of center o and of radius $1/n$. We have then a k_0 such that $o_k \in U$ whenever $k > k_0$. Since $U - G \supset U \cap F_n \supset \{[\xi, \eta]; \xi = \xi_0\} \cap U$, we see that the 0-cycle $o_k - o_{k+1}$ ($k > k_0$) cannot bound in $U \cap G$. This is in contradiction with $o \in \mathcal{A}_0G$, $\lim_k o_k = o$.

8. Definition. Write $e^1 = [1, 0]$, $e^2 = [0, 1]$. A point $o \in E_2$ will be termed a c -point of $A \subset E_2$ provided there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $o + \alpha e^1 \in A \ni o + \alpha e^2$ whenever $|\alpha| \leq \delta$. The set of all c -points of A will be denoted by A^+ .

9. Lemma. Let F be a locally compact subset in E_2 , $F \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Then $L_2(F - F^+) = 0$.

Proof. We may clearly suppose that F is compact and $F \in \mathcal{G}$. Write $F_2^* = F^*$ for the set of all $[\xi, \eta] \in F$ for which η belongs to the interior of F_ξ^2 (with respect to E_1). Similarly, let F_1^* be the set of all $[\xi, \eta] \in F$ for which F_η^1 contains ξ in its interior. Since $F \in \mathcal{G}_2$, we have $L_2(F - F_2^*) = 0$. In exactly the same way, $F \in \mathcal{G}_1$ implies $L_2(F - F_1^*) = 0$. Consequently,

$$L_2(F - (F_1^* \cap F_2^*)) = 0.$$

Now it is sufficient to observe that $F^+ = F_1^* \cap F_2^*$.

Remark. An analogous assertion may also be proved for subsets in E_m with $m > 2$.

10. Theorem. Let F be a locally compact subset in E_2 , $F \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Further, let G be a domain in E_2 , $G \cap F = \emptyset$. Then either $L_2 F = 0$ or $L_2(F - \bar{G}) > 0$.

Proof. We may assume that F is compact and $F \in \mathcal{G}$. Suppose that

$$(2) \quad L_2 F > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_2(F - \bar{G}) = 0.$$

Write F_+^n for the set of all $[\xi, \eta] = o \in F$ for which the set

$$C_n(o) = (\langle \xi - 1/n, \xi + 1/n \rangle \times \{\eta\}) \cup (\{\xi\} \times \langle \eta - 1/n, \eta + 1/n \rangle)$$

is completely contained in F . Clearly, every F_+^n is closed and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_+^n = F^+$. We have thus $L_2 F_+^n > 0$ for suitably chosen n . Consequently, $L_2(F_+^n \cap \bar{G}) > 0$ (compare (2)). Fix a point

$$o_0 = [\xi_0, \eta_0] \in F_+^n \cap \bar{G}$$

such that o_0 is a point of density of the set F_+^n . Put

$$Q_1 = \{[\xi, \eta]; \xi > \xi_0, \eta > \eta_0\},$$

$$Q_3 = \{[\xi, \eta]; \xi < \xi_0, \eta < \eta_0\}.$$

Then there is a sequence $\{[\xi_k, \eta_k]\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of points in F_+^n such that

$$[\xi_{2j-1}, \eta_{2j-1}] = o_{2j-1} \in Q_3, \quad [\xi_{2j}, \eta_{2j}] = o_{2j} \in Q_1 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and $\lim_k o_k = o_0$. Write

$$P_h = (\xi_{2h-1}, \xi_{2h}) \times (\eta_{2h-1}, \eta_{2h}) \quad (h = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We have then a h_0 such that the boundary of P_h is completely contained in

$$C_n(o_{2h-1}) \cup C_n(o_{2h}) \subset F \subset E_2 - G$$

whenever $h > h_0$. Since $o \in P_h \cap \bar{G}$, we conclude that $P_h \cap G \neq \emptyset$ ($h = 1, 2, \dots$). Noting that the diameter of P_h tends to zero as $h \rightarrow +\infty$ we see that G cannot be connected. Thus we have a contradiction.

References

- [1] П. С. Александров: Комбинаторная топология. Москва-Ленинград 1947.
- [2] A. S. Besicovitch: On the definition and value of the area of a surface. Quart. Journal of Math. vol. XVI (1945), 86—102.
- [3] E. De Giorgi: Su una teoria generale della misura $(r - 1)$ -dimensionale in uno spazio ad r dimensioni. Annali di Mat. pura ed applicata, ser. IV, tomo XXXVI (1954), 191—213.
- [4] E. De Giorgi: Nuovi teoremi relativi alle misure $(r - 1)$ -dimensionali in uno spazio ad r dimensioni. Ricerche di Mat., vol. IV (1955), 95—113.
- [5] H. Federer: A note on the Gauss-Green theorem. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 9 (1958), 447—451.
- [6] W. H. Fleming: Functions whose partial derivatives are measures. Illinois Journal of Math., vol. 4 (1960), 452—478.
- [7] J. Král: Poznámka o množinách, jejichž charakteristická funkce má za derivaci zobecněnou míru. Časopis pro pěst. mat. 86 (1961), 178—194.
- [8] И. Крал (J. Král): О лебеговой площади замкнутых поверхностей. Чех. мат. жур. 9 (84) 1959, 470—471.
- [9] И. Крал (J. Král): О лебеговой площади простых замкнутых поверхностей. Чех. мат. жур. 12 (87) 1962, 44—68.
- [10] K. Krickeberg: Distributionen, Funktionen beschränkter Variation und Lebesguescher Inhalt nichtparametrischer Flächen. Annali di Mat. pura ed applicata vol. XLIV (1957), 105—133.
- [11] J. Mařík: The surface integral. Чех. мат. жур. 6 (81), 1956, 522—558.
- [12] J. Mařík: Poznámka o délce Jordanovy křivky. Časopis pro pěst. mat. 83 (1958), 91—96.
- [13] R. L. Wilder: Topology of manifolds. 1949.

Резюме

ЗАМЕТКА О ПЕРИМЕТРЕ И МЕРЕ

ЙОСЕФ КРАЛ (Josef Král), Прага

Если G — открытое множество в E_m , то символом $\mathcal{A}_i G$ ($0 \leq i < m$) обозначим множество всех точек x замыкания \bar{G} множества G , обладающих следующим свойством: Для каждой окрестности $U_0(x)$ точки x существует такая окрестность $U_1(x) \subset U_0(x)$ точки x , что каждый целочисленный i -мерный цикл в $G \cap U_1(x)$ гомологичен нулю в $G \cap U_0(x)$ (соотв. топологические понятия надо понимать в смысле монографии П. С. Александрова [1], § 3, гл. XIV; см. тоже гл. XV, 0 : 1).

Символом \mathfrak{G}_k ($1 \leq k \leq m$) обозначим систему всех измеримых (по Лебегу) множеств $A \subset E_m$, для которых конечно число $\sup_{\varphi} \int_A (\partial\varphi(x)/\partial x_k) dx$; здесь верхняя грань берётся по отношению ко всем бесконечно дифференцируемым функциям φ , обращающимся в нуль вне некоторого компактного множества и удовлетворяющим условию $\max_x |\varphi(x)| \leq 1$. Пусть $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_k$ — система всех $A \subset E_m$,

для которых $A \cap K \in \mathfrak{G}_k$ для каждого t -мерного куба K . Символом L_m обозначим меру Лебега в пространстве E_m .

Теорема. Пусть F — локально компактное множество в E_3 , $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, $F \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_i \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_j$. Пусть, далее, G — открытое множество в E_3 , $G \cap F = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{A}_0 G \supset F$. Тогда $L_3 F = 0$ или $L_3(F - \mathcal{A}_1 G) > 0$.

Теорема. Пусть F — локально компактное множество в E_2 , $F \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_i$ ($i = 1$ или 2). Пусть, далее, G — открытое множество в E_2 , $G \cap F = \emptyset$. Тогда $L_2 F = 0$ или $L_2(F - \mathcal{A}_0 G) > 0$.

Теорема. Пусть F — локально компактное множество в E_2 , $F \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_2$. Пусть, далее, G — область в E_2 , $G \cap F = \emptyset$. Тогда $L_2 F = 0$ или $L_2(F - \bar{G}) > 0$.